

**HERITAGE MARKHAM COMMITTEE MEETING  
TOWN OF MARKHAM  
Canada Room, Markham Civic Centre  
Wednesday, May 13, 2009**

Members

Councillor Valerie Burke  
Susan Casella  
Ted Chisholm  
Judith Dawson, Vice-Chair  
James Makaruk  
Richard Morales  
Sylvia Morris  
Barry Nelson, Chair  
Denise Sabatini-Fuina  
Ronald Waine

Regrets

Deirdre Kavanagh  
Councillor Carolina Moretti  
Councillor Joe Virgilio

Staff

Regan Hutcheson, Manager, Heritage Planning  
George Duncan, Senior Heritage Planner  
Kitty Bavington, Committee Clerk

Disclosure of Pecuniary Interest

Judith Dawson, Vice-Chair, Acting Chair, convened the meeting at 7:20 p.m. by asking for any declarations of interest with respect to items on the agenda.

Denise Sabatini-Fuina declared a conflict of interest in regard to Agenda Item # 20, Façade Grant Applications, with respect to business related issues, and did not participate in debate or vote on the matter.

Barry Nelson assumed the Chair for the remainder of the meeting, following item # 2, Elections.

1. APPROVAL OF AGENDA (16.11)

- A) Addendum Agenda
- B) New Business from Committee Members

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT the Heritage Markham agenda be approved.

CARRIED

2.    A.    ELECTION OF THE CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR;  
      B.    SUB-COMMITTEES OF HERITAGE MARKHAM; AND,  
      C.    HERITAGE MARKHAM REPRESENTATIVES ON OTHER  
            COMMITTEES (16.11)

Extracts:       R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

---

The Manager of Heritage Planning called for motions for the election of the Chair, Vice-Chair, and sub-committee appointments.

The new Chair, Barry Nelson, was acclaimed. James Makaruk and Richard Morales were both nominated for Vice-Chair. After two votes, the results for Vice-Chair remained tied, and the Committee agreed to defer this item to the next meeting. Appointments to the various sub-committees were completed.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Barry Nelson be appointed as the Chair of Heritage Markham effective May 13, 2009;

AND THAT the appointment of Vice Chair of Heritage Markham be deferred to the next meeting;

AND THAT the following members comprise the Architectural Review Sub-Committee: Ted Chisholm, Judith Dawson, Ronald Waine, James Makaruk, and Susan Casella;

AND THAT Susan Casella and Judith Dawson are the Heritage Markham representatives on the Heritage Building Evaluation Sub-Committee;

AND THAT the following members comprise the 2009 Heritage Markham Awards of Excellence Sub-Committee: Sylvia Morris and Susan Casella;

AND THAT Heritage Markham confirms that Susan Casella and Deirdre Kavanagh are the Heritage Markham representatives on the Doors Open Committee;

AND THAT Deirdre Kavanagh is the Heritage Markham representative on the Main Street Markham Committee with Richard Morales as alternate;

AND THAT Heritage Markham confirms that Susan Casella and Deirdre Kavanagh are the Heritage Markham representatives on the Buttonville Heritage Conservation District Study Advisory Committee;

AND THAT Deirdre Kavanagh is the Heritage Markham representative on the Main Street Markham Class Environmental Assessment – Community Advisory Group and that Richard Morales and Denise Sabatini-Fuina are the alternate representatives.

CARRIED

3. THANK YOU TO JOYCE NELSON –WATT (16.11)

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

---

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT appreciation be expressed to Joyce Nelson-Watt for serving on the Heritage Markham Committee for three years, and for her leadership as Chair from 2007 to May 2009.

CARRIED

4. ADOPTION OF MINUTES

FOURTH HERITAGE MARKHAM MEETING

APRIL 8, 2009 (16.11)

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

---

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT the Minutes of the Heritage Markham meeting held on April 8, 2009 be received and adopted.

CARRIED

5. BIKE RACKS AND BIKE PATH SIGNS (16.11)

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

L. Mele, Markham Transportation and Planning Section

---

The Manager of Heritage Planning introduced this item and provided a hand-out from Mr. Mele that illustrated details of the proposed bicycle racks and route signs. Heritage Markham had previously requested the signs be consistent with heritage colours, however, the colours have been chosen for their visibility and recognizability, and must be consistent throughout the network.

