

**Heritage Markham Committee Meeting
City of Markham
December 13, 2017
Canada Room, Markham Civic Centre**

Members

David Nesbitt, Chair
Ian Darling, Vice Chair
Councillor Valerie Burke
Maria Cerone
Ken Davis
Graham Dewar
Anthony Farr
Councillor Don Hamilton
David Johnston
Jennifer Peters-Morales
Councillor Karen Rea
Zuzana Zila (7:18 p.m.)

Regrets

Evelin Ellison

Staff

Regan Hutcheson, Manager, Heritage Planning
George Duncan, Senior Heritage Planner
Peter Wokral, Heritage Planner
John Britto, Committee Secretary (PT)

David Nesbitt, Chair, convened the meeting at 6:40 PM by asking for any disclosures of interest with respect to items on the agenda.

There was no disclosure of interest by any member.

1. Approval of Agenda (16.11)

A) Addendum Agenda

B) New Business from Committee Members

- (a) Whistle Cessation Infrastructure Requirements
Heritage Permit Application, Eureka Street and Main Street.**
- (b) Change of Meeting Date – September 2018.**
- (c) Correspondence from Ms. Maria Gatzios.**
- (d) 46 Main Street North**

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That the December 13, 2017, Heritage Markham Committee agenda be approved, as amended.

CARRIED

- 2. Minutes of the November 8, 2017
Heritage Markham Committee Meeting (16.11)**
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That the minutes of the Heritage Markham Committee meeting held on November 8, 2017 be received and adopted.

CARRIED

- 3. Financial Matters
Heritage Markham Committee Budget 2018 (16.11)**
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
B. Karumanchery, Director, Planning & Urban Design

The Manager of Heritage Planning reviewed the draft 2018 Heritage Markham Committee budget. He advised that the 2018 budget has been split into three parts: (1) Public Education and Special Events; (2) General Expenses; and (3) Technical Workshops/Training for Volunteers.

A member suggested that due to the length of Heritage Markham meetings, which very often go past the 11:00 p.m. hour, it would be good if the Committee members were provided with crackers and cheese, along with tea/coffee and pop.

It was suggested that the Commissioner of Development Services be requested to augment the Heritage Markham Committee budget to include additional refreshments.

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That Heritage Markham endorses the Heritage Markham Committee budget for 2018 in the amount of \$7,480.00; and,

That the Heritage Markham Committee budget be augmented to provide additional refreshments, e.g., crackers and cheese; and,

That the budget for 2018 be forwarded to the Director of Planning and Urban Design (Development Services Commission) for consideration.

CARRIED

- 4. Heritage Permit Applications,
156 Main Street, Unionville,
76 Main Street, Markham Village,
Delegated Approvals: Heritage Permits (16.11)
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning**
-

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That Heritage Markham receive the information on heritage permits approved by Heritage Section staff under the delegated approval process.

CARRIED

- 5. Building and Sign Permit Application Permit Applications,
20 Deanbank Drive, Thornhill,
104 John Street, Thornhill,
201 Main Street, Unionville,
201 Main Street, Unionville,
216 Main Street, Unionville,
187 Main Street, Unionville,
156 Main Street, Unionville,
201 Main Street North, Markham Village,
10 Beech Street, Markham Village,
23 Washington Street, Markham Village,
10 Albert Street, Markham Village,
10 Albert Street, Markham Village
10 Albert Street, Markham Village
44 Church Street, Markham Village,
6 Aileen Lewis Court, Markham Heritage Estates,
4916 16th Avenue, North of Unionville,
Delegated Approvals: Building, Sign and Demolition Permits (16.11)
File Numbers: 17 176230 HP
17 180791 PP
17 175489 AL
17 180151 SP
17 178972 AL
17 180224 SP
17 180539 SP
17 151844 NH
17 161156 HP
17 170392 HP
17 173509 HP
17 173509 HP
17 173825 DP**

17 179006 HP
17 165569 HP
17 181428 DP

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That Heritage Markham receive the information on building and demolition permits approved by Heritage Section staff under the delegated approval process.

CARRIED

**6. Property Values,
Article: “Historic Districts Improve Property Value”
by Ken Roginski, Old House Guy Website (16.11)**

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That Heritage Markham receive as information.

CARRIED

**7. Events,
Doors Open Markham
- Committee Minutes November 29, 2017 (16.11)**

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That Heritage Markham receive as information.

CARRIED

**8. Committee of Adjustment Variance Application,
310 Main Street North, Markham Village,
Heritage Conservation District,
Update (16.11)**

File Number: A/63/17

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That Heritage Markham receive the update on Committee of Adjustment File A/63/17 as information.

