



BUILDING MARKHAM'S FUTURE TOGETHER  
2020 – 2023 Strategic Plan



# Tree Preservation By-law Review

Community Services – Operations Department

Development Services – Planning Department

Corporate Services – By-law and Regulatory Services / Legislative Services

CAO Commission – Legal Department



## Agenda

- Purpose and Principle of the Tree Preservation By-law
- Background
- Public Engagement Results
- Proposed Updates to the Tree Preservation By-law
- Questions and Discussions



## Purpose of the Tree Preservation By-law

- To ensure the preservation of the urban canopy for air quality, ground water conservation
- To achieve a tree canopy cover of 30% or greater
- To ensure safety and maintenance of trees

## Principles of Tree Preservation By-law Review

- Review of By-law effectiveness
- Align internal processes to ensure consistency
- Prioritizing preservation before replacement and compensation
- Ensuring transparency and fairness



# Resolution of Council



RESOLUTION OF COUNCIL MEETING NO. 10 - DATED MAY 31, 2022

## 8.2 REPORT NO. 22 - GENERAL COMMITTEE (MAY 24, 2022)

### 8.2.6 [TREE PRESERVATION BY-LAW REVIEW AND UPDATE](#) (2.0)

1. That the report and presentation entitled “Tree Preservation By-law Review and Update” be received; and,
2. That the written communication from Valerie Burke be received; and,
3. That the recommendations for amendments to the Tree Preservation By-law and related processes in this report be approved in principle; and,
4. That staff report back to Committee with additional recommendations that provide for the protection of hedges; and further,
5. That Staff be authorized and directed to do all things necessary to give effect to this resolution.



## May 31, 2022 Approved Amendments

| <u>Additions:</u>        | <u>Edits:</u>                   |  |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| 1. Permit Signage        | 6. Evaluation Method            | 12. Compensation Illegal                             |
| 2. Undertaking           | 7. Permit Expiration            | 13. Pool Process                                     |
| 3. New Offences          | 8. Boundary Trees               | 15. Tree Preservation Zone (TPZ) Barrier Signage     |
| 4. Securities for Infill | 9. Non-compliant Permits        | <u>Deletions:</u>                                    |
| 5. Proximity to Dwelling | 10. Multi-stem Tree Calculation | 17. Trees Located in the Proposed Building Footprint |
|                          | 11. Compensation                |  |



## Public Consultation Results

- Staff conducted a public open house on May 30<sup>th</sup>, 2023, 6 residents attended and were supportive of the changes with many positive feedbacks
- Notable comments:
  - support for the building footprint exemption being removed
  - propose to allow farmers to manage trees





## Your Voice Markham

Comments received and responded to on YVM:

- Privacy for online permits
- Boundary trees
- 1.5m removal option – for other dwelling
- Tree maintenance practices, consider/review all trees on property
- Tree removal for Accessibility
- Building footprint exemption removal opposition
- Developers/residents equity
- Tree selection list to be more diverse
- Infestation, Invasive species should be less protected
- Request for a watch list for landmark trees



## New Items Since May 2022

|   | Proposed  |
|---|---|
| 1 | Hedge definition:<br><i>Hedge means 3 or more stems planted a maximum of 1 m apart, and a minimum height of 2 m to create a barrier, up to 20 cm DBH.</i> |
|   | Compensation = length of hedge * % health value * replacement cost (cash-in-lieu value \$337.00)  |
|   | Conditional hedge re-plants must be 2 m tall of the species being removed   |
| 2 | Active farm coordination  |



|   | <b>Proposed</b>   |
|---|---|
| 3 | All City trees regardless of size and private trees ≥20 cm to be covered under the Tree Preservation By-law                 |
| 4 | Add fee to the Fee By-law for Infill construction related tree review   |
| 5 | Securities required for tree preservation barriers and <u>existing remaining trees</u> for all construction related permits |
| 6 | Use Aggregate Caliper Method to evaluate Illegal removals – cm for cm replacement   |
| 7 | Conditional planting size for non-construction permits to be 3cm  |



## Next Steps

- Complete By-law Draft and Policy and Procedure document in coordination with internal and external Legal review



## BUILDING MARKHAM'S FUTURE TOGETHER

2020 – 2023 Strategic Plan



## Questions & Discussion



## Previously Approved Additions

|   | <b>Proposed</b>   |
|---|---|
| 1 | Permits signage to be visible from the street   |
| 2 | An Undertaking will be added to the permit  |
| 3 | New offences:<br>Failure to install tree preservation fencing<br>Failure to install tree preservation signage   |
| 4 | Securities required for trees to be planted for Infill related permits  |
| 5 | Allow trees to be removed if they are ≤1.5 m from the edge of the DBH to the edge of any building requiring a building permit on subject the property |



## Previously Approved Edits

|   | Current   | Proposed   |
|---|---|--|
| 6 | Different evaluation methods used across departments for <u>permitted</u> removal                 | All departments to use Progressive Aggregate Caliper Method with the ability to depreciate the tree based on condition |
| 7 | Permit Expiration - 3 months  | Permits Expiration - 1 year  |
| 8 | Boundary Trees, no consistent process across departments  | A sample letter provided on Markham website, neighbour's signature required  |
| 9 | For non-construction permits, no mechanism to collect cash-in-lieu of planting for non-compliance | Non-construction permit – non-compliance will be added to property tax   |



|    | <b>Current</b>  | <b>Proposed</b>   |
|----|---|---|
| 10 | Diameter of multi-stem trees is calculated by sum of the largest three limbs  | Change the multi-stem calculation to the industry standard – sum of the square root of the largest three limbs    |
| 11 | Cash-in-lieu of planting:<br>Non-construction - \$300.00<br>Construction - \$600.00<br>Development - \$600.00<br>Forestry (City trees) - \$675.00 | Cash-in-lieu of planting:<br>Non-construction - \$337.00<br>Construction/Development/City trees - \$675.00        |
| 12 | Illegal removal cash-in-lieu of planting:<br>Non-construction/Construction/<br>Development - \$600.00<br>City trees - \$675.00                    | Illegal removal cash-in-lieu of planting:<br>Non-construction/ Construction/<br>Development/City trees - \$675.00 |



|    | <b>Current</b>  | <b>Proposed</b>  |
|----|---|--|
| 13 | Pools and major landscape considered as regular permit              | Pools and major landscape considered as a construction related permit  |
| 14 | Different processes for TPZ signage between By-law and Urban Design | Applicant to install TPZ barrier signage   |
| 15 | Developers do not have access to the tree permit process            | Urban Design will use the Tree By-law to approve and manage tree removals up until execution of a site plan or subdivision agreement |
| 16 | Trees located in the building footprint are exempt                  | Require conditions for trees located in the building foot print  |



## Previously Approved - No Change

|    | Current                        |
|----|--------------------------------|
| 17 | Licensing of Arborists         |
| 18 | Size threshold 20cm DBH        |
| 18 | Trees in rear yards            |
| 20 | Heritage designation for trees |
| 21 | Permit and Application Fee     |