Mr. Lorenzo Mele, Markham Transportation and Planning Section, also representing the Cycling and Pedestrian Committee, gave a presentation to Heritage Markham. He discussed the style of the rack and its compatibility with the street furniture, and answered questions from the Committee.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT the deputation by Lorenzo Mele, Markham Transportation Planning Section be received;

AND THAT Heritage Markham supports the introduction of bicycle racks on Main Street Markham in the design proposed at the May 13, 2009 meeting;

AND THAT Heritage Markham has no objection to the use of the green bike path signs in Markham Village Heritage Conservation District;

AND THAT the installation of the bicycle racks and the bicycle route signs proceed in a timely manner.

CARRIED

6. SITE PLAN APPROVAL APPLICATION  
FILE NO. SC09113278  
28 PETER STREET, MARKHAM VILLAGE  
PROPOSED NEW DETACHED 2 CAR GARAGE (16.11)  
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning  
P. Wokral, Heritage Planner
- 

The Senior Heritage Planner explained that this application had been before Heritage Markham at the last meeting, and an issue relating to the cladding had been identified. The applicant and staff have worked on the proposal, and the Committee was provided with an e-mail from Matt Muller, outlining the revised proposal and specifying the colour and design details. Staff indicated they have no objection, and recommend that design details be delegated to staff.

Mr. Robert Vance (Owner) and Mr. Matt Muller (Agent) were in attendance to answer any questions.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham has no objection to the owner of 28 Peter St. using a stucco cladding for the proposed two car garage provide that it is complementary to the surroundings in terms of colour and texture;

AND THAT final approval of the design and material for the proposed garage at 28 Peter St. be delegated to Heritage Section Staff.

CARRIED

7. REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

10761 WOODBINE AVENUE (16.11)

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning  
G. Day, Project Planner

---

The Committee thanked the applicant for working with Heritage Markham and staff.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham supports the applicant's concept of retaining and restoring the exterior of the oldest, front portion of the heritage house at 10761 Woodbine Avenue, and replacing the rear kitchen wing and other additions with a new, one and a half storey link that will connect to the proposed two storey addition, subject to:

- The link being no higher than the roof ridge of the heritage house;
- The link being stepped back from the south gable end wall of the heritage house to preserve the identity of the heritage component within the context of the larger, new building;
- The link being sided in clapboard or another type of heritage siding to contrast with the brick exterior of the original building.

CARRIED

8. POLICY FOR RESTORATION

PLAN REQUIREMENTS (16.11)

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

---

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham adopt the Restoration Plan Requirements policy document prepared by Heritage Section staff.

CARRIED

9. HERITAGE PERMIT APPLICATIONS APPROVED BY STAFF  
FILE NOS. HE 09 113017, HE 09113046, HE 09 113 045  
11 HERITAGE CORNERS LANE, 5000 STEELES AVENUE,  
AND 167 JOHN STREET (16.11)  
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
- 

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham receive the memorandum concerning the Heritage Permit Applications for 11 Heritage Corners Lane, 5000 Steeles Avenue, and 167 John Street, approved by staff on behalf of Heritage Markham, as information.

CARRIED

10. BUILDING PERMIT APPLICATIONS  
FILE NO. 09 113 355 HP, 09 112 707 HP, AND 09 113 246 DP  
12 GEASON AVENUE, 41 MAPLE STREET,  
4551 ELGIN MILLS ROAD (16.11)  
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
- 

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham receive the memorandum concerning the Building Permit Applications for 12 Gleason Avenue, 41 Maple Street, and 4551 Elgin Mills Road, approved by staff on behalf of Heritage Markham, as information.

CARRIED

11. COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT CONSENT APPLICATION  
FILE NO. B/15/09  
15 CHURCH LANE  
CEMETERY SEVERANCE – ST. VOLODYMYR'S CHURCH (16.11)  
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning  
R. Cefaratti, Secretary of Committee of Adjustment
- 

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham has no objection to Committee of Adjustment Consent Application B/17/09 for 15 Church Lane.

CARRIED

12. MINUTES OF THE APRIL 17, AND  
MAY 1, 2009 DOORS OPEN MARKHAM  
PLANNING COMMITTEE (16.11)  
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
- 

The Committee thanked Susan Casella, Deirdre Kavanagh, and George Duncan for their efforts. A correction was noted in the meeting notes from the Doors Open Planning Committee meeting, to state that “S. Casella and Councillor V. Burke have met with some members of the Toronto Ladies Golf Club”.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

Receive as Information.