CARRIED

- 9. Zoning By-law Amendment Application,
Official Plan Amendment Application,
Plan of Subdivision,
4134 Sixteenth Avenue,
Sixteenth Land Holdings Inc. (York Downs Golf Course) (16.11)**
File Number: OP/SU/ZA 16 179225
Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
G. Sellars, Project Planner
-

The Manager of Heritage Planning advised that correspondence dated December 11, 2017, was received from Ms. Maria Gatzios, Gatzios Planning + Development Consultants Inc., representing the applicant, informing that it had come to their attention that morning that this matter was listed on the Heritage Markham Committee agenda for consideration on December 13, 2017. Ms. Gatzios has requested that as they were not aware that this matter was being brought forward, they would like the item deferred to allow them time to review the staff memorandum and determine if a deputation to the Committee was warranted.

The Manager of Heritage Planning advised that the subject property is the former York Downs Golf Club, north of Unionville. The property contains a modern-era clubhouse in a large development site comprising parts of Lot 16, 17 and 18, Concession 5. He further advised that the property which is a former private golf course, formerly contained the Pingle Tenant Farmhouse, c.1865, which was relocated to 143 Main Street Unionville in 1993, and there are no listed or designated heritage buildings remaining on the property.

The Manager of Heritage Planning further advised that the applicant is proposing a residential development on the 168.6 ha (416.7 acres) subject lands comprising 2,181 units. The applicant has undertaken the required cultural heritage assessment work for the property, which in this case is limited to archaeological assessments since there are no standing heritage buildings.

Although there are no existing built heritage resources on the subject property, the Markham Official Plan 2014 enables the City to commemorate significant cultural heritage resources that have been removed from the property (4.5.5.5). Staff suggest that a Markham Remembered interpretive plaque could be required as a condition of the subdivision agreement, to commemorate the original location of the Pingle Tenant Farmhouse in a public open space, as close as possible to the original site.

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That the correspondence from Ms. Maria Gatzios, Gatzios Planning + Development Consultants Inc., dated December 11, 2017, be received; and,

That as a condition in the subdivision agreement for the proposed development at 4134 Sixteenth Avenue, the owner be required to provide at their cost a Markham Remembered interpretive plaque to be placed in a public open space as close as possible to the original site of the Pingle Tenant Farmhouse, as per Official Plan 2014 policy 4.5.5.5.

CARRIED

**10. Site Plan Control Application,
2968 Elgin Mills Road East,
Semi-Detached Dwellings (16.11)**

File Number: SC 14 109571

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
R. Cefaratti, Project Planner

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That Heritage Markham recommends that the applicant be requested to provide a streetscape to illustrate the height relationship between the proposed new dwellings and the heritage building at 2972 Elgin Mills Road East, and examine how a more sympathetic height transition could be achieved.

CARRIED

**11. Update,
7 Town Crier Lane,
Proposed Subdivision of 11 New Homes
and Demolition of Dairy Building (16.11)**

File Number: OP/ZA/SU/SC 16 175583

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

Councillor Rea provided an overview of the discussion that had occurred at the Development Services Committee meeting and at the Council meeting on December 12, 2017.

The applications are in support of a proposed subdivision of 11 new homes and the demolition of the dairy building at 7 Town Crier Lane in Markham Heritage Village. City Park (Town Crier) Homes Inc.

The applications have been extensively reviewed by the Heritage Markham Committee and through a Community Information Meeting and Statutory Public Meetings required by the Planning Act. Although retention of the existing Dairy Building/Carman Lewis House and a reduction in the scale of the proposed new dwellings was overwhelmingly recommended by members of the public in attendance and the Heritage Markham Committee, the Development Services Committee supported the demolition of the Dairy Building/Carman Lewis House and recommended a cap of 5,000 ft² including the garages for the floor areas of the proposed new dwellings.

Heritage Staff provided a memo to Council recommending that if demolition of the Dairy Building is supported on the 12th of December, that the following conditions be included in the Subdivision Agreement as per the policy of the City's Official Plan 4.5.3.17 (and common practice):

- a) documentation of the building in the form of a photographic record and measured drawings, and
- b) creation of a standard City Markham Remembered plaque displaying graphic and textual description of the site's history and former use to be erected on or near the former site of the building.

Council did support this amendment. The Committee discussed at length the recommendation from the Development Services Committee, and the Markham Council decision.

The Committee also discussed possible strategies to provide Heritage Conservation education to Council members.

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That Heritage Markham receive as information.

CARRIED

**12. Threatened Buildings,
Implementation of Keep Markham Beautiful
and Property Standards By-law,
Vacant Heritage Buildings (16.11)**

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
T. Wilkinson, Supervisor, Provincial Offences Officer
C. Alexander, Manager, By-law Enforcement & Regulatory Svcs.

