

Report to: General Committee Report Date: March 1, 2011

SUBJECT: Staff Awarded Contracts for the Month of February 2011

PREPARED BY: Alex Moore, Ext. 4711

RECOMMENDATION:

1. THAT the report entitled "Staff Awarded Contracts for the Month of February 2011" be received;

And that Staff be authorized and directed to do all things necessary to give effect to this resolution

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

Council at its meeting of May 26th, 2009 amended By-Law 2004-341, <u>A By-Law Establishing Procurement</u>, <u>Service and Disposal Regulations and Policies</u>. The By-Law delegate's authority to staff to award contracts with a monthly information report required to be submitted to Council by the Treasurer for all contracts awarded by staff >\$50,000

PURPOSE:

To inform Council of contracts awarded by staff for the Month of January 2011 as per Purchasing by-Law 2004-341 as listed below.

Community & Fire Services

Award Details	escription					
	• 287-T-10 Supply and Delivery of Grass Seed Mixture					
Lowest Priced Supplier	• 300-Q-10 Supply, Delivery & Installation of Furniture for the Thornhill CC Library					
	• 286-T-10 Roof Replacement for Various Town Facilities					
Highest Ranked / Third	• 224-R-10 Consulting Engineering Services to conduct Landfill Monitoring Program &					
Lowest Priced Supplier	Leachate Collection System Feasibility Study					
Drafarrad Cumpliar	• 049-S-11 Supply, Delivery and Installation of furniture for the Emergency Operations					
Preferred Supplier	Centre (EOC) located at 8100 Warden Avenue					

Corporate Services

Award Details	Description
Dunfamud Cumplian	• 013-S-11 Excess Workers Compensation Insurance – 2011
Preferred Supplier	• 039-S-11 Town-wide Mailing of Tax Bills for 2011

Development Services

Award Details	Description
	• 078-Q-10 Landscape Architectural Consulting Services for South Unionville and Ray
Lowest Priced Supplier	Street Park
	• 020-Q-11 Rouge River Erosion Restoration at 7 Victoria Avenue and 139 Main Street
	Unionville
Highest Ranked /	• 298-Q-10 Consulting Services for the Cornell Centre Precinct Plan
Lowest Priced Supplier	

RECOMMENDED:

Sol Lusting

24/03/2011

24/03/2011

Joel Lustig Treasurer Andy Taylor

Commissioner, Corporate Services

Wiffaylor



Page 1 of 2

To:	enda Librecz, Commissioner, Community & Fire Services			
Re:	287-T-10 Supply and Delivery of Grass Seed Mixture			
Date:	January 29, 2010			
Prepared by:	Doug Henderson, Supervisor, Ext. 7997			
	Patti Malone, Senior Buyer, Ext. 2239			

PURPOSE

To obtain approval to award the contract for Supply and Delivery of grass seed mixture for a one year period (Jan 1 - Dec 31, 2011) with a two year option, ending Dec 31, 2013.

RECOMMENDATION

ECONIME (BITTO)							
Recommended Supplier		General Seed Company (Lowest Priced Supplier)					
Current Budget Available		496,937.00	Various accounts (See financial table below)				
Less cost of award		73,474.79	January 1, 2011 – December 31, 2011*				
	\$ 78,066.96		January 1, 2012 – December 31, 2012*				
		82,659.14	January 1, 2013 – December 31, 2013*				
		234,200.89	Total Cost of Award (Inclusive of HST)				
Budget remaining after this award		423,462.21	**				

^{*}Subject to Council approval of the 2011/12/13 budgets.

Note: Estimated cost for this project was \$127,000 however; the cost of grass seed varies from year to year depending on the weather and growing conditions the previous year. Due to favourable conditions in 2010 the cost of grass seed has decreased by 30% over 2008 - 2010 contractual pricing. The low bidders pricing has annual increases as provided within their submission and prior to extending contract in 2012, staff will ensure the 2nd year contractual pricing is still competitive in the market.

BACKGROUND

The tender was released for the supply and delivery of grass seed mixture for sports fields and park areas as required. The Tender was released with the following quantities:

- Estimated 738/yearly usage of 25KG bags of turf grass seed mixture of four (4) products
- Estimated 903/yearly usage of 25 kg bags of turf grass seed mixture of two (2) products

Advertised	ETN (Electronic Tendering Network)							
Bids closed on	December 15, 2010							
Number picking up bid documents	9							
Number responding to bid	7							

^{**} Balance remaining will be allotted for the field marking paint, irrigation and top dressing as budgeted for within these accounts.

PRICE SUMMARY

Suppliers	Price (Inclusive of HST)
General Seed Company	\$234,200.89
Nu Fairgreen	\$245,525.81
Quality Seed	\$246,249.62
Pickseed Canada	\$254,960.73
Plant Products	\$289,892.45
Graham Turf Seeds	\$290,952.48

Note: The price summary does not include the submission from Speare Seeds as they only priced a one year term as they would not commit pricing past this 1^{st} year. The pricing they provided for the one year term was \$87,050 (18% higher than the low bidder).

FINANCIAL DETAILS

				a	b	a-b
		Budget	Spent to	Budget	Amount Allocated to this project -	Budget
Account Name	Account #	Amount	Date	Available	Award	Remaining
2011 Sportsfield Maintenance &						
Reconstruction - Replacement Program						
*	700-101-4299-11270	119,000	-	119,000	40,431	78,569
Sportsfield Maintenance	730-732-5415	377,937	-	377,937	33,044	344,893
				-		-
Totals:		496,937	-	496,937	73,475	423,462

^{*} Subject to Council approval of this 2011 project



Page 1 of 3

To:	Brenda Librecz, Commissioner, Community & Fire Services
Re:	300-Q-10 Supply, Delivery & Installation of Furniture for the Thornhill Community
	Centre Library
Date:	February 09, 2011
Prepared by:	Mohammed Hosseini-Ara, Director, Service Excellence, 905-305-5997
	Tony Casale, Senior Buyer Ext. 3190

PURPOSE

To obtain approval to award the contract for the supply, delivery and installation of furniture for the Thornhill Community Centre Library.

