

Heritage Markham Committee Meeting City of Markham

**April 13, 2016
Canada Room, Markham Civic Centre**

Members

Councillor Valerie Burke
Julie Chapman
Graham Dewar
Evelin Ellison
Anthony Farr
Councillor Don Hamilton
David Johnston
Ian Darling
Ken Davis
David Nesbitt, Chair
Councillor Karen Rea
Templar Tsang-Trinaistich, Vice-Chair
Zuzana Zila

Regrets

Staff

Regan Hutcheson, Manager, Heritage Planning
George Duncan, Senior Heritage Planner
Peter Wokral, Heritage Planner
Kitty Bavington, Council/Committee Coordinator

David Nesbitt, Chair, Chair, convened the meeting at 7:20 PM by asking for any disclosures of interest with respect to items on the agenda. Templar Tsang-Trinaistich assumed the Chair for item # 16.

At 11:00 p.m., a motion was carried to extend the hour of business.

David Johnston disclosed an interest with respect to Item # 5, 42 George Street, by nature of being the architect for the project, and did not take part in the discussion as a member of the Committee, or vote on the question of the approval of this matter.

David Nesbitt disclosed an interest with respect to Item # 16, 5 Euclid Street, by nature of being a neighbour of the property, and did not take part in the discussion of or vote on the question of the approval of this matter.

Graham Dewar disclosed an interest with respect to Item # 16, 5 Euclid Street, by nature of being the builder for the project, and did not take part in the discussion as a member of the Committee, or vote on the question of the approval of this matter.

Graham Dewar disclosed an interest with respect to Item # 18, 36 Peter Street, by nature of being the builder for the project, and did not take part in the discussion as a member of the Committee, or vote on the question of the approval of this matter.

Templar Tsang-Trinaistich disclosed an interest with respect to Item # 19, 15 Eureka Street, by nature of being a neighbour to the property, and did not take part in the discussion as a member of the Committee, or vote on the question of the approval of this matter.

David Johnston disclosed an interest with respect to Item #20, 24 Church Street, by nature of being the architect for the project, and did not take part in the discussion as a member of the Committee, or vote on the question of the approval of this matter.

1. Approval of Agenda (16.11)

- A) Addendum Agenda
- B) New Business from Committee Members
 - Heritage Conference
 - Markham's Comprehensive Zoning By-law Project

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That the April 13, 2016 Heritage Markham Committee agenda be approved.

CARRIED

**2. Minutes of the March 9, 2016
Heritage Markham Committee Meeting (16.11)**

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That the minutes of the Heritage Markham Committee meeting held on March 9, 2016 be received and adopted.

CARRIED

3. Presentation

Completion of Term – Jenny Chau (16.11)

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

Jenny Chau was in attendance and received appreciation from the Committee for her years of service as the Buttonville/Rural Area representative on Heritage Markham.

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That Heritage Markham receive as information.

CARRIED

4. Request for Feedback

38 John Street, Thornhill

Demolition of Raised Bungalow (16.11)

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
P. Wokral, Heritage Planner

The Heritage Planner reviewed the Committee's previous deliberations on this matter. Staff have evaluated the Class C building as a Group 3 building that has minimal cultural heritage value.

Ken Webster, adjacent property owner, stated that he does not object to the demolition, but requested that plans for the new building be determined and approved by Heritage Markham, prior to demolition. Staff suggested that a condition of site plan approval attached to the demolition approval, would achieve this.

Robert Armstrong, representing the Executive of the Ward One (South) Thornhill Residents Inc., and also on his own behalf, spoke of the importance of heritage preservation to the Thornhill community, including the retention of Class C buildings. Mr. Armstrong considered the house could be preserved and expanded with a reasonable size and massing, rather than an automatic demolition.

Staff explained the definition of a classification of Class C as identified in the Thornhill Heritage Conservation District Plan, in comparison to the evaluation of the building as a Group 3 building.