The Heritage Planner briefly reviewed the activities that have been undertaken by By-law Enforcement over the last two months. In response to a suggestion from a Committee member that By-law Enforcement be asked to enforce all requirements of the Keep Markham Beautiful and the Property Standards By-laws immediately, rather than wait till the spring of 2018 to enforce necessary repairs, painting and grounds maintenance when weather conditions permit, the Heritage Planner advised that By-law officers have handed a copy of the relevant by-laws to all the owners of the vacant heritage properties so the owners are aware of what the City will be expecting, recognizing that at this time of the year painting and some of the repairs are extremely difficult due to winter weather conditions. He further advised that his understanding is that By-law Enforcement will be issuing the appropriate property standards orders to the owners of these properties in order to get compliance by the spring of 2018.

A request was also made for an update on the status of the Tremont Hotel in Markham Village

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That Heritage Markham receive the update on the implementation of the City's Keep Markham Beautiful and the Property Standards By-law on vacant heritage properties as information; and,

That Heritage Markham appreciates the work undertaken by By-law Enforcement Staff to date; and further,

That By-law Enforcement be requested to provide an update to the February 2018 Heritage Markham Committee meeting on their efforts to achieve compliance of the Keep Markham Beautiful and the Property Standards By-laws, respecting the 17 vacant heritage properties identified by Heritage Section staff.

CARRIED

**13. Site Plan Control Application,
133 Main Street, Unionville,
Heritage Conservation District,
Rear Additions to a Heritage Dwelling and a Detached Garage (16.11)**

File Number: SC 17 170097

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
G. Duncan, Project Planner

The Senior Heritage Planner reviewed the site plan control application to construct a second storey addition over the existing one storey rear wing of the dwelling, and add a 1½ storey addition to the east of the rear wing of the property at 133 Main Street, in the Unionville Heritage Conservation District. A detached two-car garage is proposed for the rear yard.

The Senior Heritage Planner advised that the existing dwelling is 206.6 m² (2,224 ft²) in size. With the proposed additions, the dwelling will be 345 m² (3,713.3 ft²) in size. The detached garage will have a gross floor area of 69.5 m² (748 ft²). The new additions to the heritage building will be clad in vertical wood siding, and have been designed in keeping with the vernacular Gothic Revival of the original heritage building. The lot coverage is proposed to be 30%, which is permitted for in the By-law.

The Senior Heritage Planner further advised that no variances have been identified. He noted that the two second storey heritage windows on the east side of the heritage dwelling will be covered due to the proposed linkage addition. Staff is suggesting that these two windows should be salvaged from the original building and used on the second storey link addition. The original house had traditional wood shutters on the front and north side, which should be reintroduced.

Staff believe that this is a modest addition and is suitable to the size of the house. Staff is supportive of the addition subject to a few modifications.

In response to a suggestion from a Committee member with respect to tree preservation, the Senior Heritage Planner advised that due to the number of trees on this property, there are not many options for locating the garage, but the identified location appears to have the least impact on existing trees.

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That Heritage Markham has no objection to the removal of the one storey, rear wing; and,

That Heritage Markham supports the proposed design for additions to the existing heritage dwelling at 133 Main Street, and for a detached garage in the rear yard, subject to the following:

- re-use of the two second storey windows from the original house (east side) to the second level of the north side of the linkage addition;
- remove the reference to non-functional carriage doors from the linkage addition between the original house and the east addition;
- re-introduction of wood shutters on the north and west elevations;
- enter into a Site Plan Agreement including colours, materials, etc.; and further,

That Heritage Markham supports a reduction in the flankage yard requirement to support the proposed placement of the new additions.

CARRIED

**14. Secondary Plans,
Milliken Centre Secondary Plan,
Draft Development Concept (16.11)**

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
M. Plaunt, Manager, Intensification & Secondary Plans
M. Wouters, Senior Manager, Policy & Research

The Senior Heritage Planner advised that the Milliken Centre Secondary Plan area contains 3 properties individually designated under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act and 6 properties that are listed on the *Markham Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest*, but have not been designated at this time. The update to the Milliken Centre Secondary Plan is required by the Markham Official Plan (2014), and is intended to provide a focal point for the larger Milliken community and to be developed at transit supportive densities to reflect the proximity to GO Transit. A Draft Development Concept has been prepared by City staff in collaboration with the Landowners Group and key agencies, and this concept plan provides the basis for stakeholder and public engagement prior to the preparation of the updated Secondary Plan.

The Senior Heritage Planner advised that the Policy Section of the Planning and Urban Design Department prepared a staff report on the Milliken Centre Secondary Plan Update and Draft Development Concept for the consideration of the Development Services Committee on October 16, 2017. An outcome of this meeting was that Council authorized staff to release the development concept to the public for comment, and comments from the Heritage Markham Committee are requested as part of this consultation process.

In the Milliken Centre Draft Development Concept, designated properties will remain on their existing original sites, or potentially could be shifted within their properties, depending on future development applications. Research is currently underway on the six non-designated heritage buildings, to provide historical information for building evaluations to be completed. Based on current knowledge of these listed properties, together they tell the story of the development of Milliken from a rural crossroads hamlet into a suburban neighbourhood of the early 20th century.