RECOMMENDATION

RECOMMENDATION							
Recommended Supplier Mayhew & Associates Inc. (Sole Bidder Items 1,2,8,9,10)							
	Herman Miller Work	place Resource (lowest priced supplier Items 3,4,5,6,7,11,12)					
Current Budget available	\$ 272,641.70	070 5350 10512 005 Thornhill FF&E Library					
Less cost of award	\$ 75,658.43	(Inclusive of HST)					
Budget Remaining after this award	\$ 196,983.27	*					

^{*}The remaining balance will be used for other furniture requirements for the Thornhill Community Centre Library as budgeted for in this account and detailed under financial considerations section of this report.

Note: The estimated cost of award for this requirement was \$70,000. The project falls within budget as other furniture awards and requirements have come under the estimated cost of purchase.

BACKGROUND

As part of the Infrastructure Stimulus Fund Project, the Town of Markham (Town) received approval for a 1/3 cost sharing agreement among the Province of Ontario, Government of Canada and the Town to construct a new addition and to renovate the Thornhill Community Centre and Library. Included as part of the scope of work are various furniture requirements for the library.

The Town of Markham released a bid to the market for various types of furniture which included chairs, seats, desks, chair dollies and folding tables.

BID INFORMATION	
Advertised	ETN
Bids closed on	January 12, 2011
Number picking up bid documents	8
Number responding to bid	2

PRICE SUMMARY

	•			Mayhew & Associates			Herman Mi			//iller	
Item No.	Manufacturer	Description	QTY	U	nit Price	T	otal Price	Ţ	Unit Price Total Price		otal Price
1	ARTOPEX	Athos Armchair (up to grade 4 fabric)	30	\$	671.60	\$ 20,148.00			No Bid		
2	ARTOPEX	Ribbon Side Table (w/ribbon opt)	15	\$	144.44	\$	2,166.60		No	Bid	
3	KI	Rapture Stacking Chair (up to grade 4 fabric)	90	\$	154.98	\$	13,948.20	\$	145.62	\$	13,105.80
4	KI	Rapture Stacking Chair Dollies	4	\$	213.60	\$	854.40	\$	200.00	\$	800.00
5	NIEMKAMPER	Kloud Chair (up to grade 4 fabric)	4	\$	1,494.00	\$	5,976.00	\$	1,258.99	\$	5,035.96
6	SPEC	Snowflake 3 kids chair (wood seat and back)	16	\$	164.70	\$	2,635.20	\$	154.21	\$	2,467.36
7	SPEC	Snowflake Table	4	\$	305.10	\$	1,220.40	\$	285.67	\$	1,142.68
8	KNOLL	Kids Mayalin Stones incl. Cushion Seat	6	\$	292.80	\$ 1,756.80		No	No Bid		
9	ARTOPEX	Times Desk (PAC) with CPU holders,	26	\$	821.10	\$	21,348.60		No	Bid	
10	ARTOPEX	Times Desk (Kids PAC, Child height with CPU holders)	4	\$	795.28	\$	3,181.12		No	Bid	
11	KI	Duralight Folding Table	8	\$	276.00	\$	2,208.00	\$	258.93	\$	2,071.44
12	ARCONAS	Pure Office Chair	3	\$	400.68	\$	1,202.04	\$	375.17	\$	1,125.51
	_	Total Cost of Award (Excl. of	HST)			\$	48,601.12			\$	25,748.75
	Total Cost of Award (Incl. of HST)				\$	49,456.50			\$	26,201.93	

Artopex furniture requirements (Items 1, 2, 9, 10) only received one (1) bid and in communicating with other suppliers who picked up the document but did not bid, the following was the responses:

- One bidder was not an authorized Artopex dealer and therefore could not bid
- One bidder (Herman Millar) stated they could be competitive on the Artopex requirements and selected to not bid these items
- One bidder advised that they did not bid as the manufacturer 'Artopex' extends extra discounting to only one specific dealer who has an established relationship with the client or brings them the potential project prior to any other dealer (e.g. Standard discount is 50% of list price from one manufacturer could extend, whereas, a bidder brings/notifies manufacturer of business they would receive 70% discount)

Purchasing staff further discussed this matter with the manufacturer (Artopex) and they confirmed what was identified by the dealers who elected not to submit a bid. We also received a verbal quotation from the manufacturer for item number 1 and they provided a list price of \$940 for qty one (1) and \$870 for qty thirty (30) as per our requirement. The Town is receiving a price of \$671.60 for qty thirty (30) as identified under price summary section of this report.

FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

The project has remaining budget of \$272,641.70 to cover the cost of this award at \$75,658.43, leaving a balance of \$196,983.27 to purchase additional furniture and shelving for the library.

The following table presents the financial position of the project after the proposed award.