Barry Nelson, representing the Executive of Society for the Preservation of Historic Thornhill (SPOHT), spoke in opposition to the demolition and discussed the heritage value of the property and the rare circumstances that the demolition of a heritage building in the district might be feasible. Mr. Nelson did not support the classification of buildings in general, as he considers that all buildings in the district are valuable.

Marion Matthias spoke of the history of heritage preservation in Thornhill, the significance of receiving the Prince of Wales Award, and spoke in opposition to demolition of this building, in the Thornhill core.

Mr. Russ Gregory, agent for the applicant, was not in attendance.

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That the deputations by Ken Webster, Robert Armstrong, representing the Executive of the Ward One (South) Residents Inc., and also on his own behalf, Barry Nelson, representing the Executive of Society for the Preservation of Historic Thornhill (SPOHT), Marion Matthias, regarding the demolition permit application for 38 John Street, be received; and,

That Heritage Markham receives the findings of the Heritage Markham Building Evaluation Sub-Committee for the raised bungalow at 38 John Street; and,

That Heritage Markham recognizes that 38 John Street is located in the sensitive core of the Thornhill Heritage District and supports the installation of a compatible addition in accordance with the policies and guidelines of the Thornhill Heritage Conservation District Plan, appropriately scaled to its context, in consultation with the Ward Councillor; and further,

That the first 20 feet of the building remains as a distinct component as part of any future addition.

CARRIED

5. Site Plan Control Application

42 George Street

Relocation Option for House and Addition (16.11)

File Number: SC 15 165031

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
G. Duncan, Senior Heritage Planner

David Johnston disclosed an interest with respect to Item # 5, 42 George Street, by nature of being the architect for the project, and did not take part in the discussion as a member of the Committee, or vote on the question of the approval of this matter.

The Senior Heritage Planner explained the proposal for on-site relocation and an addition to the house at 42 George Street. The staff preference is for the house to remain on-site.

David Johnston, architect for the applicant, displayed site plans and photos to illustrate the angled orientation of the building. The owner had received site plan endorsement in January of this year and is now considering an alternate concept to make the building parallel with the side lot lines, achieving a more useable basement and improved driveway access to the garage. Mr. Johnston responded to questions from the Committee regarding the side yard impacts, the basement height, and the dormers. At this time, variances are not required. The Committee discussed instances and circumstances where relocation of heritage buildings have been supported.

Brent and Jessica Dever, applicants, were in attendance, and explained their intentions and efforts to preserve and improve the building. It was noted that one of the doors in the existing garage has limited vehicular access due to the orientation, and a new, functional garage will be part of the new addition. The proposed garage would be attached to the dwelling. It was noted that if suggested, the garage should be further recessed from the front elevation.

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That Heritage Markham receive the deputation regarding the proposed on-site relocation of 42 George Street; and,

That the application be referred to an Architectural Sub-Committee for review with delegated authority on behalf of Heritage Markham to provide feedback on whether the dwelling location is supportable.

CARRIED

6. Information

Markham Heritage Estates

Markham Threatened Heritage Buildings Study

- Options for the Future (16.11)

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

This matter was deferred to a future meeting and may be organized as a lunch seminar.

- 7. Zoning By-law Amendment Application**
Official Plan Amendment Application
4031 Sixteenth Avenue, Unionville
James McLean House/Briarwood Farm
Proposed Infill Subdivision (16.11)
File Number: OP/ZA 16 133028
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
S. Heaslip, Senior Project Coordinator
-

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That Heritage Markham Committee receive as information.

CARRIED

- 8. Heritage Permit Application**
150 John Street
Delegated Approvals: Heritage Permits (16.11)
File Number: HE 16 113045
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
-

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That Heritage Markham receive the information on heritage permits approved by Heritage Section staff under the delegated approval process.

CARRIED

9. Building and Sign Permit Applications

Demolition Permit Applications

5 Langstaff Road, Langstaff

11 Langstaff Road, Langstaff

20 Ruggles Avenue, Langstaff

24 Ruggles Avenue, Langstaff

182 Main Street, Unionville

214 Main Street North, Markham Village

27 Princess Street, Markham Village

28 Pike Lane, Upper Cornell

Delegated Approvals: Building, Demolition and Sign Permits (16.11)

File Numbers: 16 112173 DP

16 112248 DP

16 112153 DP

16 112161 DP

16 112984 SP

16 110282 AL

15 171988 DP

15 157517 HP

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That Heritage Markham receive the information on building and sign permits approved by Heritage Section staff under the delegated approval process.