CARRIED

13. REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK  
21 FRANKLIN STREET  
PUBLIC SCHOOL PARKING LOT EXPANSION (16.11)  
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
- 

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham has no objection to the proposed parking lot expansion at Franklin Street Public School, based on the preliminary drawings submitted.

AND THAT approval of a future site plan control application, based on these designs, be delegated to Heritage Section staff.

CARRIED

14. COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT VARIANCE APPLICATION  
FILE NO. A/51/09  
12 GLEASON AVENUE  
PROPOSED SECOND STOREY ADDITION (16.11)  
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning  
P. Wokral, Project Planner
- 

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham has no objection to the requested variances to permit:

- a front yard setback of 4 ft 10 in to an existing front porch; whereas the By-law requires a minimum front yard setback of 25 ft;
- a front yard setback of 7 ft 9 in to a proposed roof canopy above the front door entrance ; whereas the By-law requires a minimum front yard setback of 25 ft;
- a front yard setback of 9 ft 9 in to the front wall of the existing dwelling; whereas the By-law requires a minimum front yard setback of 25 ft;
- a front yard setback of 21 ft 2 in to a second storey addition; whereas the by-law requires a minimum front yard setback of 25 ft; and
- a westerly side yard setback of 3 ft to an existing detached garage; whereas the by-law requires minimum side yard setback of 4 ft.

CARRIED

15. FILE NO. SC 08 120106  
12 HERITAGE CORNERS LANE  
PROPOSED REVISIONS TO ADDITION  
TO 12 HERITAGE CORNERS LANE (16.11)  
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning  
P. Wokral, Project Planner
- 

The Manager of Heritage Planning provided a hand-out with background information, and explained that the previously-approved rear addition has been revised.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham has no objection to the proposed alterations to the rear addition to the John Koch house at 12 Heritage Corner's Lane;

AND THAT the applicant enter into a Site Plan Agreement with the Town containing the usual provisions regarding windows, colours, materials, etc.;

AND THAT final approval of any changes to the design be delegated to Heritage Section Staff provided they are not significantly different from the plans reviewed by Heritage Markham.

CARRIED



16. CORRESPONDENCE (16.11)

Extract: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

---

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT HERITAGE MARKHAM receives the following correspondence:

- a) Architectural Conservancy of Ontario: ACORN Journal, Spring, 2009;
- b) Edifice Magazine, Issue 20, Spring, 2009;
- c) Old Home Expo 2009: Oakville, May 23, 24, 2009;
- d) Society for the Preservation of Historical Thornhill, May 2009 newsletter;
- e) Toronto Historical Association, May, 2009;
- f) Heritage Canada Foundation: Communiqué “Greening Heritage Buildings”; and,
- g) Heritage Canada Foundation: Call for Nominations – Board of Governors.

CARRIED

17. SITE PLAN APPROVAL APPLICATION

FILE NO. SC 09 112 454

100 JOHN STREET, THORNHILL

PROPOSED NEW DETACHED HOUSE (16.11)

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning  
P. Wokral, Heritage Planner

---

The Senior Heritage Planner explained that this application has been before Heritage Markham previously, and extensive discussions have taken place between the Committee, the applicant, and staff. The application has been revised to meet the recommendations, with the exception of two minor points. In addition, the Society for Preservation of Historic Thornhill (SPOHT) has provided several recommendations. Staff provided a chart comparing the Architectural Sub-committee and SPOHT recommendations, and staff comments.

David Shakker, owner, Victor Guitberg, Architect, and Joseph Carullo, builder, were in attendance. Mr. Guitberg displayed the revised site plan and preliminary landscaping plan, and compared the proposed structure to the existing structure, with respect to size, setbacks, garage, driveway orientation, and screening. He advised that the elevations and design details have been developed in coordination with Heritage staff and the Architectural Review Sub-Committee, and that 90% of the existing trees will be preserved.

The Committee offered the following comments:

- the stonework design is too modern, and should be more rustic.
- the brick arches should be removed from the north elevations, similar to what has been done over the garage doors
- can the house be sited differently
- have the neighbours been consulted

Mr. Carullo advised that all materials will be to the approval of Heritage Section Staff. The overhang cannot extend more than 20 inches due to the height limitations and the view from the windows would be blocked. It was agreed that the brick arch could be removed from the east elevation. The house is sited to match the front yard setback of the adjacent dwellings. The Committee was advised that the applicant has talked to the neighbours, but the plans have not been shown to them yet.