THORNHILL COMM	MUNITY (ENTRE &	& LIBRAR	Y RENOV	ATION
P	ROJECT	TO DATE	COSTS		
	AS OF D	ECEMBER 31,	2010		
	(A) Reallocated	(B)	(C) = (A)-(B) Uncommitted	(D)	(E) = (C) + (D)
	Budget 31-Dec-10	Actual / Committed *	Budget Before Award	Proposed Award **	Budget After Award
CONSTRUCTION					
Construction - Charterhouse	3,140,000	3,352,526	(212,526)		(212,526)
Construction Contingency *	1,010,000	1,023,905	(13,905)		(13,905
Total Construction	4,150,000	4,376,431	(226,431)	0	(226,431)
FF&E					
Library	640,000	367,359	272,641	(75,658)	196,983
Recreaton	120,000	0	120,000		120,000
INTERNAL COSTS					SE 1972
Architect	401,301	401,206	95		95
Project Management	430,000	128,803	301,197		301,197
Landscaping & Site Security	200,000	44,844	155,156		155,156
Other Internal Costs	58,699	36,191	22,508		22,508
Total Internal Costs	1,090,000	611,044	478,956	0	478,956
35	6,000,000	5,354,834	645,166	(75,658)	569,508

^{*} Inclusive of a recent award of Steelcase furniture for FF&E Library in the amount of \$50,733.15

Note: The budget remaining on page number one (1) under recommendation section refers to FF&E (Library) of the above noted chart.

^{**} Inclusive of HST impact of 1.76%



Page 1 of 2

To:	John Livey, Chief Administrative Officer	
Re:	286-T-10 Roof Replacement for Various Town Facilities	
Date:	January 19, 2010	
Prepared by:	Gil Verbeek, Facility Maintenance, ext 4896	
	Patti Malone, Senior Buyer, ext. 2239	

PURPOSE

To obtain approval to award the contract for roof replacement at Rouge River Community Centre, Markham Community Centre, Old Unionville Library, Women's Institute, and Theatre.

RECOMMENDATION

Recommended Supplier (s)	Hamlet Roofing Ltd. (Lowest Priced Supplier), Item1, 2 & 3 Skyway Roofing Ltd. (Lowest Priced Supplier), Item 4 & 5		
Current Budget Available	\$ 246,840.00 750-101-5399-9209 Roof Replacement Program (2009) \$ 91,147.00 750-101-5399-10255 Roof Replacement Program (2010) \$ 337,987.00 Budget allocated for these items		
Less cost of award	\$ 162,103.68 \$ 145,058.88 \$ 23,037.19 \$ 330,199.75	Inclusive of HST (Hamlet Roofing Ltd., 3 locations) Inclusive of HST (Skyway Roofing Ltd., 2 locations) Contingency (7.5%) inclusive of HST* Total Cost of Award	
Budget Remaining after this award	\$ 7,787.25	**	

^{*} Contingency to be distributed as follows: \$12,157.77 (Hamlet) and \$10,879.42 (Skyway) for a total of \$23,037.19

BACKGROUND

The replacement of roofing was indentified in the annual life cycle review of these 5 locations – Rouge River Community Centre, Markham Community Centre, Old Unionville Library, Women's Institute, and Theatre.

DID IN CHARITON			
Advertised	ETN		
Bids closed on	December 17, 2010		
Number picking up bid documents	26		
Number responding to bid	16		

^{**} Remaining balance will remain in the account for the completion of outstanding roof replacement work budgeted under these accounts.

PRICE SUMMARY (Inclusive of HST)

<u>Suppliers</u>	Item 1	Item 2	Item 3	Item 4	Item 5
	Rouge River	Old Unionville	Markham	Markham	Women's
	Community	Library	Community	Theatre	Institute
	Centre		Centre		
Skyway Roofing Ltd.	\$72,961.92	\$46,707.84	\$76,808.45	\$138,902.40	\$6,156.48
Hamlet Roofing Ltd.	\$72,758.40	\$39,991.68	\$49,353.60	\$182,964.48	\$8,598.72
B. Serra and Sons Roofing Inc.	\$103,255.87	\$59,956.99	\$77,205.31	\$284,622.72	\$13,396.70
Applewood Roofing & Sheet Metal	\$142,972.80	\$58,817.28	\$90,566.40	\$280,755.84	\$11,600.64
Dufferin Roofing	No Bid	\$57,494.40	\$74,183.04	\$231,402.24	\$12,007.68
Eileen Roofing Inc.	\$162,816.00	\$63,091.20	\$103,795.20	\$158,745.60	\$9,667.20
Solar Roofing & Sheet Metal	\$88,022.40	\$50,676.48	\$89,447.04	\$178,080.00	\$11,193.60
Nortex Roofing Ltd.	\$179,606.40	\$66,286.64	\$64,617.60	\$209,371.20	\$23,048.64
The Consilium Group	\$136,844.81	\$63,661.06	\$100,160.33	No Bid	\$17,619.74
Aseal Roofing & Sheet Metal	\$111,630.72	\$48,743.04	\$59,936.64	\$239,950.08	\$16,078.08
Crawford Roofing Corp/	\$191,308.80	\$57,799.68	\$110,714.88	\$188,052.48	\$13,025.28
E-D Roofing Ltd.	\$166,676.77	\$48,789.85	\$79,316.83	\$220,223.90	\$17,371.45
Sproule Specialty Roofing Ltd.	\$125,368.32	\$45,079.68	\$103,693.44	\$264,067.20	\$12,201.02
Triump Roofing & Sheet Metal	\$117,116.60	\$54,034.56	\$57,148.42	\$198,230.52	\$14,062.21
Pollard Enterprises Ltd.	\$107,153.28	\$53,861.57	\$103,123.58	\$179,982.91	\$15,009.60
T. Hamilton & Son Roofing Inc.	No Bid	\$51,032.64	\$54,848.64	\$189,782.40	\$10,481.28

^{*}As allowed under the bid document released to the market, staff recommends splitting the award between two (2) bidders to save \$34,374 compared to awarding the contract to one bidder.

FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

			Cost of the Award (Incl.	
Location	Project	Budgeted Cost	HST)	Diff
Markham Theatre	#9209	\$140,760.00	\$138,902.40	\$1,857.60
Markham CC	#9209	\$106,080.00	\$49,353.60	\$56,726.40
Rouge River CC	#10255	\$52,387.00	\$72,758.40	-\$20,371.40
Old Unionville Library	#10255	\$31,620.00	\$39,991.68	-\$8,371.68
Women's Institute	#10255	\$7,140.00	\$6,156.48	\$983.52
Sub-Total		\$ 337,987.00	\$ 307,162.56	\$ 30,824.44
Plus: 7.5% contingency		\$0.00	\$23,037.19	-\$23,037.19
Total		\$ 337,987.00	\$ 330,199.75	\$ 7,787.25

Note: The shortfall in account Project #10255 will be offset by the favourable pricing received under project #9209.



Page 1 of 3

To:	John Livey, Chief Administrative Officer
Re:	224-R-10 Consulting Engineering Services to conduct Landfill Monitoring Program &
	Leachate Collection System Feasibility Study
Date:	January 19, 2010
Prepared by:	Robert Penner, Supervisor, Business Systems Improvement ext. 4550
	Patti Malone, Senior Construction Buyer ext. 2239

PURPOSE

To obtain approval to award a contract for consulting services for a two year (2011 -2012) landfill monitoring program. Also, provide a Leachate collection system feasibility study and system inventory and location confirmation.

RECOMMENDATION

Recommended Supplier	AMEC Earth & Environmental (Highest Ranked/Third Lowest Priced Bidder)			
Current Budget Available	\$	140,831.89	058-6150-8529-005 Settlers Park Landfill	
	\$	614,014.00	750-101-5399-7028 Settlers Park Landfill Site Management	
	\$	754,845.89	Total	
Less cost of award	\$	51,150.68	2011 Monitoring Program (058-6150-8529-005)	
	\$	52,576.34	2012 Monitoring Program (058-6150-8529-005)	
	\$	8,850.07	System Inventory and Location Confirmation (058-6150-8529-005)	
	\$	17,000.00	Contingency (058-6150-8529-005)	
	\$	28,788.92	Leachate Collection System Feasibility Study (750-101-5399-7028)	
	\$	158,366.01	Total Award	
Budget Remaining after this award	\$	11,254.80	058-6150-8529-005 Settlers Park Landfill	
	\$	585,225.08	750-101-5399-7028 Settlers Park Landfill Site Management	
	\$	596,479.88	Total remaining	

^{*} The remaining balance of \$11,254.80 from 058-6150-8529-005 will remain in the account to be used for any deficiency/repair works identified by the consultant during the monitoring program. The remaining balance of \$585,225.08 from 705-101-5399-7028 will remain in the account to be used to implement a pilot aerobic digestive system at the Landfill Site upon approval by Council.

Note: Estimated \$60,000 for each respective monitoring program and \$40,000 for system inventory and location confirmation and the Leachate Collection System Feasibility Study.

BACKGROUND

2011 / 12 Monitoring program

The Former Sabiston Landfill Site located at Settlers Park in Markham includes a gas collection system, a gas monitoring network and an environmental monitoring network. These systems need to be managed, measurements are required to be taken and condition inspections need to be performed on a regular basis. The Work will include the reviewing of past documents, making recommendation from results of monitoring, ensuring compliance with all agency regulations/ certificate of authorizations, coordinating with external agencies, supporting Town staff for council reports, presentations documenting findings and future actions.

System Inventory and Location Confirmation

The consultant will carry out a topographic survey to locate and identify all environmental monitoring system components. The components shall be cross referenced with identification and nomenclature as well as their GPS coordinates using the Town's standards and projections.

224-R-10 Consulting Engineering Services to conduct Landfill Monitoring Program & Leachate Collection System Feasibility Study Page 2 of 3

Leachate Collection System Feasibility Study

While taking into consideration environmental concerns, capital costs and operating efficiencies, the consultant will investigate and report on the feasibility of installing a Leachate Collection System. The study will include but not be limited to the following parameters:

- (a) Operating efficiency of leachate collection degree of capture, taking into consideration that no underlying base liner exists.
- (b) Expected impacts to the environment
- (c) Alternate methods of capture and disposal
- (d) Life cycle performance
- (e) Ability to re-circulate captured leachate as part of a possible future aerobic digestive system
- (f) Estimated costs of final design and implementation

BID INFORMATION

Advertised	ETN (Electronic Tendering Network)
Bids closed on	December 01, 2010
Number picking up bid documents	20
Number responding to bid	7

The bid was released to the marketplace as a two –stage bidding approach, this means the 1st request for proposal (RFP) (Envelope 1) asks for technical proposals from bidders, without prices. The 2nd envelope is only opened once

bidders attain a certain scoring requirement as identified within the bid document A two-stage bidding approach was utilized under this RFP to ensure the consultant had the technical ability to fulfill the requirements for this project

Envelope (1) – Technical Proposal Evaluation

Stage one (1) of the evaluation process was based on evaluation of the Bidder's submission in accordance with the criteria set out in bid document. Stage one (1) was evaluated by the following: Past experience of consulting firm (40%), qualification and experience of lead consultant and project team (30%), Project delivery (30%).

The three (3) highest ranked bidders who have a passing grade of 75% and receive satisfactory reference checks will be considered for Stage two (2) and opening of envelope (2).

Stage one (1) scoring:

Suppliers	Score (out of 100)*	Rank Results
AMEC Earth & Environmental	96.1	1
UEM	85.4	2
SNC Lavalin	85.3	3
SLR	83.7	4
Conestoga-Rovers	81.2	5
GHD	73.6	6
XCG	70.5	7

^{*} As identified within the bid document, the scores under stage (one) will be prorated to 65% for the overall scoring.