CARRIED

10. Request for Feedback

9048 York Durham Line

Inclusion of Property on Heritage Register (16.11)

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

P. Wokral, Heritage Planner

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That Heritage Markham recommends that Council add the property at 9048 York Durham Line to the Markham Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest due to the existing dwelling and accessory building having architectural value for representing typical examples of an early style of type of building or structure.

CARRIED

11. Events

**Doors Open Markham
Organizing Committee Minutes
- March 31, 2016 (16.11)**

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That Heritage Markham receive as information.

CARRIED

12. Heritage Permit Application

**146 Main Street, Unionville
Proposed Storage Shed for Unionville Bandstand (16.11)**

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
R. England, Project Engineer

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That Heritage Markham Committee has no objection from a heritage perspective.

CARRIED

13. Heritage Permit Application

**146 Main Street, Unionville
Temporary Art Installation (16.11)**

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
D. Plant, Manager, Park Operations

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That Heritage Markham Committee has no objection from a heritage perspective to the temporary interactive public art installation in Millennium Square Park.

CARRIED

14. Heritage Permit Application
4 Peter Street, Markham Village
Revision to Garage Door Treatment (16.11)

File Number: SC 15 178346

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

The Senior Heritage Planner was identified as the applicant on this item, and he did not take part in the Committee's discussion on this matter.

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That Heritage Markham Committee has no objection from a heritage perspective to the revision to the garage door treatment at 4 Peter Street, Markham.

CARRIED

15. Correspondence (16.11)

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That the following correspondence be received as information:

- a) Architectural Conservancy of Ontario: ACORN Newsletter, March 16, 2016. (Emailed to members)
- b) Community Heritage Ontario: CHO News, Spring 2016. (Emailed to members)
- c) Ontario Heritage Foundation: February, 2016. Staff has fully copy.
- d) Re-Issue of Miles & Co. Historical Atlas of York County: Promotional material.

CARRIED

16. Heritage Permit Application
5 Euclid Street, Unionville
Proposed Cedar Shingle Cladding (16.11)

File Number: HE 16 112815

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
P. Wokral, Heritage Planner

Templar Tsang-Trinaistich assumed the Chair for this matter.

David Nesbitt disclosed an interest with respect to Item # 16, 5 Euclid Street, by nature of being a neighbour of the property, and did not take part in the discussion of or vote on the question of the approval of this matter.

Graham Dewar disclosed an interest with respect to Item # 16, 5 Euclid Street, by nature of being the builder for the project, and did not take part in the discussion as a member of the Committee, or vote on the question of the approval of this matter.

The Heritage Planner advised of the request for a proposed revision to the approved cladding for the newly built mudroom and addition, from beveled horizontal siding to grey painted cedar shingles. The policies of the Unionville Heritage Conservation District Plan were reviewed.

Jane Renwick, applicant, was in attendance, and displayed photographs of area heritage buildings with shingles similar to the proposed materials. Colour samples were displayed.

Graham Dewar, project builder, provided additional details of the proposed construction.

The Committee debated permitting a variety of materials, and being consistent with approved materials and the guidelines, and setting a precedent. It was noted that there are examples of shingled gable ends on Euclid Street, but not as a wall cladding in the Unionville Heritage Conservation District.

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That Heritage Markham does not support cladding the modern portions of the house at 5 Euclid Street in painted cedar shingles because it does not reflect the types of exterior cladding historically used for exterior walls in Unionville.

