

**HERITAGE MARKHAM COMMITTEE MEETING
TOWN OF MARKHAM
York Room, Markham Civic Centre
Wednesday, October 10, 2007**

Members

Joyce Nelson-Watt, Chair
Judith Dawson, Vice-Chair
Amar Banerjee
Susan Casella
Ted Chisholm
Judy Dawson-Ryan
Deirdre Kavanagh
James Makaruk
Denise Sabatini-Fuina
Councillor Valerie Burke
Councillor Carolina Moretti
Councillor Joe Virgilio

Regrets

Staff

Regan Hutcheson, Manager, Heritage Planning
George Duncan, Senior Heritage Planner
Peter Wokral, Planner, Heritage and Conservation
Bev Shugg Barbeito, Committee Secretary

The Chair convened the meeting at 7:30 p.m..

Disclosure of Pecuniary Interest

The Chair asked for any declarations of interest with respect to items on the agenda. The Chair declared that she would have a conflict with Item No. 30 and asked Vice Chair Judith Dawson to chair the meeting for that item.

1. APPROVAL OF AGENDA (16.11)

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT the Heritage Markham agenda, addendum agenda and new business be approved.

CARRIED.

2. ADOPTION OF MINUTES
NINTH HERITAGE MARKHAM MEETING
SEPTEMBER 12, 2007 (16.11)

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT the Minutes of the Heritage Markham meeting held on September 12, 2007, be received and adopted.

CARRIED.

3. RESIGNATION
NELSON TORRES

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham accept the resignation of Nelson Torres with regret and thank him for his contribution and service to the committee.

CARRIED.

4. DEMOLITION BUILDING PERMIT
FILE NO. 07 126093 DP
1 STATION STREET MARKHAM VILLAGE
PROPOSED DEMOLITION OF A CLASS B HOUSE (16.11)

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
C. Doyle-Dimou, Applications Administrator

The Senior Heritage Planner gave a presentation reviewing the results of a site visit conducted on October 5, 2007. Old fire insurance maps indicate that a building of the same size and shape was positioned in a similar manner on the same lot. The building may have been a barn (circa late 19th C) converted to a house around 1950, but there is no evidence to indicate that the building was once a log structure.

Mr. Lorenzo Cuozzo, owner of the property, addressed the Heritage Markham Committee in support of the demolition permit application for 1 Station Street.

Mr. Michael Beggs, owner of 202 Main Street North, the house located beside 1 Station Street, addressed the Heritage Markham Committee in support of the proposed demolition.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

Given that the building is not constructed of log, that it was a former accessory building and that it was extensively altered circa 1940-50, Heritage Markham is not opposed to the demolition of the house at 1 Station Street and that any redevelopment of the property will be reviewed by Heritage Markham through the Town of Markham Site Plan Approval process.

CARRIED.

5. REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

22 DEANBANK DRIVE

PROPOSED CARPORT (16.11)

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
G. Duncan, Senior Heritage Planner

The Senior Heritage Planner gave a presentation on the proposal to add a carport to the west end of the garage. The property is a keyhole lot located within the Thornhill Heritage Conservation District. The owner of the property worked with the Heritage Markham Architectural Sub-Committee in November 2006 to reach a compromise where a two-car garage was permitted on certain conditions, including the elimination of plans for a carport.

Mr. Rod Robotham, architect of the building plans for the new house at 22 Deanbank Drive, addressed the Heritage Markham Committee on behalf of the owners in support of the proposed carport addition at 22 Deanbank Drive. He indicated that the carport would be for guest parking to remove vehicles from the front of the dwelling

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham does not support the proposed carport addition to the new house at 22 Deanbank Drive.

CARRIED.

6. REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK
100 JOHN STREET, THORNHILL
PROPOSED REMODELLING AND ADDITION
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
P. Wokral, Heritage Planner
-

The Heritage Planner gave a presentation on this application. The potential purchasers of this property have worked with staff to develop a conceptual design that contributes to the heritage character of the district.