Envelope (2) – Financial Proposal Evaluation

The three (3) highest ranked bidders that have been qualified under Stage one of the evaluation process are eligible for evaluation under stage two of the evaluation process. Having met the Stage 1 criteria, all three (3) bidders were eligible to move to Stage two (2), pricing section, where their bids were opened and the award of the contract is based on combination of Technical and Financial Evaluation.

224-R-10 Consulting Engineering Services to conduct Landfill Monitoring Program & Leachate Collection System Feasibility Study Page 3 of 3

The results of the Stage 2 pricing:

Stage two (2) scoring:

Suppliers	Score (out of 35)	Rank Results
SNC Lavalin	33.5	1
UEM	32	2
AMEC Earth & Environmental	27.7	3

Note: The top 3 ranked consultants bid prices ranged from to \$127,925.01 to \$141,366.01.

OVERALL SCORING

Based on the combination of Technical (pro-rated to 65%) and Financial Evaluation (35%), the following is the overall scoring:

Suppliers	Score (out of 100)	Rank Results
AMEC Earth & Environmental	90.2	1
UEM	87.5	2
SNC Lavalin	88.9	3

AMEC scored highest on their technical submission when compared to the other proponents, and their proposal demonstrated to the Town's satisfaction a thorough understanding of the project and its requirements. AMEC also provides extensive experience in landfill monitoring. AMEC provided a project team who is very experienced in this type of work and have completed similar projects in the past years.

Purchasing staff negotiated an 11% (\$17,263) cost reduction with the highest ranked bidder AMEC, from their initial proposed price as allowed under the Purchasing By-Law. After negotiations, AMEC is \$13,441.00 higher than the low bidder and the 2011 price proposal is 4% higher than the 2010 monitoring cost. However, the 2011 scope of work has increased over the 2010 work detail.

FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

There are sufficient uncommitted funds in the budget of Settlers Park Landfill project and the Settlers Park Landfill Site Management project to cover the costs of the award. The remaining balance of \$11,254.80, after the costs of award, from Settlers Park Landfill project will remain in the account to be used for any deficiency/repair works identified by the consultant during the monitoring program. The remaining balance of \$585,225.08 from Settlers Park Landfill Site Management project will remain in the account to be used to implement a pilot aerobic digestive system at the Landfill Site upon approval by Council.

The following table summarizes the financial details of this award.

		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D) = (C) * 15%	(E) = (C)+(D)	(F) = (B) - (E)
Account Name	Original Budget	Uncommitted Budget	Budget available for this award	Award *	Contingency **	Total Cost	Budget available after award
Settlers Park Landfill 058-6150-8529-005	\$300,000.00	\$140,831.89	\$140,831.89	\$112,577.09	\$17,000.00	\$129,577.09	\$11,254.80
Settlers Park Landfill Site Mgmt 750-101-5399-7028	\$700,000.00	\$614,014.00	\$614,014.00	\$28,788.92	\$0.00	\$28,788.92	\$585,225.08
Total	\$1,000,000.00	\$754,845.89	\$75 <mark>4</mark> ,845.89	\$141,366.01	\$17,000.00	\$158,366.01	\$596,479.88

^{*} Award inclusive of HST impact

.

^{**} Contingency is on account 058-6150-8529-005



Page 1 of 2

To:	John Livey, Chief Administrative Officer
Re:	049-S-11 Supply, Delivery and Installation of furniture for the Emergency Operations
	Centre (EOC) located at 8100 Warden Avenue
Date:	February 17, 2011
Prepared by:	Phil Alexander, Deputy Fire Chief, Ext. 5960
	Tony Casale, Senior Buyer, Ext. 3190

PURPOSE

To obtain approval to award the contract for the supply, delivery and installation of furniture for the Emergency Operations Centre (EOC) located at 8100 Warden Avenue.

RECOMMENDATION

Recommended Supplier	M	Mayhew & Associates (Preferred Supplier)		
Current Budget Available	\$	S 129,223.57 067-5350-9518-005 Emergency Operations Centre Retrofit		
Less cost of award	\$	74,855.55	Total Cost of Award, Inclusive of HST	
Budget Remaining after this award	\$	54,368.02	*	

^{*} The remaining balance will be used for other project related requirements as budgeted for in this account.

Note: The estimated cost of award for this requirement was \$80,000.00 and this award falls within this estimate.

THAT the tendering process be waived in accordance with the Purchasing By-Law 2004-341, Part II, Section 7 Non Competitive Procurement, item 1 (c) which states "where the extension of an existing contract would prove more cost-effective or beneficial".

BACKGROUND

As part of the Infrastructure Stimulus Fund Project, the Town of Markham (Town) received approval for a 1/3 cost sharing agreement among the Province of Ontario, Government of Canada and the Town to construct an Emergency Operations Centre (EOC) at 8100 Warden Avenue. Included as part of the scope of work are various furniture requirements such as tables, chairs and filing cabinets.

DISCUSSION

Council at its April 13, 2010 meeting approved the award for facility management, project management, space planning and move management to Mayhew & Associates (Mayhew). The contract provides 'turn-key' administrative services for space planning projects including the processing of invoices relating to project requirements.

The Fire Department in consultation with the Asset Management Department retained Mayhew for schematic and design development and move coordination as it relates to the furniture requirements for the Emergency Operations Centre. This requirement is for 206 items which includes tables, chairs and filing cabinets. The furniture requirements identified are manufactured by Steelcase and are only available through authorized dealers such as POI, Mayhew and Associates and Bowen Business Furniture.

