

From: Frederick Woo <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Monday, March 18, 2024 1:39 PM

To: Clerks Public <clerkspublic@markham.ca>

Cc: Mayor Scarpitti <MayorScarpitti@markham.ca>; Councillor, Karen Rea - Markham <krea@markham.ca>; Councillor, Reid McAlpine - Markham <rmcalpine@markham.ca>; Gold, Laura <lgold@markham.ca>; Henningham, Alecia <AHenningham@markham.ca>; Alligood, Erica <EAlligood@markham.ca>; Regional Councillor, Jim Jones - Markham <jjones@markham.ca>

Subject: Council meeting on March 20, 2024 re. Hilton OLT appeals

Dear Sirs :

Good day.

Understood there will be a Council meeting come March 20 on Hilton development OLT appeals. Please find attached my written deputation of March 18, 2024 for your inclusion in the agenda of the meeting. I'll leave the Council Chambers having delivered my live deputation, as the subject issue will be held in camera.

Best regards,
Frederick Woo

Mr. Chairman, Councillors.

Good afternoon.

Subsequent to my live deputation on last March 5, I would like to speak as follows :

1. Infrastructures (healthcare, schools, transit system, senior cares etc.)

I would like to reiterate that OLT should be reminded not to consider the appeals in isolation without due regard to the infrastructures for the communities from Markville to Bayview along HWY 7 E, Buttonville Airport redevelopment etc. to align with the population growth and developments in the next 10 years.

2. Council should remind OLT that the 5 SLAB building proposal that the appeals are based on was first presented at DSC on Dec 12, 2023 without statutory public meeting for the community to weigh in on the development and without giving DSC reasonable time to review and process the proposal.

3. Potential parking shortfall impacting neighbouring buildings that I have written to DSC Chair in last Dec. That is, 0.7 parking per residential unit including for guests vs. City standard of slightly over 1 parking per residential unit, 1 parking per 100sq. metre for retail vs. City standard of 3 parking per 100sq. metre for retail. Traffic impact on Courtyard Lane due to the proposal as there are two proposed buildings at Courtyard Lane, near HWY 7 E and Clegg Road respectively. That said, I have been using Courtyard Lane six days weekly for the past over a decade going to the gym in Hilton and hence know Courtyard Lane pretty well.

4. Provision of lockers and EV charging per 1998 Condominium Act should be under Staff close review as they impact the need for self sufficient garage parking for Hilton development.

5. Adequate usable parkland for Hilton development as I have written to DSC Chair in last Dec.

6. Needles to elaborate here on the adverse wind screen, shadow effect and view block to Vandome on Clegg Road residential buildings of no more than 18 storeys approx as I have written to DSC Chair, copied members of Council, since last Dec.

Finally, the massing of the proposed FIVE slab buildings ranging from 40 to 55 storeys flows thru in my view to overwhelm the Hotel of approx 12 storeys and that is deemed as an iconic building in Markham. Hoping Council will translate this at OLT proceeding.

I oppose Hilton Hotel proposal in light of the foregoing.

Thanks for listening.

From : Frederick Woo

Sent from my i-pad