

**HERITAGE MARKHAM COMMITTEE MEETING
TOWN OF MARKHAM
Council Chambers, Markham Civic Centre**

Wednesday, April 13, 2011

MINUTES

Members

Councillor Valerie Burke
Councillor Colin Campbell
Susan Casella
Ted Chisholm
Councillor Don Hamilton
Deirdre Kavanagh
Jeanne Ker-Hornell
Sylvia Morris
Barry Nelson, Chair
Ronald Waine

Regrets

Judith Dawson
James Makaruk, Vice Chair
Richard Morales

Staff

Regan Hutcheson, Manager, Heritage Planning
George Duncan, Senior Heritage Planner
Peter Wokral, Heritage Planner
Kitty Bavington, Council/Committee Coordinator

Barry Nelson, Chair, convened the meeting at 7:22 p.m. by asking for any declarations of interest with respect to items on the agenda. None were declared.

1. **APPROVAL OF AGENDA (16.11)**

- A) Addendum Agenda
- B) New Business

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT the Heritage Markham agenda be approved.

CARRIED

2. MINUTES OF THE MARCH 9, 2011
HERITAGE MARKHAM COMMITTEE (16.11)
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
-

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT the Minutes of the Heritage Markham meeting held on March 9, 2011 be received and adopted.

CARRIED

3. TOWN OF MARKHAM VOLUNTEER COMMITTEES (16.11)
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
-

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT the Markham Volunteer advertisement be received as information.

CARRIED

4. HERITAGE PERMIT APPLICATION
MARKHAM VILLAGE HERITAGE CONSERVATION DISTRICT
WASTE MANAGEMENT - BIG BELLY WASTE CONTAINERS (16.11)
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
C. Marsales, Manager of Waste Management
-

Ms. Claudia Marsales, Manager of Waste Management gave a presentation regarding a Waste Management pilot project - Big Belly Waste Containers for recyclables. The project will be launched in early May to coincide with the opening of the Farmer's Market. Ms. Marsales explained the features and advantages of the container, and advised that the usual container will hold only a few pounds, whereas the new product will hold 46 pounds, as compacted using solar power. They are designed to fit into a heritage neighbourhood, with a variety of colours. Two will be installed on Main Street Markham and two in Unionville. Illustrated samples were provided for the Committee's comments.

Discussions included the size and colour of the containers, and that it is completely enclosed to eliminate pests. With respect to the locations of installations, this will be determined in consultation with the BIAs and the Ward Councillors.
(See item 20 for addition discussions)

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham has no objection to the introduction of the Big Belly waste container (with heritage colours) in the commercial area of the Markham Village Heritage Conservation District as a pilot project, subject to the use of both black and heritage coloured containers in each area.

CARRIED

5. SITE PLAN APPROVAL APPLICATION
SC 10 118308
93 JOHN STREET, THORNHILL
UNAUTHORIZED DESIGN DEVIATIONS/STOP WORK ORDER (16.11)
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
P. Wokral, Heritage Planner
C. Bird, Manager, Plans Review
T. Boyko, Manager, Building Inspections
-

The Committee had before it the notes and recommendation from the Architectural Review Sub-Committee meeting held on March 29, 2011.

The Heritage Planner reviewed the history of the development at 93 John Street, and the unauthorized site plan variations. Staff have reviewed the situation and have identified a number of changes that would bring the dwelling more into conformity; however, the Architectural Review Sub-Committee would like the dwelling to be completed substantially as approved. It was noted that a variance to increase the Floor Area Ratio (FAR) was approved, but that the owner has further increased the floor area by approximately 400 ft². The approved elevations have been also been altered.

David Eckler of Area Architects, representing the applicant, provided drawings of the approved and revised elevations, reflecting some of the corrections that the applicant is willing to carry out based on staff recommendations. The applicant has agreed to reinstate several aspects of the original plans. A recent photograph showing partial construction was displayed. It was noted that the pitch of the roof has not changed, the bracketing under the eaves will be installed, and the stone work on the bay window has been completed and will remain. The Committee stated that the joining of the two small roofs at the front is an important feature to the Arts & Crafts character, but it was acknowledged that it would be difficult to achieve due to the footprint of the house and the lack of detail drawings.

Mr. Mozaffari, the applicant, explained that the alterations were inadvertent due to difficulties pointed out by the contractor, his inexperience as a project manager, and that he had been unaware of some of the changes.

Discussions included the foundation at back of the house. It was confirmed that a full foundation was approved; however the first and second floors were supposed to be notched out on the rear elevation above a patio/deck, which has now been enclosed instead, adding to the FAR.