A concept has been developed where the heritage buildings could be grouped in a commercial “Heritage Enclave” rather than be preserved on an individual basis in potentially incompatible environments. The Heritage Enclave would allow the heritage buildings to remain within the immediate local community in a human-scale setting that would contrast with the medium and high-density development of the larger part of the area. The buildings would be restored and renovated as commercial space, possibly interconnected by additions. A public open space would adjoin the heritage buildings to create a community focal point and gathering place. At this time, the suggested recommended site for the Heritage Enclave is in the vicinity of the designated James Rattle House at 1 Sun Yea-Sen Avenue.

Staff believes that to preserve the small-scale heritage buildings of Milliken as a group will be a more effective way to tell the story of Milliken’s historical development rather than having isolated individual buildings situated amidst dense and tall forms of mixed-use and residential development. The updated Secondary Plan will need to have revised cultural heritage policies to address the Heritage Enclave.

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That Heritage Markham supports the concept of a “Heritage Enclave” of relocated, restored and adaptively re-used small-scale heritage buildings within the Milliken Centre Secondary Plan Area as an approach to heritage conservation specially tailored to suite the community context of mid to high-rise mixed-use and residential development in this specific location.

CARRIED

**15. Secondary Plans,
Berczy Glen Secondary Plan,
North Markham Future Urban Area (16.11)**

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
C. Jay, Manager, Development North
S. Kitagawa, Coordinator
M. Wouters, Senior Manager, Policy & Research

The Manager of Heritage Planning advised that correspondence dated December 11, 2017, was received from Ms. Maria Gatzios of Gatzios Planning + Development Inc. requesting that this matter be deferred to allow time to review the staff memorandum and determine if a deputation to the Committee is warranted. The Committee elected to discuss this matter.

The Senior Heritage Planner reviewed the Berczy Glen Secondary Plan area which is located to the east of the historic community of Victoria Square, and comprising 248 hectares (613 acres) on part of Lots 22, 23, 24, and 25 in Concession 4. The secondary plan contains the following four buildings listed on the *Markham Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest*:

1. The Thomas Frisby Jr. House, c.1915, 3151 Elgin Mills Road East;
2. The Schell-Frisby House, c.1860, 3575 Elgin Mills Road East;
3. The Toll House, c.1870, 3693 Elgin Mills Road East; and
4. The Trudgeon House, c.1875, 10508 Warden Avenue.

The Berczy Glen Secondary Plan is the westernmost component of the North Markham Future Urban Area (FUA) which is now referred to as the North Development District. The applicants have submitted a draft Secondary Plan for the future development of the lands for Residential Low Rise, Residential Mid Rise, and Mixed Use Mid Rise, along with school sites, parks, Greenway and storm water management ponds.

Prior to the submission of the Secondary Plans, the City undertook a Conceptual Master Plan (CMP) for the FUA. This was the first phase of detailed planning for the area and provides a high-level community structure plan and accompanying policy direction as the basis for the review of more detailed Secondary Plans. Markham Council endorsed the “Conceptual Master Plan for the FUA - Community Structure Plan and Key Policy Direction” on September 11, 2017.

The CMP notes that individual secondary plans and accompanying community design plans are anticipated to be prepared for each of the concession blocks. The key directions provided to implement PP9 (Principles and Parameters) indicate that Secondary Plans will:

1. Provide policy direction regarding the cultural heritage resources identified in the CMP, including appropriate uses for the buildings, and
2. Provide policy direction regarding archaeological resources within the secondary plan area.

The Senior Heritage Planner advised that the City of Markham has engaged a heritage consultant to conduct historical research into heritage properties within the FUA that have not been previously fully researched. The research will be utilized by staff to provide the documentation necessary to enable each of the cultural heritage resources to be evaluated using the City's heritage building evaluation system. This work will provide the basis to determine which cultural heritage resources will be recommended by the City, in consultation with Heritage Markham, for preservation and integration into the proposed development plans.

The Manager of Heritage Planning briefly reviewed the Draft Secondary Plan and Community Design Plan noting the scarcity of cultural Heritage resource policies.

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That the request by Ms. Maria Gatzios, Gatzios Planning + Development Consultants Inc., dated December 11, 2017, to defer consideration of this matter, not be supported; and,

That the Berczy Glen Secondary Plan include the standard secondary plan policies regarding cultural heritage resources as the existing version does not provide policy direction regarding the cultural heritage resources identified in the Conceptual Master Plan, including appropriate uses for the buildings; and,

That attempts should be undertaken to modify the Plan to address the cultural heritage resource that appears to be located in a Storm Water Management Pond; and,

That Heritage Markham will provide recommendations on the preservation and integration of significant cultural heritage resources within the Berczy Glen Secondary Plan area once the research and evaluation of properties listed on the *Markham Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest* has been completed; and further,

That the Berczy Glen Community Design Plan be revised to demonstrate how the cultural heritage resources identified in the CMP will be integrated into community design having regard for road alignments, appropriate lot sizes, use of buildings as landmarks, etc, including:

