From: DENNIS FORTNUM < Sent: June 16, 2023 10:37 AM

Subject: Re: Winter ploughing

If my assessment is incorrect please have the City staff call me to explain. (Case #3 to Case #6 in the attached report) \$637 for all roads and for only those without sidewalks \$212 (one third). Report and tender attached. Again a small price to pay for improving winter walking conditions for residents on these streets.

The numbers in the current years report are totally inconsistent with last years tender and report. The numbers in C14 slide 27 make no sense. There is no way the incremental cost could be that high for plowing local roads without sidewalks to 5cm. There seems to be some issue in coming up with consistent numbers. Based on previous reports there are 399km of local roads without sidewalks or approximately one third of local roads.(report attached) The incremental cost can't be \$2M+. It is clearly closer to \$212. Again a small price to pay to improve winter walking conditions for residents without sidewalks.

Per the current years report, sidewalks are an important part of the transportation network. Residents in areas without sidewalks need to be able to access this network with safe walking conditions. This would also be consistent with Markham Mobility and aging in place initiatives.

The cost of windrow service is high at \$1.2M and of limited value. Residents who want this service should be charged a nominal annual fee of \$50 to \$100 a year. This recovery could be used to fund the improved service level on local roads without sidewalks.

Its interesting the survey does not segment residents on local roads without sidewalks. Personally I don't think a "somewhat satisfied" rating is something to be proud of.

Monday is out for me, I am Chairing the Make a Wish Golf for Wishes golf tournament on Monday at Angus Glen where 288 golfers will rase over \$400k for wishes for children with life threatening illnesses.

ı	R	۵	c	t
ı	_	_	-	

Dennis