CARRIED

17. Site Plan Control Application

3 Tralee Court

Heritage House Restoration and Addition (16.11)

File Number: SC 12 119218

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
G. Duncan, Senior Heritage Planner

The Senior Heritage Planner reviewed the revised proposal by Angus Glen for alterations and an addition to the heritage house at 3 Tralee Court. The main difference between the previous and current proposal are the attached garage with a second storey and changes to the entrance features. In response to why the house was relocated, staff explained that the relocation was required as the grading of the new road would leave the house in a valley and was not a suitable site.

Michael Montgomery, representing the applicant, explained the revisions to the site plan, and indicated agreement with the staff recommendation.

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That Heritage Markham supports the revised design for the Casely House subject to the following revisions:

- Removal of the brick vestibule and porch as proposed, and restoration of the front porch based on outlines on the brick wall indicating the original extent of the porch and its roof design, potentially with a wood vestibule designed to resemble an enclosed porch;
- Removal of the decorative brick banding added to the front elevation of the heritage house where it did not exist previously;
- And that exterior lighting be of night sky friendly heritage design; and,

That further review of the Site Plan Control application be delegated to staff.

CARRIED

**18. Committee of Adjustment Application
36 Peter Street, Markham Village
Requested Variances for Building Depth and Height (16.11)**

File Number: A/43/16

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
P. Wokral, Heritage Planner
R. Punit, Committee of Adjustment

Graham Dewar disclosed an interest with respect to Item # 18, 36 Peter Street, by nature of being the builder for the project, and did not take part in the discussion as a member of the Committee, or vote on the question of the approval of this matter.

The Heritage Planner reported that this application for a 2 storey addition had been delegated to staff at a previous meeting; however, variances are now required, so it is being presented to the Committee for review. The Committee had no objections.

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That Heritage Markham has no objection to requested variances from a heritage perspective to permit a maximum building depth of 17.96 m and a maximum building height of 8.56 metres for a flat roof portion of a house, as they relate to the proposed addition to the existing heritage dwelling at 36 Peter Street in Markham Village.

CARRIED

19. Site Plan Control Application
15 Eureka Street, Unionville
New House with Attached Garage (16.11)

File Number: SC 16 170214

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
G. Duncan, Senior Heritage Planner

Templar Tsang-Trinaistich disclosed an interest with respect to Item # 19, 15 Eureka Street, by nature of being a neighbour to the property, and did not take part in the discussion as a member of the Committee, or vote on the question of the approval of this matter.

The Senior Heritage Planner reviewed the proposal to construct a 2 storey brick-veneered house and attached 2 car garage on a lot severance approved by the OMB.

Bill Ross, representing the applicant, was in attendance and advised that most of the staff design recommendations are acceptable, however there was some concern regarding recessing the garage, and removing the porch brackets. Staff provided some suggestions and requested additional information.

Mr. and Mrs. Boehmer, owners, were in attendance and provided details on the proposed front entrance treatment in the form of landscaped steps, and front porch setback. One tree will be removed to accommodate the driveway.

The Committee discussed the height (2½ storeys) and massing of the proposed dwelling , and the OMB decision. The applicant advised the building was below the permitted height but offered to reduce the height of exposed foundation. The outstanding issue of the garage will be resolved with staff. The Committee requested a streetscape plan when this matter is brought back.

Templar Tsang-Trinaistich, neighbour, speaking on behalf of himself and other neighbours, spoke in support of the revisions made by the applicant, and received confirmation that variances would not be sought, as well as clarification on other details regarding the porch location and the side yard setback. The size of the house has changed several times during the evolution of this proposal, and is now at 4847 ft² (dwelling + garage). The attic space and height threshold were discussed, as well as the calculation of coverage, the projection of the chimney, and design. Mr. Tsang-Trinaistich requested an opportunity to review the landscape plans.

Staff responded that the application is still being circulated to City departments for zoning review, landscaping, and other matters. The applicant can be requested to provide a building height streetscape plan.

Blake Reid, neighbour, had concerns for the building height of 2½ storeys, and the rear balcony that overlooks their yard. The owner advised that the balcony is enclosed and will not impact their privacy.

The Committee referred this to the Architectural Review Sub-Committee, to advise on the landscaping and further consider the building size and height, and the streetscape, for a recommendation to Heritage Markham. This will provide an opportunity for neighbours to have further clarification of matters raised at the Heritage Markham meeting.