Furniture (POI)	\$ 73,387.79
Administration Fee @ 2% (Mayhew)	\$ 1,467.76
Total Cost of Award (inclusive of HST)	\$ 74.855.55

Included in this scope of work as an administrative fee, Mayhew is responsible to obtain quotations, prepare and process invoices. The actual award to Mayhew for administration services is \$1,467.76 with the remaining amount (\$73,387.79 inclusive of HST) being paid directly to the contractor through Mayhew with the Town reimbursing Mayhew for these costs.

FINANCIAL CONSIDERATION

The project has remaining budget of \$129,223.57 to cover the cost of this award at \$74,855.55, leaving a balance of \$54,368.02 to purchase other requirement of the project.

The following table presents the financial position of the project after the proposed award.

ТО	WN OF N	IARKHA	M		
EMERGEN	CY OPE	RATIONS	CENTRE		
PROJ	ECT TO	DATE CO	OSTS		
	AS OF FEBRUA		3313		
	(A)	(B)	(C) = (A) - (B)	(D)	(E) = (C) - (D)
	Reallocated Budget 31-Dec-10	Actual /	Uncommitted Budget Before Award	Award Amount *	Uncommitted Budget After Award
CONCTRUCTION		(× 	3.800-11.0963111010	
CONSTRUCTION Construction	518.820	513.460	5,360		5,360
Construction - Standby Power	128.272	128.272	3,300		000,0
Construction Contingency	51.882	51.882	0		0
Total Construction	698,974	693,614	5,360	0	5,360
FURNITURE, FIXTURE & EQUIPMENT	151,532	42,278	109,254	74,856	34,398
PROJECT MANAGEMENT / ARCHITECT / I	NTERNAL				
Consultants					
Architect - MMH, including contingency	45,872	45,872	0		0
Project Management - Genivar	77,672	77,672	0		0
Other Consultants	2,080	2,080	0		0
	125,624	125,624	0	0	0
Others					
Corporate Communication	11,529	4,527	7,002		7,002
Other Fees	12,341	4,732	7,608		7,608
Total PM / Architect / Internal	149,494	134,884	14,610	0	14,610
	1,000,000	870,776	129,224	74,856	54,368

^{*} Award inclusive of HST impact of 1.76%



To:	John Livey, Chief Administrative Officer
Re:	013-S-11 2011 Excess Workers Compensation Insurance
Date:	January 6, 2011
Prepared by:	Fred Rich, Senior Business & Risk Analyst
	Alex Moore, Manager, Purchasing

PURPOSE

To obtain approval to award the 2011 Excess Workers Compensation Insurance coverage to Cowan Insurance Group (Cowan).

RECOMMENDATION

Recommended Supplier	Cowan Insurance Group (Preferred Supplier)		
Current Budget Available	\$796,736.25	\$796,736.25 840 846 5555 (Insurance Premium) pending Council approval	
Less cost of award	\$139,179.19	\$139,179.19 Inclusive of PST	
Budget Remaining after this award	\$657,556.06 Balance of funds will be transferred to the insurance reserve		
		at the end of 2011	

Note: HST does not apply to Insurance premiums in Ontario; Insurance premiums are only PST applicable.

Staff further recommends: THAT the tendering process be waived in accordance with Purchasing By-Law 2004-341, Part II, Section 7, "(b) where there is only one source of supply for the goods to be purchases;"

BACKGROUND

Since 2003 Cowan has been the broker for the Town's WSIB Excess Workers Compensation Insurance coverage. WSIB Excess Workers Compensation Insurance provides coverage for a WSIB claim over one million dollar.

In 2009, Cowan advised the Town that Parapet (the insurer of record for the 2009 WSIB Excess Workers Compensation Insurance coverage) would no longer be providing coverage within Canada. At the same time Chubb Insurance entered the Excess Workers Compensation market and according to Cowan was the only Excess Workers Compensation Insurance provider in Ontario.

In 2010, through a staff award report, the 2010 Excess Workers Compensation Insurance was awarded to Cowan in the amount of \$125,218 (plus PST) utilizing Chubb Insurance.

DISCUSSION

In December 2010, Cowan advised staff that Chubb Insurance is still the only Excess Workers Compensation Insurance provider in Ontario. Based on the service received in 2010 and exclusive market for this insurance, staff recommend awarding the 2011 Excess Workers Compensation Insurance to Cowan in the amount of \$136,772 (exclusive of PST) representing a 9.2% increase over the 2010 premium.

FINANCIAL ATTACHMENT

				Less: Cost of	Budget Remaining
Account Description	Account #	Original Budget*	Budget Available*	Award	after Award*
Insurance Premium	840 846 5555	1,809,523.00	796,736.25	139,179.19	657,557.06
Total		\$1,809,523.00	\$796,736.25	\$139,179.19	\$657,557.06

^{*}Pending Council approval



To:	Joel Lustig, Treasurer	
Re:	039-S-11 Town-wide Mailing of Tax Bills for 2011	
Date:	February 08, 2011	
Prepared by:	Paul Wealleans, Director, Revenues Ext. 4734	
	Tony Casale, Senior Buyer Ext. 3190	

RECOMMENDATION

Recommended Supplier	Canada Post Corporation (Preferred Supplier)		
Current Budget Available	\$ 250,600.00	\$ 250,600.00 410 432 5110 Postage	
Less cost of award	\$ 106,511.73		
Budget Remaining after award	\$ 144,088.27 *		

^{*}The remaining balance in the amount of \$144,088.27 will be utilized for other postage requirements as budgeted for in the 2011 operating account.