With respect to the windows, the applicant stated it would be very difficult to replace all the windows that are different from the approved plan; however, the architect agreed to consider adding surface muntin bars on the outside of the front and side windows, in addition to the interior bars, to add depth and prominence to that feature. He also agreed to replace three of the incorrect windows on the front elevation. With respect to the stone

work, the Committee commented that the absence of basement windows and the stone between the bay windows gives the house a castle-like appearance, rather than the Arts & Crafts style. The Committee also questioned the colour of the brick.

A motion was made to endorse the Architectural Review Sub-committee recommendation that the dwelling be in conformity to the approved site plan, excluding the eyebrow window dormer on the roof and the joined roof feature between the two small front roofs.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham has reviewed the proposed deviations from the approved plans for a new dwelling at 93 John Street, Thornhill and that the only modification supported by the Committee is the removal of the eyebrow window dormer on the roof and the joined roof feature between the two small front roofs;

AND THAT Heritage Markham requests the applicant implement the remainder of the new dwelling in conformity with the approved site plan drawings as these drawings already reflect a number of compromises by the Heritage Markham Committee.

CARRIED

6. REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK
PROPOSED NEW HOUSE AND DETACHED GARAGE
12 EUCLID STREET
UNIONVILLE HERITAGE CONSERVATION DISTRICT (16.11)
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
P. Wokral, Heritage Planner
-

The Heritage Planner introduced this item, advising that the existing house was approved for demolition, conditional upon submission of drawings for the new house in conformity with the Unionville Heritage Conservation District. Staff proposed revisions to the draft design proposal and the Architect has submitted revised drawings in response.

The Couperthwaites, owners, were in attendance. Mr. Nigel Scott, Architect for the applicants, spoke about the siting of the house on the corner lot, preservation of the mature trees, setback and massing in comparison to adjacent houses, and accessibility. It was confirmed that the drawings are accurate to grade and roof height, and that the dwelling will be 2,500 ft² in size and 1½ storeys high. The separate garage will be a three-bay style, clad in wood with one bay recessed.

The Committee discussed the slope of lot in relation to the existing house and the compatibility with other houses on the road with respect to the streetscape. It was suggested that an adverse precedent may be set if the dwelling is brought forward significantly. The size of the porch and the number of columns were questioned.

Mr. Scott provided photos of other houses on the street that displayed a mix of brick and wood finishes, and he provided a sample of the brick proposed to be used for the dwelling. The Committee suggested that the concern is not with the brick itself, but that the design of the house does not suit the use of brick. Staff explained the reasoning and policy issues regarding the recommended use of wood.

The Committee suggested the size and scale were acceptable, and that the verandah treatment and setback from Union Street may downplay the use of brick as the visual impacts would be reduced. It was also noted that although the design is attractive, it does not represent a traditional style found on Euclid Street as is required in the Unionville District Plan policies.

It was noted that preliminary feedback is being requested at this time. The applicant will prepare working drawings and submit a site plan application, and there may be further revisions required during review process.

Staff summarized that the design is not in conformity to the design guidelines, and the Committee advised the applicant that the use of brick may be entertained provided the style is considered appropriate.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT the applicant have regard for the staff comments with respect to conformity with the guidelines of the Unionville Heritage Conservation District Plan, and amend the design accordingly.

CARRIED

7. HERITAGE PERMIT APPLICATIONS
DELEGATED APPROVALS: HERITAGE PERMITS
APPROVED BY HERITAGE SECTION STAFF (16.11)
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
-

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham receive the information on heritage permits approved by Heritage Section staff under the delegated approval process.

CARRIED

8. BUILDING PERMIT APPLICATIONS
DELEGATED APPROVALS:
BUILDING AND SIGN PERMITS APPROVED BY STAFF (16.11)
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
-

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham receive the information on building permits approved by Heritage Section staff under the delegated approval process.

CARRIED

9. BUILDING DEMOLITION APPLICATION
30 PETER STREET
DEMOLITION OF DETACHED GARAGE
MARKHAM VILLAGE HERITAGE CONSERVATION DISTRICT
FILE NUMBER: 11 113225 DP (16.11)
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
-

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham has no objection to the demolition of the detached garage at 30 Peter Street.

CARRIED

10. COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT
MINOR VARIANCE APPLICATION
JOHN ALEXANDRIS
60 ROUGE STREET
MARKHAM VILLAGE HERITAGE CONSERVATION DISTRICT
FILE NUMBER: A/25/11 (16.11)
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
S. Corr, Secretary-Treasurer, Committee of Adjustment
-

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham has no objection to Minor Variance Application A/25/11 for 60 Rouge Street.