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That the application for 15 Eureka Street, Unionville, be referred to the Architectural Review Sub-Committee, to advise on the landscaping, the building size and height, and the streetscape, for a recommendation back to Heritage Markham.

CARRIED

**20. Site Plan Control Application
24 Church Street
Addition to a Heritage House (16.11)**

File Number: SC 16 109255

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
G. Duncan, Senior Heritage Planner

David Johnston disclosed an interest with respect to Item #20, 24 Church Street, by nature of being the architect for the project, and did not take part in the discussion as a member of the Committee, or vote on the question of the approval of this matter.

The Manager of Heritage Planning reviewed the proposed addition to the heritage house at 24 Church Street, and advised that staff is generally satisfied with the massing and scale of the addition as proposed, conditional on recommended revisions.

David Johnston, representing the applicant, reviewed the recommendations and was in general agreement. The owners indicated that the proposed wood shingle siding on the second storey of the addition would not be pursued. A sample of the existing wood siding was displayed. Discussions included a potential variance for a 2-car garage. The applicant will review the plans in this regard before proceeding.

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That Heritage Markham supports the proposed addition to 24 Church Street on the condition that the character and condition of the original siding on the heritage house portion be examined by Heritage Section staff to assess the options of either restoration of existing material or replacement with a replica of the original siding design and material; and,

That the shutters on the double windows of the heritage house and addition be reduced to a more traditional width; and,

That the applicant consider replacing the existing multi-paned windows in the heritage house with new 1 over 1 paned single-hung wood windows; and further,

That any required variances be reviewed by the Ward Councillor.

CARRIED

21. Heritage Permit Application

4 Peter Street

Proposed Alterations to Exterior of Heritage House (16.11)

File Number: HE 16 113556

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
P. Wokral, Heritage Planner

The Senior Heritage Planner was identified as the applicant on this item, and he did not take part in the Committee's discussion on this matter and left the meeting room.

The Heritage Planner explained the proposal for restoration to the dwelling at 4 Peter Street. Discussions included the temporary removal of the siding for repair and re-installation, and it was noted that this process may be challenging. The policies and guidelines for preservation and conservation of heritage resources were reviewed.

The applicant was in attendance to answer any questions.

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That Heritage Markham has no objection to the following proposed alterations at 4 Peter Street:

- The removal of later modern, exterior wall claddings on the north and street facing gable wall provided that later claddings are carefully removed to limit damage to the underlying original cladding;
- The replacement of deteriorated and damaged cladding material with new like material of the same species, grain orientation, dimensions and profile, provided that staff is satisfied that the material to be replaced, is not capable of being repaired or re-used;
- The replication of missing decorative architectural trims based on photographic evidence contained in the archival photographs of the building, a comparison of existing architectural elements of similar aged Markham houses, and satisfactory scaled drawings of the components to be replicated;
- The proposed painting scheme for the restored walls;
- The proposed painting of the existing deck railing in white;

- The proposed new gates to be installed in the existing railing of the deck provided the applicant submits a drawing of the proposed gates if they are visible from the public realm; and,

That Heritage Markham supports the temporary removal of the historic wooden tongue and groove vertical siding so that it can be back primed, repaired and re-installed over $\frac{3}{4}$ inch horizontal strapping provided that the original siding can be removed in a way causing no significant damage, and that the original siding is satisfactorily documented and labeled so that it can be reinstalled in the original order and location.

CARRIED

22. Financial Assistance
6 David Gohn Circle
7050 York Durham Line
10 Centre Street
26 John Street
16 Victoria Avenue
131 Main Street

2016 Designated Heritage Property Grant Program

- Review of Applications (16.11)

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
P. Wokral, Heritage Planner

It was noted that 10 Centre Street is requesting two grants – one for residential and one for commercial purposes. It was suggested that the policies be reviewed in this regard.