Marion Matthias, 33 Colborne Street, expressed disappointment in the design and the stonework, and in the loss of the spruce tree. Ms. Matthias stated that in her opinion, the proposed size and design do not fit in with the other houses on John Street.

Judson Whiteside, 14 Deanbank Drive, advised that as a neighbour, they had not been consulted. He stated that he does not object to the house, and in his opinion, the existing house is an eyesore. Mr. Whiteside's concerns included the encroachment into the side yard setback; the east elevation is too massive and needs to be softened; and the impact on Deanbank Lane.

Evelin Ellison, of the Ward 1 South Ratepayers, displayed photos of existing houses in the area, and stated that the new house should complement neighbouring houses, the Thornhill Heritage District, and the former Dean house. Ms. Ellison had concerns for the height, massing, and the grading.

In response to comments, Mr. Carullo and Mr. Guitberg explained that the variance is for a corner of the house and is less than the existing encroachment; the materials and design details can be changed and windows can be added to the east side to soften the massing; and that landscaping will screen the building along Deanbank Lane.

The Committee recognized the amount of work done by the applicant, staff, and the Committee and the compromises that have been made. Appreciation was stated to the residents and SPOHT for their efforts as well.

Staff noted that extensive fine-tuning of the design has been done and the material, which is the most important detail, can be carefully selected to fit the area. Staff support the design as being compatible with other examples of the Arts and Crafts style in the area.

Committee made further comments regarding the possibility of flipping the design east-west and decreasing the size of the building. The applicant agreed to meet with the Architectural Review Sub-Committee.

#### HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT the site plan for 100 John Street be referred back to the Architectural review Sub-Committee for further refinement, and that SPOHT be invited to attend the review.

CARRIED

18. SITE PLAN APPROVAL APPLICATION

FILE NO. SC 09 111 099

58-72 MARKHAM MAIN STREET NORTH

PROPOSED RE-DEVELOPMENT OF

THE MARKHAM VILLAGE SHOPPES (16.11)

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning  
P. Wokral, Heritage Planner

---

The Manager of Heritage Planning provided background on this application and reviewed the recommendations of the Architectural Review Sub-Committee. He also mentioned that a west elevation drawing is still required to be submitted, and Staff had requested a streetscape perspective looking south to see the transition of the 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> floors. The design of the rear of storefront is also an issue that needs further review.

The Committee was advised that the Ward Councillor and the applicant are unable to attend today's meeting, and have requested this item be deferred to the next meeting.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT the application to redevelop the property at 58-72 Main Street North be deferred to the meeting scheduled for June 10, 2009, to allow the Ward Councillor and the applicant to attend.

CARRIED

19. COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT VARIANCE APPLICATION

FILE NO. A/52/09

12 EUCLID/ 30 UNION STREET

MINOR VARIANCES FOR NEW HOUSE AND GARAGE (16.11)

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning  
G. Duncan, Senior Heritage Planner  
R. Cefaratti, Committee of Adjustment

---

Russ Gregory, representing the owners, explained the proposal and the variances required for the garage. The owners (Mr. and Mrs Cosburn) were also in attendance.

The Committee considered that the proposed garage was very large, and that a three-car garage is not typical in a Heritage District. Suggestions were made to reduce its size and impact. The issue of height was also a concern.

Mr. Gregory confirmed that the size is to accommodate the owner's three cars and for storage purposes, and that it would not be used for living quarters. He noted that the lot is oversized, and the total coverage of all the structures in 19%, whereas the By-law permits

35% coverage. He also advised that the property is on a dead-end street, and the garage would provide a buffer to the CN Rail line.

Concern was expressed by the Committee for the precedent this may set. Mr. Gregory, in consultation with the owners, offered to reduce the garage height by two feet. In response to questioning, the Committee was advised that neighbours have not yet been notified of the proposal.

This application was discussed in conjunction with Item # 21, a Request for Feedback on the site plan and elevations for the new house and garage.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham does not support the proposal for Committee of Adjustment Variance Application A/52/09, as stated;

THAT Heritage Markham recommends the Committee of Adjustment defer consideration of the requested variances until after June 10, to allow Heritage Markham to meet with the applicants to discuss modifications;

AND THAT the proposal for a new house and garage at 12 Euclid / 30 Union Street be referred to the Architectural Review Sub-Committee, to provide a recommendation to Heritage Markham.