Mr. Ron Thompson, owner of the property at 100 John Street, addressed the Heritage Markham Committee in support of the remodeling and addition plans.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham has no objection to the preliminary concept drawings of the front elevation dated October 10, 2007 which were submitted for feedback purposes for the proposed addition to and remodeling of 100 John Street;

AND THAT Heritage Markham looks forward to reviewing the complete set of elevations when a formal site plan application is submitted.

CARRIED.

7. SITE PLAN APPROVAL APPLICATION
FILE NO. SC 07 125993
4340-4350 HWY. 7 E. (16.11)
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
P. Wokral, Heritage Planner
-

The Heritage Planner gave a presentation on this application to alter the existing façade of the building. Members of Heritage Markham's Architectural Review Sub-Committee and Heritage Staff met with the applicant Bob Steven and agent David Mathews on September 24, 2007. Although the proposed plans were considered an improvement over the original designs, it was still felt that the plans were not compatible with the guidelines of the Unionville Heritage Conservation District Plan and not supportive of the heritage character of the district.

Mr. Bob Steven, applicant, addressed the Heritage Markham Committee in support of the Site Plan Approval application.

Mr. Anthony Di Silva, the architect developing the plans for the Infiniti dealership, addressed the committee to explain the rationale for the proposed plans, in support of the Site Plan Approval application.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham does not support the proposed official Infiniti corporate design for the façade of 4340-4350 Hwy. 7 E. Unionville, or the relocation of an existing masonry pier;

AND THAT Heritage Markham does not object to certain design features borrowed from the official Infiniti corporate design being employed within the structural framework of the existing building, such as bowed curtain wall made of translucent glass panels with a wooden paneled reveal with an entrance feature;

AND THAT Heritage Markham supports minor alterations to the existing building at 4340-4350 Hwy. 7 E. including an appropriate new colour scheme and appropriate new signage;

AND THAT if the applicant wishes, the Heritage Markham Architectural Sub-Committee will continue to work with the applicant and Heritage Staff to develop a design that more closely fits with the guidelines and goals of the Unionville Heritage Conservation District Plan.

CARRIED.

8. SIGN PERMIT
4340-4350 HWY. 7 E.
Unionville Heritage Conservation District
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
P. Wokral, Heritage Planner
-

The Heritage Planner gave a presentation on this application. The sign proposal does not meet guidelines for size and external illumination.

Mr. Bob Steven, applicant, addressed the Heritage Markham Committee advising that an incorrect sign proposal had been submitted and that the correct sign proposal, conforming to by-laws and the heritage character of the district, would be submitted.

The Heritage Markham Committee advised it would give priority status to considering the revised sign proposal.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT the applicant submit the revised sign proposal to Heritage Markham staff for recommendation, with authority to approve.

CARRIED.

9. SITE PLAN CONTROL APPLICATION SC 07 122678
9 EUCLID STREET
UNIONVILLE HERITAGE CONSERVATION DISTRICT
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager Of Heritage Planning,
P. Wokral, Heritage Planner
-

The Manager of Heritage Planning gave a presentation on this matter. The drawings submitted with the application indicate that the historic vertical tongue and groove siding, concealed by later wooden clapboard siding, is to be restored.

The owner has been removing urea formaldehyde foam from the wall cavities. A number of holes were drilled into the original siding during the foam installation.

The owner now wants to replace the original siding with new wood siding with the same profile. Staff noted a concern with the loss of the original siding and recommended that the holes be filled and painted to preserve the heritage attribute of the building.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham supports requesting the property owner to remove a larger area of the newer siding so that the Heritage Markham Architectural Sub-Committee might assess the situation and make an appropriate recommendation.

CARRIED.

10. REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK
194 MAIN STREET N., MARKHAM VILLAGE
PROPOSED WINDOW REPLACEMENT
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
P. Wokral, Heritage Planner
-

The Heritage Planner gave a presentation on this application. The owner wishes to replace the windows in this Regency Cottage style home with windows that do not exactly conform to the type of window originally used on the dwelling.

Mr. Sergio Cava, owner of 194 Main Street N., addressed the Heritage Markham Committee in support of the application. His main concern was the cost difference between segmental arched windows and flat top windows.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham recommends that the property owner replace windows facing the street with exact replica wood two-over-two windows with arched tops(as per the historic photograph);

AND THAT Heritage Markham has no objection to allowing the property owner to replace windows not facing the street with wood two-over-two windows with flat tops.

CARRIED.

11. INFORMATION
REQUEST BY HERITAGE MARKHAM
COMMENT ON “PLANNING FOR TOMORROW-YORK REGION GROWTH
MANAGEMENT” (16.11)
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
-

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham receive this item as information.

CARRIED.

12. SITE PLAN APPROVAL APPLICATION
FILE NO. SC 07 128304
7765 REESOR ROAD
TELECOMMUNICATIONS TOWER-TELUS (16.11)
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
N. Surti, Planner
-

Mr. Robert Raymer, Reesor Road, addressed the Heritage Markham Committee asking how some such requests were approved, yet others were not. Heritage staff responded on behalf of the committee that applications are reviewed and recommendations made according to the impact on the affected heritage property.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham does not support the telecommunication tower proposal due to its proximity to the listed heritage building at 7765 Reesor Road and its location within the Bob Hunter Environmental Area Park.

CARRIED.

13. SITE PLAN APPROVAL APPLICATION
FILE NO. SC 07 128821
9318 REESOR ROAD
TELECOMMUNICATIONS TOWER – GROUND TOWER-TELUS (16.11)
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
N. Surti, Planner
-

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham has no comment on the application for the Telus Tower proposal as the tower is not in the immediate vicinity of the heritage house on the property at 9318 Reesor Road, but notes that the proposed tower would be adjacent to Rouge Park (to the east) and to a heritage house at 9529 Reesor Road (slightly to the south of the proposed location).

CARRIED.

14. POLICY
REVIEW OF BOB HUNTER ENVIRONMENTAL
AREA MASTER PLAN (DRAFT) (16.11)
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
-

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham receive this item as information.

CARRIED.

15. SITE PLAN APPROVAL APPLICATION
FILE NO. SC 06 133247
260 MAIN STREET, UNIONVILLE
NEW HOUSE AND GARAGE CONVERSION (16.11)
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
D. Duncan, Senior Heritage Planner
-

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham recommends:

- existing wood siding may be removed and replaced, since the material appears to date from two different periods in the 20th century. A new stucco finish in natural colour, with a brushed surface to replicate the original treatment is recommended;
- a total building height from grade to the underside of the eaves of 10 feet 6 inches is acceptable, based on the height of the frame walls of the historic cottage (about 9 feet 5 inches as measure by staff) with the addition of a stone-faced foundation wall below. Lower garage doors may be required to achieve this height;
- the existing roof rafters and ceiling joists are significant architectural features to be preserved. Selected repairs and reinforcement where necessary is acceptable provided the majority of the original material remains intact. The early, wide roof sheathing boards should remain in place, with only seriously decayed board removed. It is understood that the roof deck will be covered with plywood and shingled with asphalt shingle.
- replica doors and windows are to be within the original rough frames as seen on the interior of the cottage, and not enlarged or reduced in size. The one remaining original window frame on the south wall (the outer trim) is to be preserved and not removed. If the old window frames still exist beneath the bay window

features, once the bays are removed, the window frames are to remain in place (subject to an examination by Heritage Section Staff). Exterior frames are to be modelled after the one remaining old frame on the south facing window (not installed with mitred picture frame corners, but installed with a post and lintel detail as seen on the south window). Windows and doors are to have traditional exterior window sills.