- Identification of the location of the four cultural heritage resources;
- Introduction of a separate section in the document for cultural heritage resource to provide direction as to the incorporation of these resources in new development which reflects the guidance as per the Urban Design Guidelines (Section 3.7)
- Modification of section 6.4 of the Community Design Plan to indicate that existing cultural heritage resources should be retained as part of the community and not be reduced to commemorative initiatives.
- Modification of section 1.2.3 (A) to include reference to the protection of cultural heritage resource policies as per the Provincial Policy Statement, (B) reference to cultural heritage as per the York Region Official Plan, and (C) reference to cultural heritage resource retention in new development (Sections 4.5.3.3, 4.5.3.4 and 4.5.3.12 I

the Markham Official Plan) and indicate how the Berczy Plan will support these policies.

CARRIED

**16. Secondary Plans,
Angus Glen Secondary Plan,
North Markham Future Urban Area (16.11)**

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
C. Jay, Manager, Development North
M. Wouters, Senior Manager, Policy & Research
S. Kitagawa, Coordinator

The Senior Heritage Planner reviewed the Angus Glen Secondary Plan, which comprises 411 hectares (1.015.5 acres) on part of Lots 21, 22, 23, 24, and 25 in Concession 5. The Secondary Plan area contains six buildings listed on the *Markham Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest* which include:

1. The William Summerfeldt House, c.1850, 4075 Elgin Mills Road East
2. The John Pingle House, c.1875, 10080 Kennedy Road
3. The George Pingle House, c.1880, 10228 Kennedy Road
4. The T. Wagg House, c.1930, 10476 Kennedy Road.
5. The H. Storey Barn, c.1900, 10504 Kennedy Road.
6. The George Pingle Jr. House, c.1842/1897, 4022 Major Mackenzie Drive East (designated under Part IV).

Generally, the subject lands are in agricultural use, each with an associated dwelling. The George Pingle Jr. House, owned by the City of Markham, is a staff training centre associated with the Angus Glen Community Centre. The Angus Glen Secondary Plan is the central component of the North Markham Future Urban Area (FUA) which is now referred to as the North Development District. The applicants have submitted a draft Secondary Plan for the future development of the lands for Residential Low Rise, Residential Mid Rise, and Mixed Use Mid Rise, along with school sites, parks, Greenway and storm water management ponds.

Prior to the submission of the Secondary Plans, the City undertook a Conceptual Master Plan (CMP) for the FUA. This was the first phase of detailed planning for the area and provides a high-level community structure plan and accompanying policy direction as the basis for the review of more detailed Secondary Plans. Markham Council endorsed the “Conceptual Master Plan for the FUA - Community Structure Plan and Key Policy Direction” on September 11, 2017.

The CMP notes that individual secondary plans and accompanying community design plans are anticipated to be prepared for each of the concession blocks. The key directions provided to implement PP9 (Principles and Parameters) indicate that Secondary Plans will:

1. Provide policy direction regarding the cultural heritage resources identified in

the CMP, including appropriate uses for the buildings, and

2. Provide policy direction regarding archaeological resources within the secondary plan area.

The City of Markham has engaged a heritage consultant to conduct historical research into heritage properties within the FUA that have not been previously fully researched. The research will be utilized by staff to provide the documentation necessary to enable each of the cultural heritage resources to be evaluated using the City's heritage building evaluation system. This work will provide the basis to determine which cultural heritage resources will be recommended by the City, in consultation with Heritage Markham, for preservation and integration into the proposed development plans.

The Manager of Heritage Planning briefly reviewed the Draft Secondary Plan and Community Design Plan noting the scarcity of cultural Heritage resource policies.

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That the Angus Glen Secondary Plan include the standard secondary plan policies regarding cultural heritage resources as the existing version does not provide specific policy direction regarding the cultural heritage resources identified in the CMP, including appropriate uses for the buildings; and,

That attempts should be undertaken to modify the Plan to address the cultural heritage resource that appears to be located in a Major Collector Road if it is determined that this resource is worthy of retention; and,

That Heritage Markham will provide recommendations on the preservation and integration of significant cultural heritage resources within the Angus Glen Secondary Plan area once the research and evaluation of properties listed on the *Markham Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest* has been completed; and further,

That Heritage Markham recommends that the Angus Glen Community Design Plan be revised to demonstrate how the cultural heritage resources identified in the CMP will be integrated into community design having regard for road alignments, appropriate lot sizes, use of buildings as landmarks, etc. including:

- Identification of the location of the six cultural heritage resources;
- Introduction of a separate section in the document for cultural heritage resource to provide direction as to the incorporation of these resources in new development which reflects the guidance as per the City's Urban Design Guidelines for the FUA (Section 3.7);
- Include/ reference to cultural heritage resources in section 3.3.2 Focal Points/Hubs and Edges;
- Modification of section 1.2.3 (A) to include reference to the protection of cultural heritage resource policies as per the Provincial Policy Statement, (B) reference to cultural heritage as per the York Region Official Plan, and (C) reference to cultural

heritage resource retention in new development (Sections 4.5.3.3, 4.5.3.4 and 4.5.3.12 of the Markham Official Plan) and indicate how the Angus Glen Plan will support these policies.

