

From: Eira
Sent: Sunday, May 15, 2016 4:23 PM
To: Kitteringham, Kimberley; Cane, Trinela
Cc: Librecz, Brenda; Lustig, Joel; Taylor, Andy; Scarpitti, Frank; Heath, Jack; Jones, Jim; Li, Joe; Armstrong, Nirmala; Burke, Valerie; Ho, Alan; Hamilton, Don; Campbell, Colin; Collucci, Amanda; Chiu, Alex
Subject: SECCL

Please add this as a deputation to tomorrow's GC meeting.
I would also appreciate answers please to my questions.

May 16/16 GC Item 10a clause 4.
Construction funding shortfall of \$2,571,000.00 (excluding HST).

The original budget was \$66,550,000.00 and was revised to \$63,247,145.00 and now by saying in the report that everything works out as you will still be under the original budget really bothers me!

Surely by reducing the original budget - you had solid reasons as to why you did that. Otherwise you would not have reduced the budget.

The budgeted number you should be dealing with is \$63,247,145.00 the one you accepted.

NOT \$66,550,000.00

as that number was replaced and was no longer the budgeted one.

Now that you are over budget on the construction costs please do not use that as an acceptable situation as to why you are over budget.

There are reasons listed as to why you went over budget so those are the ones that should be addressed fully. Those are the ones I am interested in.

I would like more detail please on the reasons you listed.
Could any of them have been handled better and if not why not.

I see weather delayed the project.

We are still in Canada and usually one accepts what the weather patterns are or can be and as such are we not capable to be prepared for weather conditions as this is not the first CC and library we have built.

Thank you and looking forward to the answers.

Eira Keay.

Sent from my iPad Mini