CARRIED

11. REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK
CHIMNEY REPLACEMENT
NICHOLAS HAGERMAN HOUSE
60 MAPLE PARK WAY
HAGERMAN'S CORNERS COMMUNITY (16.11)
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
-

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham supports the replacement of the existing brick chimney on the rear wing of the Nicholas Hagerman Sr. House at 60 Maple Park Way with a “dummy” chimney based on historic Markham styles;

AND THAT Heritage Section staff be delegated approval authority for any required permits.

CARRIED

12. HERITAGE DESIGNATION
3 HERITAGE CORNERS LANE, MARKHAM HERITAGE ESTATES
DAVID AND MELISSA LONEY
JOSEPH MARR HOUSE
DESIGNATION UNDER PART IV OF THE
ONTARIO HERITAGE ACT
MARKHAM HERITAGE ESTATES (16.11)
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
G. Duncan, Senior Heritage Planner
-

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham recommends to Council that the Joseph Marr House at 3 Heritage Corners Lane be designated under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act as a property of cultural heritage value or interest.

CARRIED

13. REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK
6881 HIGHWAY 7 EAST
PROPOSED RESTORATION PLAN (16.11)
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
P. Wokral, Heritage Planner
-

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham has no objection to the restoration plan received from Scott Rushlow on April 5, 2011 provided the house is relocated to a suitable residential lot on the development site having the same orientation and exposure to Hwy. 7 E.

CARRIED

14. 147A MAIN STREET UNIONVILLE
4400 HIGHWAY 7 EAST UNIONVILLE
8 AND 190 MAIN STREET NORTH MARKHAM
2011 COMMERCIAL FAÇADE IMPROVEMENT GRANTS (16.11)
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
P. Wokral, Heritage Planner
-

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham supports a grant amount of up to \$15,000.00 for 147 A Main St. Unionville provided the applicant revises their application and provides new quotes to reflect a restoration plan satisfactory to Heritage Section Staff and Heritage Markham;

AND THAT Heritage Markham supports a grant amount of up to \$10,000.00 for 4400 Hwy. 7 E. Unionville to help fund the improvements already made to the property, provided there is sufficient funds in the program after funding projects that have met the eligibility requirements of the 2011 Commercial Façade Improvement Program;

AND THAT Heritage Markham supports a grant amount of \$5,252.12 for 8 Main St. N. Markham Village to install new shutters and paint the historic windows;

AND THAT Heritage Markham supports a grant amount up to \$15,000.00 for 190 Main St. N. Markham Village provided the applicant satisfactorily replicates the existing historical woodwork of the veranda and installs new historically appropriate wooden windows and doors to replace existing inappropriate windows and doors on the south and east facades of the heritage portion of the building;

AND THAT Heritage Markham's support for the 2011 Commercial Façade Grant Applications is conditional on the applicants meeting the eligibility requirements of the program (other than as noted above);

AND THAT final approval of any Heritage Permit, Building Permit or Site Plan application required to permit the proposed work described in the 2011 Commercial Façade Grant Applications be delegated to Heritage Section Staff.

CARRIED

15. CORRESPONDENCE (16.11)
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
-

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT the following correspondence be received as information:

- a) Architectural Conservancy of Ontario: ACORN Newsletter, March 24, 2011.
- b) Community Heritage Ontario: CHO News, March, 2011.
- c) Heritage Canada Foundation: Heritage Magazine, No. 1, 2011.
- d) Ontario Historical Society: 2011 Annual General meeting and Awards, Saturday, June 4, 2011, North York Memorial Hall.
- e) Toronto Historical Association: Newsletter # 5.
- f) Ontario Heritage Trust: Heritage Matters "Places of Worships" Special Edition.
- g) Ontario Historical Society: OHS Bulletin Newsletter, March 2011.

CARRIED

16. REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

NEW MARKHAM OFFICIAL PLAN - HERITAGE POLICIES (16.11)

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
T. Lambe, Manager of Policy and Research

The Manager of Heritage Planning explained that feedback is requested for any revisions to the Town's Official Plan policies relating to heritage. Comments can be submitted to staff, who will be drafting recommendations for the next Heritage Markham meeting. A presentation will be made by the Manager of Policy and Research at that time.