CARRIED

20. FAÇADE GRANT APPLICATIONS FOR 2009

- A. 71 MAIN STREET NORTH – SIGNAGE
- B. 7 WASHINGTON STREET – WINDOW REPLACEMENT
- C. 170 MAIN STREET, UNIONVILLE – STONE FRONT ALTERATIONS
- D. 4496 HIGHWAY 7 EAST – STORE FRONT ALLOCATIONS

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning  
P. Wokral, Heritage Planner

---

Denise Sabatini-Fuina declared a conflict of interest in regard to Agenda Item # 20, Façade Grant Applications, with respect to business related issues, and did not participate in debate or vote on the matter.

The Manager of Heritage Planning reviewed the four applications received for Façade Grants, describing each proposal and related issues and easements.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham supports the funding of a new ground sign in conformance with the Sign By-law at 71 Main Street North, Markham. for “Backstage Hair” through the Town of Markham Commercial Façade Improvement Grant Program to the amount of \$2,388.75;

AND THAT Heritage Markham has no objection to Council waiving the requirement regarding the commencement of the work at 71 Main Street North, Markham prior to any final approval of the grant application given the nature of the proposed project;

AND THAT Heritage Markham supports the funding of a replacement palladian window at 7 Washington St. “The Markham District Veteran’s Association” through the Town of Markham Commercial Façade Improvement Grant Program provided that the new window be made of wood and have the same pane divisions, shape, and decorative details as the original window based on an archival photograph in the possession of the Town, to the amount of \$3,953.00;

AND THAT Heritage Markham supports the funding of storefront improvements to 170 Main St. Unionville “Old Fire Hall Confectionary” through the Town of Markham Commercial Façade Improvement Grant Program to the amount of \$10,000.00 which is the maximum permitted grant for non-heritage buildings;

AND THAT Heritage Markham has no objection to Council waiving the requirement regarding the commencement of the work at 170 Main Street, Unionville prior to any final approval of the grant application given the nature of the proposed project;

AND THAT Heritage Markham supports the funding of storefront improvements to 4496 Highway 7 Unionville “Auto Sound Design” through the Town of Markham Commercial Façade Improvement Grant Program Commercial Façade Grant to the amount of \$10,000.00 which is the maximum permitted grant for non-heritage buildings conditional upon the following:

- removal of illegal window signage;
- the addition of awnings on the main windows to provide a more traditional storefront appearance;
- the painting of the stucco in a traditional heritage colour;
- removal of the continuous parapet lighting fixtures and the introduction of more traditional light fixtures related to the new signage.

AND THAT Heritage Markham supports both 170 Main St. Unionville and 4496 Highway 7 Unionville entering into façade easement agreements with the Town in order to be eligible for the Town of Markham Commercial Façade Improvement Grant Program;

AND THAT Heritage Markham’s support for the 2009 Commercial Façade Grant Applications is conditional on the applicants meeting the eligibility requirements of the program (other than as noted above);

AND THAT final approval of Heritage Permit applications, Sign Permit applications, and Building Permit applications required to carry out the proposed work or alterations

described in the 2009 Commercial Façade Grant Applications be delegated to Heritage Section Staff.

CARRIED

21. REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK  
PROPOSED NEW HOUSE AND DETACHED GARAGE  
JENNIFER COSBURN  
30 UNION STREET  
UNIONVILLE HERITAGE CONSERVATION DISTRICT  
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
- 

This application was discussed in conjunction with Item # 19, Committee of Adjustment Application A/52/00.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT the site plan and elevations for the proposed new house and detached garage at 30 Union Street be referred to the Architectural Review Sub-Committee for review and comment.

CARRIED

22. REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK  
REESOR'S MARMILL COMMEMORATIVE ARCHES  
MARMILL WAY CONDOMINIUM  
2-49 MARMILL WAY (FORMERLY 14 STATION STREET)  
MARKHAM VILLAGE HERITAGE CONSERVATION DISTRICT  
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning;  
G. Duncan, Senior Planner
- 

The Senior Heritage Planner advised that this matter had been before the Committee recently, and that Susan Casella had visited the site to examine the arches and entrance features.

Susan provided a verbal report, confirming that the one feature closest to the children's play area is in poor repair, likely as a result of improper installation. The applicant is prepared to restore the structure. The Committee had suggested that donated materials from another location be used, and they are checking to see if timbers are available at the Markham Museum. It was also recommended that repair and preventative measures be taken on all the structures.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham receive the verbal report from Susan Casella concerning the condition of the timber arbour at the Marmill site;

AND THAT appreciation be conveyed to Susan Casella for her investigation into this matter.