- The front door is to be a wood, 6 panelled cross and bible style door.

CARRIED.

16. REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK
48 GEORGE STREET MARKHAM VILLAGE
PROPOSED ADDITION (16.11)

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham has no objection to the conceptual plans for the proposed additions to the 1960s bungalow at 48 George Street, subject to:

- the owner applying for Site Plan Approval on the basis of this design;
- the Site Plan application coming back to Heritage Markham for review of materials and colours.

CARRIED.

17. SITE PLAN APPROVAL APPLICATION
FILE NO. SC 07 127341
19 JAMES WALKER CT., MARKHAM VILLAGE
PROPOSED NEW HOUSE (16.11)

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
G. Duncan, Senior Heritage Planner

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT in view of the precedents agreed to by the Town of Markham on these estate lots, Heritage Markham has no comments on the proposed design for the new house at 19 James Walker Court, but requests that the owner consider the suggestions of Heritage Section staff to modify the rear elevation facing the Rouge Valley to reflect more traditional local design details.

CARRIED.

18. HERITAGE PERMIT APPLICATION
FILE NO. HE 07 126530
236 MAIN STREET UNIONVILLE
FRONT YARD LANDSCAPING (16.11)
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
G. Duncan, Senior Heritage Planner
-

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham recommends that the designs for the proposed new front yard landscape features at 236 Main Street, Unionville, be revised to better address the heritage character of the Unionville Heritage Conservation District by:

- the use of brick cladding for the gateposts, in a brick size and colour typical of Unionville's 19th century buildings (salmon-red);
- the height of the masonry planters in front of the verandah being reduced from three blocks to one block;
- the planting of trailing plants in the planters to visually soften the hard edges of the stone.

CARRIED.

19. SITE PLAN APPROVAL APPLICATION
10 ALEXANDER HUNTER PLACE, HERITAGE ESTATES
MODIFICATIONS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN (16.11)
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
-

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham receive the item on 10 Alexander Hunter Place as information.

CARRIED.

20. ZONING BY-LAW AMENDMENT APPLICATION (16.11)
FILE NO. ZA 07 109644

PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE SIGN BY-LAW

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
G. Duncan, Senior Heritage Planner

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham has no objection to the proposed amendment to the sign by-law.

CARRIED.

21. CORRESPONDENCE (16.11)

Extract: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

1. Community Heritage Ontario – Workshop:
Conservation, Designation and Conflict

THAT this item be received as information.

CARRIED.

22. REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK
32 CHURCH ST. MARKHAM

PROPOSED RELOCATION OF HERITAGE HOUSE AND NEW ADDITION

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
P. Wokral, Heritage Planner

The Heritage Planner gave a presentation on this application. The owner had requested a demolition permit in 2005; the application was later withdrawn and the owner met with the former Mayor and Heritage Staff to discuss possible design options for the development of the property. The drawings recently submitted are incomplete and do not conform to guidelines reflecting the heritage character of the district.

Based on the conceptual elevation submitted, the Committee was concerned that the original dwelling was not retained as a separate entity and appeared to be engulfed by the new construction.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT the owner of 32 Church St. submit a set of complete drawings reflecting the staff recommended design principles outlined in the letter to the owner for the proposed addition to and relocation of the existing heritage house including a site plan, elevations and restoration plan;

AND THAT these drawings be referred to the Architectural Review Sub-Committee for further review and comment.

CARRIED.

23. BUILDING PERMIT APPLICATION
FILE NO. 07 128266 HP
7943 9TH LINE
FOUNDATION BUILDING PERMIT
FOR RE-LOCATED HERITAGE HOUSE (16.11)
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
P. Wokral, Heritage Planner
-

The Manager, Heritage Planning gave a presentation on this matter. Heritage staff recently received a letter and revised drawings from the applicant addressing the concerns previously identified by staff.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham has no objection to the construction of the new foundation, based on the revised elevations presented by the applicant.