The following comments were made:

- demolitions in heritage conservation districts require that they are replaced with buildings that are "similar to other houses in vicinity", and it should specify "other heritage houses";
- the policies for heritage matters should be strictly enforced, with few exceptions;
- include policies to address preservation of houses in the east side of Markham on airport lands;
- a new Heritage Estates subdivision should be created;
- encourage the relocation of threatened heritage buildings to the heritage districts;
- with respect to the property tax reduction for heritage home owners, if the tax reduction is removed, any heritage easements should also be removed;
- the Cemetery Board should consult with Heritage Markham regarding any Pioneer Cemetery issues relating to fencing, headstones and other architectural features;
- Town-owned heritage structures should comply with heritage guidelines and policies.

Members were asked to submit their comments to staff as soon as possible.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham submit the suggested revisions for the heritage policy component of the new Markham Official Plan, as discussed.

CARRIED

17. REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK (REVISED)
PROPOSED NEW HOUSES
JOSEPH DIMARTINO/THE GREGORY DESIGN GROUP
15 AND 17 ROUGE STREET
MARKHAM VILLAGE HERITAGE CONSERVATION DISTRICT (16.11)
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
-

The Senior Heritage Planner advised that the proposal for new houses at 15 and 17 Rouge Street had been reviewed by the Committee at a previous meeting, and based on comments from the Committee, the applicant is now presenting revised drawings. The design has been modified with varied materials and architectural treatments. The overall size of the houses has been reduced and the side yards have been increased to meet the by-law requirements.

Mr. Dimartino, the applicant, was in attendance. Staff and the Committee expressed appreciation for the applicant's cooperation.

The Committee noted a situation on Rouge Street related to new infill houses that had resulted in a conflict with the neighbourhood with respect to height. Staff advised that the height variance will be 18 inches, which would be subject to public consultation, and that the houses referred to in the other situation actually comply with the height standards. The applicant agreed to reduce the roof to meet the by-law standards; however a concern was noted that it may result in an inappropriate roofline and design.

The Committee discussed the crown of the road and measurement issues that impact the height calculations.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham supports the revised conceptual designs for the proposed new houses at 15 and 17 Rouge Street, requesting that the height be reduced to conform to the by-law, while retaining the architectural style characteristics and acknowledging that variances will be required to permit the houses to be constructed as shown on the plans submitted for review on April 13, 2011;

AND THAT Heritage Section staff be delegated approval authority in consultation with the Ward Councillor for the anticipated Site Plan Control applications, provided the designs are generally in accordance with the plans submitted for review on April 13, 2011 and that the usual heritage conditions regarding materials, colours, etc. be included in the Site Plan Agreement.

CARRIED

18. REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK (REVISED)
CONCEPTUAL SITE PLAN FOR GARAGE AND DRIVEWAY
ARDALAN ROBATI/THE GREGORY DESIGN GROUP
116 MAIN STREET UNIONVILLE (16.11)

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
B. Wiles, Manager, Enforcement and Licensing
J. Parsons, Court Administrative Coordinator

WITHDRAWN

19. REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK - POLICY DEVELOPMENT
BUILDING A CREATIVE MARKHAM PLAN
(MARKHAM CULTURAL MASTER PLAN) (16.11)

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

The Manager of Culture requests feedback on issues related to cultural heritage reserves in regard to the development of a Markham Cultural master Plan.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT the provision of feedback on behalf of Heritage Markham be delegated to Barry Nelson and Heritage staff.

CARRIED

20. INFORMATION – HERITAGE PERMIT
WASTE MANAGEMENT – BIG BELLY WASTE CONTAINERS
UNIONVILLE HERITAGE CONSERVATION DISTRICT (16.11)

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

(See item # 4 for previous discussions on this matter as it related to the use of Big Belly waste containers in Markham Village)

The Manager of Heritage Planning advised that the Unionville BIA (UBIA) has expressed an interest in expanding the Big Belly waste container pilot project in Unionville.

Mr. Tony Lamanna and Mr. Robert Kadlovski of the UBIA, suggested that the pilot project should be expanded to four units, to get a true test of its success. It would also create an interest in the community and several marketing initiatives were suggested that would bring awareness. They supported the use of the Heritage colour of burgundy for the skin colour.

Ms. Claudia Marsales, Manager of Waste Management advised that a report will be going to Council in the near future, and that additional units may be approved. An indication of support from Heritage Markham would be helpful.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham receive the deputations by Mr. Tony Lamanna and Mr. Robert Kadlovski of the Unionville BIA, on waste management and use of the Big Belly waste containers in the Unionville Heritage Conservation District;

AND THAT subject to Council approval, additional bins in the Unionville colours for the Unionville Main Street are supported by Heritage Markham.