**17. Secondary Plans,
Robinson Glen Secondary Plan,
North Markham Future Urban Area (16.11)**

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
C. Jay, Manager, Development North
D. Brutto, Planner 1
M. Wouters, Senior Manager, Policy & Research

The Senior Heritage Planner reviewed the Robinson Glen Secondary Plan which is the easternmost component of the North Markham Future Urban Area (FUA), now referred to as the North Development District. The Secondary Plan area contains seven buildings and one historic farm cemetery listed on the *Markham Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest*. These include:

1. The Jacob Pingle Sr. House, c.1840, 4638 Major Mackenzie Drive East.
2. The Homer Wilson House, c.1900, 10225 Kennedy Road (designated under Part IV).
3. The J.P. Carr Cottage, c.1950, 10225 Kennedy Road (designated under Part IV).
4. The Pingle Farm Cemetery, 10225 Kennedy Road (designated under Part IV).
5. The Sommerfeldt Homestead, c.1840, 10379 Kennedy Road (designated under Part IV).
6. The George Summerfeldt House, c.1856, 10411 Kennedy Road (designated under Part IV).
7. The Arthur Wegg House, c.1922, 10537 Kennedy Road (designated under Part IV).
8. The Francis Walker House, c.1850, 10725 Kennedy Road.

Most of the above properties have been designated under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act. Only the Jacob Pingle Sr. House at 4638 Major Mackenzie Drive East and the Francis Walker House at 10725 Kennedy Road have “listed” heritage status in the *Register*, pending research and evaluation

Prior to the submission of Secondary Plans, the City undertook a Conceptual Master Plan (CMP) for the FUA. This was the first phase of detailed planning for the area and provides a high level community structure plan and accompanying policy direction as the basis for the review of more detailed Secondary Plans. Markham Council endorsed the “Conceptual Master Plan for the FUA - Community Structure Plan and Key Policy Direction” on September 11, 2017. Section 2.2.2 of the CMP addresses cultural heritage resources and indicates the number of resources, their initial evaluation rating and notes that the properties will require in-depth research before a final evaluation. One of the key Principles for Planning the FUA is PP9 - “Recognize, conserve, promote and integrate cultural heritage resources in community design”.

The CMP notes that individual secondary plans and accompanying community design plans are anticipated to be prepared for each of the concession blocks. The key directions provided to implement PP9 (Principles and Parameters) indicate that Secondary Plans will:

1. Provide policy direction regarding the cultural heritage resources identified in the CMP, including appropriate uses for the buildings, and
2. Provide policy direction regarding archaeological resources within the secondary plan area.

The City of Markham has engaged a heritage consultant to conduct historical research into heritage properties within the FUA that have not been previously fully researched. The research will be utilized by staff to provide the documentation necessary to enable each of the cultural heritage resources to be evaluated using the City's heritage building evaluation system. This work will provide the basis to determine which cultural heritage resources will be recommended by the City, in consultation with Heritage Markham, for preservation and integration into the proposed development plans. The City has provided direction as to what is to be included in the Community Design Plan from a cultural heritage resource perspective and has provided Urban Design Guidelines which clearly indicate how cultural heritage resource should be addressed with the Community Design Plan.

The Manager of Heritage Planning briefly reviewed the Draft Secondary Plan and Community Design Plan noting the scarcity of cultural Heritage resource policies.

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That the Robinson Glen Secondary Plan include the standard secondary plan policies regarding cultural heritage resources as the existing version does not provide specific policy direction regarding the cultural heritage resources identified in the CMP, including appropriate uses for the buildings; and,

That Heritage Markham will provide recommendations on the preservation and integration of significant cultural heritage resources within the Robinson Glen Secondary Plan area once the research and evaluation of properties listed on the *Markham Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest* has been completed; and further

That the Robinson Glen Community Design Plan be revised to demonstrate how the cultural heritage resources identified in the CMP will be integrated into community design having regard for road alignments, appropriate lot sizes, use of buildings as landmarks, etc, including:

- Identification of the location of the eight cultural heritage resources;
- Introduction of a separate section in the document for cultural heritage resource to provide direction as to the incorporation of these resources in new development which reflects the guidance as per the City's Urban Design Guidelines for the FUA (Section 3.7);
- Include/ reference to cultural heritage resources in section 3.3.2 Focal Points/Hubs and Edges;

- Modification of section 1.2.3 (A) to include reference to the protection of cultural heritage resource policies as per the Provincial Policy Statement, (B) reference to cultural heritage as per the York Region Official Plan, and (C) reference to cultural heritage resource retention in new development (Sections 4.5.3.3, 4.5.3.4 and 4.5.3.12 of the Markham Official Plan) and indicate how the Robinson Glen Plan will support these policies.