CARRIED.

24. SITE PLAN APPROVAL APPLICATION
ZONING BY-LAW AMENDMENT APPLICATION
FILE NOS. ZA 07 127816 AND SC 07 124013
10137 WOODBINE AVENUE
COMMERCIAL REDEVELOPMENT
FORMER VICTORIA SQUARE SCHOOLHOUSE (16.11)
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
G. Day, Planner
-

The Manager of Heritage Planning gave a presentation on this matter. A staff report recommending designation of the existing building on the site, the former School Section No. 6, dating from 1877, will be presented to the Development Services

Committee on October 16th. The owner has recently indicated his plans to retain the building and his willingness to work with Heritage Markham and staff in developing appropriate site plans.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham does not support the concept plan associated with the Zoning By-law Amendment (plans as received on July 31, 2007) as the heritage building is not incorporated into the proposed development;

THAT should revised plans be submitted by the applicant that incorporate the former schoolhouse on site, and in a complementary manner, Heritage Markham would have no objection to the proposed new zoning to permit the identified uses (commercial school, day-care, doctor's office).

THAT Heritage Markham has no objection to removal of modern siding to allow the applicant to investigate a restoration approach;

THAT Heritage Markham has no objection to the placement of the existing schoolhouse on a new foundation to allow a full basement subject to ensuring that the relocated building has a finished floor height generally consistent with the original on-site condition;

THAT Heritage Markham requests the opportunity to review the revised site plan and elevation drawings;

THAT prior to execution of the site plan agreement, the owner be required to undertake the following:

- a) the preparation of a restoration plan for the exterior of the Schoolhouse (based on archival evidence) which will be included in the site plan agreement;
- b) provision of a legal survey of the new location of the Heritage Building to facilitate the registration of the designation and easement agreements;
- c) entering into a Heritage Easement Agreement with the Town for the Schoolhouse

AND THAT the Site Plan Agreement to include the following heritage requirements:

- Provision of an appropriate Letter of Credit for the Heritage Building to ensure its protection
- Provision for proper boarding and protection of the Heritage Building through fencing and signage;

- Provision to maintain the Heritage Building in good and sound conditions at all times prior to and during the development of the property;
- Prior to lowering the building onto the new foundation, provision by the Owner to submit a surveyor's report or an equivalent confirmation of finished floor height acceptable to the Town, confirming that the finished floor height is in conformity with the original location of the building;
- Provision whereby exposed foundation walls above grade should have a rebate to be faced with split faced, coursed random rubble laid to appear structural, as in a traditional fieldstone foundation. The stone to be of mixed colours and types representative of locally found fieldstone. The stone is not to be laid in a flagstone pattern resembling modern stone veneering;
- Provision of a marketing plan, to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Development Services, within six months of site plan approval to detail the ways and means the Heritage Building will be marketed to prospective purchasers
- Provision of a restoration plan for the Heritage Building and plans for any additions and alterations, and to complete the exterior restoration of the Heritage House, connection of all municipal services to the allocated lot (water, gas, hydro, cable, telephone etc.) and ensure basic standards of occupancy as confirmed by Building Standards Department within two years of site plan approval;
- Provision for an interpretative baked enamel plaque to be designed according to the specifications of the "Markham Remembered" program to address the history of the former Schoolhouse.

CARRIED.