CARRIED

21. HERITAGE PERMIT APPLICATION HE 11 114950
PROPOSED NEW FRONT PORCH
RYAN AND SANDRA SMYTH
48 CHURCH STREET
MARKHAM VILLAGE HERITAGE CONSERVATION DISTRICT
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
G. Duncan, Senior Heritage Planner
-

The Senior Heritage Planner advised that staff have not been able to reconcile this proposal with the applicant. The Committee supported staff's position with respect to requiring a more appropriate porch, and referred this matter to staff.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham supports the staff recommendation with respect to the proposed new front porch at 48 Church Street;

AND THAT approval authority for this matter be delegated to staff.

CARRIED

22. COMMERCIAL SIGNAGE GRANT APPLICATION
H & R BLOCK
111 MAIN STREET NORTH
MARKHAM VILLAGE HERITAGE CONSERVATION DISTRICT
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
P. Wokral, Heritage Planner
-

The Heritage Planner gave a brief explanation of the Commercial Signage Grant program and discussed an application received for 111 Main Street North.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham recommends that the application to the Town's Commercial Signage Replacement Program for 111 Main St. N. be funded to the maximum grant of \$1000.00, provided that the application meets all the eligibility requirements of the program and a review by the Town's various departments determines that the property is in good standing with the Town.

CARRIED

23. REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK
PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS TO APPROVED BUILDING ELEVATIONS,
GARAGE ADDITION
PETERSON-JARVIS HOUSE
99 THOROUGHbred WAY
BERCZY VILLAGE COMMUNITY
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
G. Duncan, Senior Heritage Planner
-

The Senior Heritage Planner provided background information on this proposal. Discussions included the new front dormer, which is proposed to be similar to the back dormer and other modifications to the approved restoration plan. The Committee supported staff's recommendation and delegated authority to staff.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham delegate approval authority to staff for the proposed modifications to the Peterson-Jarvis House at 99 Thoroughbred Way.

CARRIED

24. REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK
PROPOSED NEW HOUSE AND DETACHED GARAGE
JOHN SHEPLEY
21 MAPLE LANE
UNIONVILLE HERITAGE CONSERVATION DISTRICT
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
-

The Heritage Planner advised that the existing house had been approved for demolition with the condition that the new dwelling conform to the Unionville Heritage Conservation District Plan. Staff consider that revisions to the design are required to bring it more into conformity with the heritage district architectural style policies. The Committee suggested that the proposed house may be too large for the small lot.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham refer the design of the proposed new dwelling at 21 Maple Lane to the Architectural Review Sub-Committee for further review and comments.

CARRIED

25. ZONING AMENDMENT ZA 11 113101
PROPOSED MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT
TRIBUTE (UNIONVILLE) LIMITED – 20 FRED VARLEY DRIVE LIMITED
20 FRED VARLEY DRIVE
UNIONVILLE COMMUNITY
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
R. Kendall, Manager of Central Markham Planning Area
S. Bordone, Senior Planner
-

The Manager of Heritage Planning explained that the proposal for a five-storey mixed use development on the existing commercial strip plaza with residential units above, is adjacent to the Heritage District and is subject to heritage policies of the Provincial Policy Statement. Concerns were expressed regarding the building height, massing, architectural style, non-traditional storefronts, and design details.

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham does not support the proposed zoning amendment to permit the proposed 5 storey, mixed use development at 20 Fred Varley Drive, Unionville which is adjacent to the Unionville Heritage Conservation District;

AND THAT upon review of the Ontario Provincial Policy Statements – Cultural Heritage and Archaeology (2.6.3), Heritage Markham is of the opinion that the proposed development will negatively impact the unique heritage attributes of the Unionville Heritage Conservation District and that an alternative development approach should be pursued.

CARRIED

26. BUTTONVILLE HERITAGE CONSERVATION DISTRICT
PUBLIC INFORMATION MEETING
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
G. Duncan, Senior Heritage Planner
-

HERITAGE MARKHAM RECOMMENDS:

THAT Heritage Markham receive the information on the upcoming public information meeting on the Buttonville Heritage Conservation District.

CARRIED

NEW BUSINESS

27. a) The Committee requested that Heritage Markham agendas clearly indicate Markham or Unionville in the property address.
- b) New Heritage Markham members, Ward 3 Councillor Don Hamilton, and Ward 5 Councillor Colin Campbell, were welcomed.

The Heritage Markham Committee meeting adjourned at 10:55 PM.