CARRIED

23. SITE PLAN CONTROL APPLICATION SC 09 114821  
ARCHIBALD FENWICK HOUSE  
KARI AND CHI-SAM TRAN  
7 HERITAGE CORNERS LANE  
MARKHAM HERITAGE ESTATES

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning;  
George Duncan, Senior Heritage Planner

---

The Senior Heritage Planner advised that the dwelling is being relocated to Heritage Estates in June, and the owners are working with staff on site plan and elevation issues. Staff concur with the conclusion that the building tail could not be moved or restored, but it will be replicated.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham finds the site plan and elevations for the Fenwick House to be generally acceptable and in keeping with the approved Restoration Approach;

THAT the applicant work with staff to ensure the authenticity of the treatment of the French doors and front veranda, as these are significant and highly visible architectural details of this house;

THAT final approval of the Site Plan Control application be delegated to Heritage Section staff,

AND THAT the Site Plan Agreement contain the usual heritage conditions.

CARRIED

24. REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK  
6330 16<sup>TH</sup> AVENUE  
STATUS OF REAR WINGS AND FRONT VERANDA

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning  
G. Sellars, Project Planner

---

The Manager of Heritage Planning described the highlights of a site visit by staff, Ron Waine, and Susan Casella. It was concluded that the two rear additions and the front veranda be retained and re-examined once the development design is developed. The

owner has agreed to consider tenanting the house and has indicated that their intention is to preserve and include the dwelling in the redevelopment proposal.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham considers this building to be a significant heritage resource worthy of protection and incorporation into any redevelopment proposal for this property;

THAT Heritage Markham recommends that the two rear frame additions to the main brick building and the front veranda (circa 1910) be retained at this time;

THAT Heritage Markham will review the status of these features when further information is available on the future use of the building and proposed redevelopment of the property as part of a formal development application;

THAT Heritage Markham strongly recommends that the owner tenant the dwelling to protect the heritage resource from future vandalism and undertake basic maintenance practices.

AND THAT Heritage Markham looks forward to working closely with the owner to preserve and incorporate this building into future development plans for the property.

CARRIED

25. DEMOLITION PERMIT  
REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK ON PROPOSED DWELLING  
60 ROUGE STREET, MARKHAM VILLAGE  
FILE: 09 115007 DP  
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning  
Lori Beattie, Applications Administrator-Building
- 

The Manager of Heritage Planning reviewed the development history of the subject property. The elevations will be considered by the Architectural Review Sub-Committee.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham has no objection to the demolition of the existing dwelling at 60 Rouge Street.

And that the proposed new dwelling elevations be sent to the Architectural Review Sub-Committee for review.

CARRIED



26. INFORMATION  
WASHROOM DIRECTIONAL SIGNAGE  
MAIN STREET UNIONVILLE  
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning  
Paul Ingham, Operations
- 

The Manager of Heritage Planning provided an update on the proposed washroom signage, advising that the heritage colours of Maroon and white will be used, and the signs will be placed on light standards or hanging basket posts.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham has no objection to the installation of the temporary washroom directional signage as proposed by Operations Department;

AND THAT Heritage Markham looks forward to reviewing the final signage design for washroom directional signage;

AND THAT Heritage Markham suggests the use of the heritage district logo and traditional sign shape in any future re-design of the signage

CARRIED

27. REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK  
40 CHURCH STREET, MARKHAM  
PROPOSAL TO RAISE FOUNDATION  
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
- 

The Manager of Heritage Planning gave a brief explanation of the proposal and advised that staff have no objections, subject to the recommended conditions.

The prospective purchaser, Gregg Salivan and his agent, Mr. Carser, were in attendance to answer any questions.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham has no objection from a heritage perspective to the preliminary drawing dated May 10, 2009 which was submitted for feedback purposes for the proposed raising of the basement foundation from 2' 5" to 3' 9" at 40 Church Street, Markham Village Heritage Conservation District subject to the following conditions:

- The front porch be built of wood

- The railing of the front porch be constructed of wood in a traditional design with a top and bottom rail with pickets
- The lattice screen have a border and be square lattice
- A landscape planting bed be introduced in front of the lattice area to the west of the stairs to help lessen the perceived height

CARRIED

The meeting adjourned at 10:05 p.m.