25. SITE PLAN APPROVAL APPLICATION

FILE NO. SC 06 129809

9506 HIGHWAY 48

RESIDENTIAL-COMMERCIAL REDEVELOPMENT

ALBERT WIDEMAN HOUSE (16.11)

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
N. Surti, Planner

The Manager of Heritage Planning gave a presentation on this matter, noting that the applicant plans to relocate the building on the property and that the plans for the entire development had been revised from the last time the application was before Heritage Markham. The heritage house would be placed adjacent to the proposed townhouses.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham has no objection to the heritage building historically known as the Albert Wideman House being retained within the development as proposed;

THAT prior to execution of the site plan agreement, the owner shall undertake the following:

- a) a restoration plan for the exterior of the Albert Wideman House which will be included in the site plan agreement (and is to include replication of the former full veranda on the front of the house based on archival evidence);
- b) provision of a legal survey of the new location of the Heritage Building to facilitate the registration of the designation and easement agreements;
- c) entering into a Heritage Easement Agreement with the Town for the Albert Wideman House

AND THAT the site plan agreement include the following heritage requirements:

- Provision of an appropriate Letter of Credit for the Heritage Building to ensure its protection.
- Provision for proper boarding and protection of the Heritage Building through fencing and signage;
- Provision to maintain the Heritage Building in good and sound conditions at all times prior to and during the development of the property;
- Prior to lowering the building onto the new foundation, provision by the Owner to submit a surveyor's report or an equivalent confirmation of finished floor height acceptable to the Town, confirming that the finished floor height is in conformity with the original location of the building;
- Provision whereby exposed foundation walls above grade should have a rebate to be faced with split faced, coursed random rubble laid to appear structural, as in a traditional fieldstone foundation. The stone to be of mixed colours and types representative of locally found fieldstone. The stone is not to be laid in a flagstone pattern resembling modern stone veneering;
- Provision of a marketing plan, to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Development Services, within six months of site plan approval to detail the ways and means the Heritage Building will be marketed to prospective purchasers
- Provision of a restoration plan for the Heritage Building and plans for any additions and alterations, and to complete the exterior restoration of the Heritage House, connection of all municipal services to the allocated lot (water, gas, hydro, cable, telephone etc.) and ensure basic standards of occupancy as confirmed by Building Standards Department within two years of site plan approval;

- Provision for two interpretative baked enamel plaques to be designed according to the specifications of the "Markham Remembered" program to address the Albert Wideman House and the now demolished Andrew Wideman House

AND FURTHER THAT Heritage Markham has no objection to the proposed variance for the side yard setback of the heritage building.

CARRIED.

26. REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

9900 HIGHWAY 43

COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT – WILLIAM CLARRY HOUSE

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
N. Surti, Planner

The Manager of Heritage Planning gave a presentation on this matter, noting that the applicant plans to retain the William Clarry heritage house, on its original foundation within the new development.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham has no objection to the proposed mixed use development at 9900 Highway 48 subject to the heritage building historically known as the William Clarry House being retained on its original site as proposed;

THAT prior to execution of the future site plan agreement, the owner should undertake the following:

- a) a restoration plan for the exterior of the William Clarry House which will be included in the site plan agreement (and may include replication of the former full veranda on the front of the house);
- b) provision of a legal survey of the new location of the Heritage Building to facilitate the registration of the designation and easement agreements;
- c) entering into a Heritage Easement Agreement with the Town for the heritage house

AND THAT the future site plan agreement include the following heritage requirements:

- Provision of an appropriate Letter of Credit for the Heritage Building to ensure its protection
- Provision for proper boarding and protection of the Heritage Building through fencing and signage;

- Provision to maintain the Heritage Building in good and sound conditions at all times prior to and during the development of the property;
- Provision of a marketing plan, to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of Development Services, within six months of site plan approval to detail the ways and means the Heritage Building will be marketed to prospective purchasers
- Provision of a restoration plan for the Heritage Building and plans for any additions and alterations, and to complete the exterior restoration of the Heritage House, connection of all municipal services to the allocated lot (water, gas, hydro, cable, telephone etc.) and ensure basic standards of occupancy as confirmed by Building Standards Department within two years of site plan approval;
- Provision for an interpretative baked enamel plaque to be designed according to the specifications of the "Markham Remembered" program to address the William Clarry House.

CARRIED.