18. Events,

Heritage Day and Heritage Week 2018, (16.11)

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

The Manager of Heritage Planning reviewed the plans for Heritage Day – February 19, 2018 and Heritage Week, which will be organized during the second week of February (February 18-24, 2018). He advised that Heritage Section staff will be installing a small heritage display in the Civic Centre for Heritage Week, and suggested that Heritage Markham Committee may wish to create a small sub-committee to brainstorm/organize possible events or activities that the committee may want to sponsor or undertake in February 2018 as part of Heritage Week celebrations.

The Committee discussed the possibility of inviting the Mayor and Members of Council to attend the February Heritage Markham Committee meeting. It was also suggested that the February Heritage Markham Committee meeting be rescheduled to a different day, as it coincides with Valentine's Day. The Committee discussed the possibility of making a video with some of the 2017 Heritage Award winners, as well, inviting a few of them to make deputations at the February Heritage Markham Committee meeting.

The Manager of Heritage Planning advised that the Canada Room may not be available on another day as the room is used by other Committees, as well.

A Committee member suggested allocating a small budget to create a podcast similar to "*Let's Find Out*", a podcast on the history of the City of Edmonton by historian laureate Chris Chang-Yen Phillips. It was suggested that Corporate Communications be requested to make a video on the Heritage Award winners. It was also suggested that Heritage Section staff make a deputation and/or presentation to Council at a Development Services Committee meeting on what Heritage means to the City.

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That Heritage Markham receive the information on Heritage Day and Heritage Week 2018; and,

That Heritage Markham Committee supports the proclamation of Heritage Week in Markham (February 18-24, 2018) and the flying of the Prince of Wales Prize flag at the Markham Civic Centre for the week; and further,

That Councillors Burke, Hamilton and Rea, explore the possibility of preparing a video with a few 2017 Heritage Award winners, with a report back to the January 2018 Heritage Markham Committee meeting.

CARRIED

**19. Conference,
2020 Ontario Heritage Conference (OHC)
Community Heritage Ontario (16.11)**

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

The Manager of Heritage Planning advised that Mr. Wayne Morgan, President of Community Heritage Ontario (CHO) contacted staff to see if the City of Markham, possibly in partnership with an adjacent MHC, would be interested in hosting the 2020 Ontario Heritage Conference (OHC). He advised that information on Requests for Proposals was provided to the Committee as part of the agenda package. Mr. Morgan has indicated that he hopes that Heritage Markham Committee and the City of Markham will favourably consider this proposal and notes that several of the CHO members have a wealth of experience in organizing the Ontario Heritage Conference in the past and would be willing to assist, should Markham decide to submit a proposal, the deadline for which is January 15, 2018.

The Manager of Heritage Planning advised that in 2018, the OHC will be held in Sault Ste. Marie. CHO has received a proposal for 2019 in Goderich/Bluewater which the Joint Conference Committee [CHO, Architectural Conservancy of Ontario (ACO) and Ontario Association of Heritage Professionals (OAHP)] is pursuing. CHO is looking for proposals for 2020 and beyond. CHO tries to organize the conference in different parts of the Province to reach as many members as possible. It has been many years since they have had one in the Greater Toronto Area. According to the CHO, given Markham's track record in heritage conservation, holding the conference in Markham would give the Committee an opportunity to showcase their successes and let other communities see how Markham has dealt with the challenges that many in the heritage community face.

The Manager of Heritage Planning advised that given the amount of work required to organize such a conference, the current/future workload and commitments by Heritage Section staff, and the number and amount of volunteer commitment that would be required in 2019-2020, hosting such an event would be extremely challenging. If Heritage Markham was interested in pursuing the 2020 Conference, the Committee would have to secure the permission of Council as this would have both staff resources and financial implications.

The Committee discussed at length the pros and cons of organizing such a conference. It was suggested that the City's Culture and Economic Development Department should organize the conference as part of their portfolio, and Heritage Markham Committee could provide support. It was suggested that this could be included in next year's budget for 2019. One committee member believed that it could be premature to organize a conference of such magnitude as other

professional organizations plan such conferences at least 4 to 5 years in advance. There was as suggestion to organize the conference in either 2021 or 2022.

Majority of the committee members were in favour of initiating discussions on this topic in collaboration with the City's Culture and Economic Development and any other appropriate City Department/s.

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That Staff investigate a collaborative effort with Culture and Economic Development and any other City Department/s to explore the potential of a proposal for 2020/2021/2022 to host the Ontario Heritage Conference in the City of Markham.