Staff recommends:

In accordance with the Town's Expenditure Control Policy dated March 6th, 2006, Item 8.05 (Treasurer's Authority) states; "Despite any other provision in this policy, the Treasurer is authorized to pay the following accounts provided that funds are available in the Operating Budget...(c) all accounts for telephones, <u>postage</u> and utilities supplied to the Town"

PURPOSE

To award the contract for postage for Town wide mailing of tax bills for 2011.

BACKGROUND

The Town of Markham mails residents tax bills two times per year and also mails supplementary tax bills three to four times per year. Canada Post is the only company that can complete this work as the tax bills must be mailed to individually addressed property owners.

FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

	Amount \$	
2010 Actual Postage Costs	\$ 97,000	
	1.123	
New Accounts	4,130	
	101,130	
Rate increase by 3.5%	3,540	
Total before HST impact	104,670	
HST Impact 1.76%	1,842	
Total includes HST impact	106,512	
Note:		

In 2010, we mailed approx. 80,000 tax bills each time, 1600 supplemental bills in total; in 2011, there'll be approx. 2500 new accounts for tax bills and 2000 for supplemental bills (due to apartments)



Page 1 of 2

To:	Jim Baird, Commissioner, Development Services
Re:	298-Q-10 Consulting Services for the Cornell Centre Precinct Plan
Date:	February 11, 2011
Prepared by:	Catherine Jay, Manager, Urban Design, Ext. 2520
	Tony Casale, Senior Buyer, Ext. 3190

PURPOSE

To obtain approval to award the contract for consulting services for the Cornell Centre Precinct Plan.

RECOMMENDATION

Recommended Supplier	Urban Strategies Inc. (Highest ranked and lowest priced supplier)	
Current budget available	\$ 100,000.00	620 101 5699 10018 Other Professional Fees
		(Budget allocated (estimated) for this item)
Less cost of award	\$ 91,854.68	(Inclusive of HST)
	\$ 8,000.00	Contingency
	\$ 99,854.68	Total award (Inclusive of HST)
Budget Remaining after this award	\$ 145.32	*

^{*} Subject to Council approval of the 2011 budget.

BACKGROUND

Cornell Centre is a community within the Cornell planning district. Cornell lies within the northeast area of Markham's current urban expansion area and at the eastern edge of the urban settlement area in York Region adjoining the Provincial Greenbelt and Rouge Park. Conceived and developed as a significant example of New-Urbanism development in North America, Cornell, in particular Cornell Centre, is now at a crucial point of evolution within its development.

The Master Plan for Cornell was developed in 1993 as a sustainable and pedestrian-oriented community design known internationally as New Urbanism. It was the basis for the community Official Plan Amendment adopted in 1994. Experiences gained in developing Cornell's early phases, in addition to changes to the provincial and regional planning framework, lead to the need to amend the Cornell Secondary Plan and implementing Open Space Master Plan and Public Realm Design Guidelines (Community Design Guidelines). The Cornell Architectural Design Guidelines were revised and approved on October 28, 2003.

This Precinct Plan is required in accordance with the Town of Markham's Official Plan and the updated Cornell Secondary Plan. The precinct plan will further articulate the policies of the secondary plan. A precinct plan is an overarching guideline for development and servicing of the area. The plan will define the location, scale, character and function of all public spaces, street and block patter, layout of streets, buildings and facilities to be produced and provided within the Cornell Centre community. The purpose of this document is to describe the Terms of Reference that will guide the completion of the Precinct Plan.

Advertised	ETN
Bids closed on	January 14, 2011
Number of bidders invited	22
Number responding to bid	6

PROPOSAL EVALUATION

The Evaluation Team was comprised of staff from the Urban Design team with purchasing staff acting as the facilitator. The evaluation was evaluated based on pre-established evaluation criteria as listed in the Request for Quotation: 30% qualifications and experience of the consulting firm; 20% demonstrated understanding of the project; 20% project management and 30% price totaling 100%.

Suppliers	Total Score	Rank
Urban Strategies Inc.	97.00	1
Du Toit Allsopp Hillier	84.42	2
Brook McIlroy Inc.	82.76	3
Sweeney Sterling Finlayson & Co Architects Inc.	82.15	4
EDA Collaborative Inc.	80.42	5
Urban Design Associates	47.00	6

Prices received from the six Bidders ranged from \$91,854.68 to \$102,121.25 respectively (inclusive of HST).

Staff is recommending the highest ranked, lowest priced bidder, Urban Strategies Inc. to prepare the Cornell Centre Precinct Plan. Urban Strategies' proposal best satisfied the project requirements. Their proposal demonstrated a good understanding of the requirements for the proposed study. The team is very experienced including the lead architect (Principal) having thirty years of professional experience. The firm also has extensive experience working with government agencies including the Town of Caledon, City of Oshawa and the Town of Richmond Hill.



Page 1 of 2

To:	Ronji Borooah, Town Architect	
Re:	078-Q-10 Landscape Architectural Consulting Services for South Unionville and Ray	
	Street Park	
Date:	February 22, 2011	
Prepared by:	Linda Irvine, Manager, Parks & Open Space Development Ext. 2120	
	Tony Casale, Senior Buyer Ext. 3190	

PURPOSE

To obtain approval to award the contract for Landscape Architectural Consulting Services for a park at South Unionville and Ray Street

RECOMMENDATION

Recommended Supplier	Harrington McAvan Ltd. (Lowest Priced Supplier)	
Current Budget Available	\$ 85,000.00 81-5350-10035-005 Budget allocated for external consultant	
	\$ 11,200.00 Budget allocated for Internal management fee (9%)	
	\$ 96,200.00 Total	
Less cost of award	\$ 57,535.10 Total award (Inclusive of HST)	
	\$ 5,753.51 Contingency @ 10%	
	\$ 63,288.61 Total Cost of Award (Inclusive of HST)	
	\$ 5,695.97 Internal Project management fee 9%	
	\$ 68,984.58 Total project cost	
Budget Remaining after this award	\$ 27,215.42 *	

^{*}The remaining balance will be used for other consultant requirements and the construction portion of the project. Note: the estimated cost of this project was \$85,000. This project falls within the budget with a positive balance in the amount of \$27,215.42, after completion of remaining consultant work any remaining balance will be returned to the original funding source.