27. HERITAGE MARKHAM AWARDS OF EXCELLENCE (2007) (16.11)

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

The Senior Heritage Planner distributed and reviewed notes of the Heritage Markham Awards Sub-Committee meeting held on October 5, 2007. Members of the Awards Sub-Committee short-listed potential award recipients.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham receive the report of the Award of Excellence Sub-committee as information and that the following nominees be recommended for Heritage Markham Awards of Excellence (2007):

Heritage Planning – no nominations

Restoration – Corporate

- Mixed Use Development/Farmer Dan House, 819 Bur Oak Ave., Wismer

Restoration – Private Residential

- Lane house, 111 John Street Thornhill
- Railway Worker's Cottage, 41 Albert Street, Markham Village
- Completion of restoration of Markham Heritage Estates dwellings (4 or 5 awards to be confirmed by the Senior Heritage Planner)

Infill (New Construction)

- New Homes, 34 and 36 Washington Street, Markham Village
- New House, 10 Deanbank Drive, Thornhill
- Additions Edwardian House, 14 Pavilion Street, Unionville
- Reproduction House, 1 Euclid Street, Unionville

Education – No nominations

Individual Efforts in the field of conservation – No nominations

Achievements related to preservation

- Julie Christian, former Heritage Markham Chair

Outstanding Achievement Award – No nominations

CARRIED.

28. HERITAGE PROPERTY TAX REDUCTION PROGRAM 2007
HERITAGE EASEMENT AGREEMENT REQUIREMENT (16.11)
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
-

The Manager of Planning reminded Committee members of the October 15, 2007 deadline.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham receive this item as information.

CARRIED.

29. REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK
SITE PLAN CONTROL APPLICATION
TELECOMMUNICATIONS TOWER – GROUND TOWER-TELUS (16.11)
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
-

The Manager of Heritage Planning made a presentation on this matter.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Planning has no comment on the application for the Telus Tower proposed as the tower is not in the immediate vicinity of the heritage houses on the property at 2718 and 2730 Elgin Mills Road.

CARRIED.

30. REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK
ALTERATION TO ROOF MATERIAL
JOSHUA MILLER HOUSE
FRED AND JOYCE NELSON-WATT
10 HERITAGE CORNERS LANE
MARKHAM HERITAGE ESTATES (16.11)
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
G. Duncan, Senior Heritage Planner
-

Judith Dawson, Vice Chair, assumed the Chair for discussion of this item.

The Senior Heritage Planner made a presentation on this matter.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham has no objection to the use of recycled sheet metal roofing on the rear addition to the Joshua Miller House.

CARRIED.

31. REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK
PROPOSED VERANDAH RESTORATION
2 PETER ST.
MARKHAM VILLAGE HERITAGE CONSERVATION DISTRICT (16.11)
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
P. Wokral, Heritage Planner
-

Joyce Nelson-Watt, Chair, resumed the Chair.

The Heritage Planner made a presentation on this matter.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham refer this application to the Heritage Markham Architectural Review Sub-Committee to discuss and develop options agreeable to both Heritage Markham and the applicant.

CARRIED.

32. INFORMATION
HISTORICAL RAIL FENCES

The Manager of Heritage Planning advised that a local citizen would like to donate ten historical fence rails, preferably to someone living in Markham Heritage Estates.

33. LETTER TO HERITAGE ESTATES PROPERTY OWNERS
HERITAGE ESTATES
INCOMPLETE RESTORATION PLANS AND PROPERTY DEFICIENCIES

Committee members were advised that the letters have been sent to property owners. Councillor Moretti requested a copy of the letter.

34. INFORMATION
YORK REGION HERITAGE NETWORK

Committee member S. Casella invited all committee members to the next meeting of the York Region Heritage Network, scheduled for Tuesday November 13, 2007 at Sharon Temple. The Heritage Network is an association of York Region municipal heritage advisory committees. Mr. John McIntyre will speak about the restoration of the temple.

The meeting adjourned at 10:30 p.m.