CARRIED

20. New Business

Whistle Cessation Infrastructure Requirements

Heritage Permit Application

Eureka Street and Main Street

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
P. Chan, Capital Works Engineer
A. Cachola, Senior Manager, Engineering

The Manager of Heritage Planning reviewed the heritage permit application for Whistle Cessation Infrastructure Requirements. In order to address the issue of whistle/horn blowing through certain areas of the municipality, infrastructure changes are needed around the area of railway crossings. On Main Street, the infrastructure will be required to the north and south of the railway tracks and on both sides of the street. On Eureka Street, the infrastructure will only be needed on the west side, south of the tracks. Signage on the sidewalk will be introduced as well as additional pavement markings. The sidewalks will also be widened to 1.5 metres.

The Manager of Heritage Planning advised that Heritage Section staff has worked with the Engineering Department on the Main Street Unionville infrastructure and requested that the same fence design used for the Stiver Mill Train Station be incorporated into the design (as opposed to a chain link fence). He further advised that staff was not aware of the Eureka Street work and have requested that the infrastructure be painted black. This type of infrastructure was not required on Main Street Markham (other than sidewalk widening) due to the railway crossing arm being in a position to control both pedestrian and vehicular movements.

The Committee discussed the design and materials needed for the infrastructure changes, from a heritage perspective, around the area of railway crossings in Eureka Street and Main Street.

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That this matter be delegated to Heritage Section staff to provide appropriate direction to Engineering Staff.

CARRIED

21. New Business

Rescheduling September 2018 Heritage Markham Committee meeting

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

The Manager of Heritage Planning advised that due to the Council meeting scheduled for 7:00 p.m. on Wednesday, September 12, 2018, the City Clerk has requested that Heritage Markham Committee consider rescheduling their September 2018 Heritage Markham Committee meeting to another day. The Manager of Heritage Planning further advised that the Canada Room is available on the evening of Wednesday, September 5, 2018, if the Committee wishes to consider that evening for a rescheduled Heritage Markham Committee meeting.

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That the September 2018 Heritage Markham Committee meeting be rescheduled to September 5, 2018.

CARRIED

22. New Business

Correspondence dated December 11, 2017 from Ms. Maria Gatzios

Gatzios Planning + Development Consultants Inc.

Request for Notification to Applicants and Landowners

Heritage Markham Committee Agenda Items

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

The Manager of Heritage Planning advised that staff received correspondence dated December 11, 2017, from Ms. Maria Gatzios, Gatzios Planning + Development Consultants Inc., requesting that Heritage Markham Committee alter its agenda notification process to provide applicants / landowners notification of an item being placed on the Committee's agenda, to give them the opportunity to review any city staff memorandum being provided to the Committee, and be given an opportunity to speak to their item at the Committee meeting.

The Manager of Heritage Planning further advised that staff do not normally inform individual applicants when their items are listed on the agenda, as the recommendations/comments from Heritage Markham Committee are provided to the Planner responsible for the application are provided to the applicant with all other feedback from commenting agencies. Applicants who wish to further discuss a recommendation are welcome to attend a future Heritage Markham

Committee meeting. Applicants should also be encouraged to monitor the monthly Heritage Markham agendas on-line to keep abreast of their applications.

A member suggested that the Heritage Markham Committee agenda be made available on the City's website earlier than is currently provided, to allow applicants sufficient time to review staff comments and suggested recommendations to the Committee.

The Manager of Heritage Planning advised that Heritage Section staff depend on review and comments from other departments before preparing their own review and comment to include in their memorandum to the Heritage Markham Committee in the agenda package. He further advised that Heritage Section staff also depend on the IT and City Clerk's Department for preparing and posting the agenda on the City's website.

Heritage Markham Recommends:

That the correspondence from Ms. Maria Gatzios dated December 11, 2017 be received and,

That Heritage Markham Committee agendas be posted on the City's website at least a week prior to the scheduled meeting date, or as early as practicable.

CARRIED

23. New Business

46 Main Street North

Emergency Services Vehicles

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning

Councillor Rea raised the issue of a dispute between neighbours of the property behind the Wedding Cake House. She further noted that she wants the condo documents to advise future owners that the street is closed several times a year restricting vehicular access. She also advised that fire trucks on Main Street cannot enter the driveway unless they go over the patio of the Wedding Cake House. The Manager of Heritage Planning advised that Fire Services has tested fire truck access and found it satisfactory.

24. New Business

Heritage Awards of Excellence Ceremony

Extracts: R. Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning
W. Bond, Development Services

Councillor Burke thanked David Nesbitt, Chair and Ian Darling, Vice-Chair of the Heritage Markham Committee, Regan Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning, George Duncan, Senior Heritage Planner, Peter Wokral, Heritage Planner, and Wendy Bond, Administrative Assistant in the Development Services Commission for the well-organized 2017 Heritage Awards event on December 11, 2017.

Councilor Rea thanked Heritage Section staff and all Heritage Markham Committee members for another successful year.

The Heritage Markham Committee meeting adjourned at 9:10 PM.