BACKGROUND

The park is to be bordered by Ian Baron Ave. on the north, Ray St. on the east, South Unionville Avenue on the south and a new high density development on the West side. The closest major intersection to the park is Kennedy Rd. and Hwy. 407.

The major features currently anticipated for this park are a playground designed for junior and senior children, shade structure(s) such as gazebo's and/or trellises, mini-soccer field(s), play court(s), micro-skate park, planting and associated walkways. A modest water play feature may be accommodated for if the budget permits. The final park program will be confirmed through the design process during meetings with Town staff and the community and adjusted based on the final budget as approved by Council. It is anticipated that the construction of this project will begin in May, 2012 and be completed by June, 2013

Advertised	By Invitation
Bids closed on	February 11, 2011
Number picking up bid documents	10
Number responding to bid	10

<u>078-Q-10 Landscape Architectural Consulting Services for South Unionville and Ray Street Park</u> Page 2 of 2

PRICE SUMMARY

Suppliers	Total (Inclusive of HST)
Harrington McAvan Ltd.	\$ 57,535.10
Basterfield & Associates Inc.	\$ 73,776.00
The LandPlan Collaborative Ltd.	\$ 76,301.68
Strybos Barron King Ltd.	\$ 79,372.80
Don Naylor & Associates Ltd.	\$ 83,773.92
Fleisher Ridout Partnership Inc.	\$ 94,128.00
PMA Landscape Architects	\$ 97,685.20
Cosburn Giberson Consultants Inc.	\$105,321.60
JSW & Associates	\$116,630.19
Ferris + Associates Inc.	\$134,628.48



STAFF	AWARD	REPORT	Page 1 of 2
SIAFF	AWAKD	KEPUKI	Page 1 of 2

To:	Alan Brown, Director, Engineering	
Re:	020-Q-11 Rouge River Erosion Restoration at 7 Victoria Avenue and 139 Main Street	
	Unionville	
Date:	February 11, 2011	
Prepared by:	Nehal Azmy, Senior Capital Works Engineer, ext. 2197	
	Robert Slater, Senior Buyer, ext. 3189	

PURPOSE

To obtain approval to award the contract for the Rouge River Erosion Restoration at 7 Victoria Avenue and 139 Main Street Unionville

RECOMMENDATION

Recommended Supplier	R & M Construction (Lowest Priced Supplier)		
Current Budget Available	\$ 721,660.44	640-101-5399-10047 Other Contracted Services	
Less cost of award	\$ 46,215.83	Inclusive of HST	
	\$ 6,932.37	Contingency (15%)	
	\$ 53,148.20	Total Award	
	\$ 4,783.34	Internal Management Fees (9%)	
	\$ 57,931.54	Total Project Costs	
Budget Remaining after this award	\$ 663,728.90	*	

^{*}Budget remaining will be used for other erosion restorations as budgeted for within this account.

Note: Estimated cost to purchase \$50,000.00, the remaining balance should be sufficient to complete the projects budgeted for under this account.

BACKGROUND

In 2007, a study for Markham Watercourse Erosion Restoration Implementation Plan was undertaken along with the Municipal Class Environmental Assessment process to establish existing and potential future erosion conditions in the Town's watercourses and to identify potential restoration strategies for erosion sites. There are seventeen (17) of projects that have been completed or are currently underway.

An addendum to the Class EA study was completed in 2009 to evaluate three additional erosion sites that residents have brought to the attention of the Town. The Rouge River Erosion Restoration at 7 Victoria Avenue and 139 Main Street Unionville was ranked as a high priority erosion restoration site, where private property is at risk.

Permission to entre and agreement for temporary and permanent easements has been secured from the owners of the two private properties at 7 Victoria Avenue and 139 Main Street Unionville were the work is being undertaken.

The work to be done under this contract includes:

- Construction of bank stabilization for a section of Fonthill Creek at 7 Victoria Avenue and 139 Main Street Unionville.
- Installing armourstone protection with a riverstone transition.
- Installation of a riverstone trench for toe protection.
- Planting, re-grading, and restoration of the site.

020-Q-11 Rouge River Erosion Restoration at 7 Victoria Avenue and 139 Main Street Unionville Page 2 of 2

BID INFORMATION

Advertised	ETN
Bids closed on	February 11th, 2011
Number picking up bid documents	56
Number responding to bid	12

PRICING SUMMARY

Suppliers	Price Inclusive of HST
R & M	\$46,215.83
Stilescape Inc.	\$48,182.04
Rutherford Contracting Ltd.	\$59,515.18
Iron Trio Inc.	\$65,637.74
Hawkins Contracting Services Limited	\$66,758.43
NEI Construction Corp.	\$75,373.63
Hollandia Land and Environmental Solutions	\$75,862.08
Cedar Springs Landscape Group Ltd.	\$85,247.40
Pine Valley Enterprises Inc.	\$86,116.44
R-Chad General Contracting Inc.	\$87,416.93
Alcam Excavating Limited	\$98,997.22
Gobro Construction	\$107,594.92

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

The Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA) was consulted during the detailed design stage of this project and a permit has been granted by TRCA to undertake the proposed work within the Rouge River watershed. The restoration improves the condition of the existing watercourses, fish habitat and reduces the risk to private properties.