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That Heritage Markham supports the funding of the following applications subject to conditions noted on the individual summary sheets:

- 6 David Gohn Circle Markham Heritage Estates - \$3,169.55(replication of wooden shutters and painting of exterior woodwork)
- 7050 York Durham Line, Markham – \$5,000.00 (replication of wooden windows and exterior doors)
- 10 Centre Street, Markham Village- \$5,000.00 (replication of historic wooden windows)
- 26 John Street, Thornhill - \$3,623.41 (replication of second storey wooden windows)
- 131 Main St. Unionville-\$5,000.00 (repairs and waterproofing of south stone foundation wall); and,

That Heritage Markham does not support the grant request for the replacement of the existing cedar rail fence at 16 Victoria Avenue with a new wooden picket fence, because the proposed work does not preserve or replicate a significant heritage feature of the property; and further,

That staff report back on a review of the grant policies with respect to applicants received grants for both commercial and residential purposes within the same year.

CARRIED

- 23. Financial Assistance**
10 Centre Street
79 Main Street North, Markham Village
2016 Commercial Façade/Signage Improvement Grant Program
-Review of Applications (16.11)
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
P. Wokral, Heritage Planner
-

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That Heritage Markham supports a matching grant of up to \$ 12,500.00 for window replacement for the historic portion of the building in commercial use at 10 Centre Street Markham Village, provided the applicant provides appropriate invoices and obtains a sign permit for the signage installed on the building without a permit; and,

That Heritage Markham supports a matching grant of up to \$12,500.00 for the replication of the historic veranda at 79 Main St. North Markham Village provided the applicant submits appropriate paid invoices for the completed work; and further,

That Heritage Markham supports the City entering into Heritage Conservation Easement agreements with the owners of 10 Centre Street and 79 Main Street North for any grant exceeding a value of \$5,000.00.

CARRIED

- 24. Designated Heritage Property Grant Program**
Continuation of Program 2017-2019 (16.11)
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
-

The Manager of Heritage Planning explained the Designated Heritage Property Grant Program. The Committee discussed the proper installation of wooden shingles and the need for grant assistance.

It was suggested that the grant program be revised to allow up to \$7500 in grant assistance for replacement wood shingle roof at Markham Heritage estates on the condition they are installed on a batten system to provide proper ventilation and prolong the life span of the roof.

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That Heritage Markham supports the continuation of the Designated Heritage Property Grant Program for an additional three years (2017-2019) as it encourages the preservation, restoration and enhancement of heritage buildings in Markham; and,

That Heritage Markham has no objection to reducing the Heritage Loan Fund Reserve to help fund the Designated Heritage Property Grant Program for the 2017-2019 period; and,

That any funds remaining in the Grant Program at the end of the three year period be transferred back into the Heritage Loan Fund Reserve or, subject to the approval of Council at the time, continue to be used for a future Designated Heritage Property Grant Program; and further,

That it is recommended to Council that the Grant Program be revised to permit a grant of up to \$7500 for replacement wood shingle roof at Markham Heritage Estates on the condition they are installed on a batten system to provide proper ventilation and to prolong the lifespan of the roof.

CARRIED

**25. Markham Village Interpretive Project - Update
Heritage Permit Application (16.11)**

File Number: HE 16 113266

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

This item was deferred to a future meeting.

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That Heritage Markham Committee defer the update on Phase 3 of the Markham Village Interpretive Project and consideration of the Heritage Permit application to a future meeting.

CARRIED

26. New Business

Heritage Conference (16.11)

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

David Nesbitt advised that he is unable to attend the Heritage Conference on May 12-14. If any other member of the Committee is interested please contact Regan Hutcheson.

27. New Business

Markham's Comprehensive Zoning By-law Project (16.11)

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

The Committee was advised of Markham's Comprehensive Zoning By-law Project, and upcoming consultation opportunities. An Open House session will be held at the Civic Centre on May 2, seeking public input.

Staff have been providing heritage-related comments to the consultants, and will bring the relevant materials to the Committee at a future meeting, or arrange for a separate information session.

Adjournment

The Heritage Markham Committee meeting adjourned at 11:45 PM.