

Electronic Council Meeting Minutes

Meeting No. 1
January 25, 2022, 1:00 PM
Live streamed

| | | |
|-----------|--|--|
| Roll Call | Mayor Frank Scarpitti | Councillor Reid McAlpine |
| | Deputy Mayor Don Hamilton | Councillor Karen Rea |
| | Regional Councillor Jack Heath | Councillor Andrew Keyes |
| | Regional Councillor Joe Li | Councillor Amanda Collucci |
| | Regional Councillor Jim Jones | Councillor Khalid Usman |
| | Councillor Keith Irish | Councillor Isa Lee |
| | Councillor Alan Ho | |
| | | |
| Staff | Andy Taylor, Chief Administrative Officer | Francesco Santaguida, Assistant City Solicitor |
| | Trinela Cane, Commissioner, Corporate Services | Alida Tari, Manager, Access & Privacy |
| | Arvin Prasad, Commissioner, Development Services | John Wong, Technology Support Specialist II |
| | Morgan Jones, Commissioner, Community Services | Hristina Giantsopoulos, Election/Council & Committee Coordinator |
| | Claudia Storto, City Solicitor and Director of Human Resources | Mark Visser, Senior Manager Strategy Innovation & Investments |
| | Adam Grant, Fire Chief | Darryl Lyons, Manager, Policy |
| | Biju Karumanchery, Director, Planning & Urban Design | Marty Rokos, Senior Planner, Development, Planning & Urban Design |
| | Frank Clarizio, Director, Engineering | Lawrence Yip, Senior Planner, Development, Planning & Urban Design |
| | Joel Lustig, Treasurer | Clement Messere, Senior Planner |
| | Bryan Frois, Chief of Staff | Amanda Crompton, Planner II, Planning & Urban Design |
| | Kimberley Kitteringham, City Clerk | Evan Manning, Heritage Planner |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Alternate formats for this document are available upon request

1. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting of Council convened at 1:07 PM on January 25, 2022. Mayor Frank Scarpitti presided.

INDIGENOUS LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We begin today by acknowledging the traditional territories of Indigenous peoples and their commitment to stewardship of the land. We acknowledge the communities in circle. The North, West, South and Eastern directions, and Haudenosaunee, Huron-Wendat, Anishnabeg, Seneca, Chippewa, and the current treaty holders Mississaugas of the Credit peoples. We share the responsibility with the caretakers of this land to ensure the dish is never empty and to restore relationships that are based on peace, friendship, and trust. We are committed to reconciliation, partnership and enhanced understanding.

Mayor Frank Scarpitti announced that the City of Markham has been named one of Canada's Best Employers for 2022 and the best City employer by Forbes for the second consecutive year. Andy Taylor, Chief Administrative Officer, noted that the City improved its ranking in the midst of all the current challenges, which is a testament to the staff's leadership, commitment and hard work.

2. DISCLOSURE OF PECUNIARY INTEREST

None disclosed.

3. APPROVAL OF PREVIOUS MINUTES

3.1 COUNCIL MINUTES - DECEMBER 14, 2021

Moved by Councillor Isa Lee

Seconded by Councillor Khalid Usman

1. That the Minutes of the Council Meeting held on December 14, 2021, be adopted.

Carried

4. PRESENTATIONS

There were no presentations.

5. DEPUTATIONS

There were no deputations.

6. COMMUNICATIONS

6.1 1-2022 LIQUOR LICENCE APPLICATION - BORN TO GOLF (WARD 1) (3.21)

Moved by Councillor Andrew Keyes

Seconded by Councillor Reid McAlpine

1. That the request for the City of Markham to complete the Municipal Information Form be received for information and be processed accordingly.

Carried

6.2 2-2022 LIQUOR LICENCE APPLICATION - BAKER HOUSE BREAD (WARD 2) (3.21)

Moved by Councillor Andrew Keyes

Seconded by Councillor Reid McAlpine

1. That the request for the City of Markham to complete the Municipal Information Form be received for information and be processed accordingly.

Carried

6.3 3-2022 USE OF CORPORATE RESOURCES FOR ELECTION PURPOSES POLICY - PROPOSED UPDATES (14.0)

Moved by Regional Councillor Jack Heath

Seconded by Councillor Karen Rea

1. That the staff memorandum titled 'Use of Corporate Resources for Election Purposes Policy – Proposed Updates' dated January 25, 2022 be received; and,
2. That Council approve the updated *Use of Corporate Resources for Election Purposes Policy*, as reflected in **Appendix 'A'** of this memorandum; and further,
3. That staff be authorized and directed to do all things necessary to give effect to this resolution.

Carried

(Item 8.2.2, Report No. 2)

7. PROCLAMATIONS

7.1 PROCLAMATIONS (3.4)

Moved by Councillor Alan Ho

Seconded by Councillor Keith Irish

1. That the following proclamations, issued by the City Clerk in accordance with the City of Markham Proclamation Policy, be received for information purposes:
 1. Tamil Heritage Month - January 2022
 2. Black History Month - February 2022
 3. Bell Let's Talk Day - January 26, 2022
 4. National Wildlife Week - April 4-10, 2022
 5. Be Kind to Animals Week - May 1-7, 2022
 6. World Turtle Day - May 23, 2022
 7. Animal Health Week - October 2-8, 2022
 8. National Feral Cat Day - October 16, 2022
2. That the following new requests for proclamation be approved and added to the Five-Year Proclamations List approved by Council:
 1. World Thinking Day - February 22, 2022
 2. World Spay Day - February 22, 2022
 3. Markham Toastmasters Club #4782 - 40th Anniversary - March 2022
 4. Jewish Heritage Month - May 2022
 5. Canadian Environment Week - May 30 - June 5, 2022
3. That the following request for flag to be raised at the Anthony Roman Markham Civic Centre flagpole, approved by the City Clerk in accordance with the City of Markham Community Flag Raisings & Flag Protocol Policy, be received for information purposes:
 1. Bell Let's Talk Day - January 26, 2022 (Organized by The City of Markham)

Carried

8. REPORT OF STANDING COMMITTEE

8.1 REPORT NO. 1 - GENERAL COMMITTEE (JANUARY 17, 2022)

Moved by Regional Councillor Jack Heath

Seconded by Councillor Khalid Usman

That the report of the General Committee be received & adopted. (1 Item only):

Carried

8.1.1 USE OF CORPORATE RESOURCES FOR ELECTION PURPOSES POLICY - 2022 (14.0)

Moved by Regional Councillor Jack Heath

Seconded by Councillor Khalid Usman

1. That the report titled 'Use of Corporate Resources for Election Purposes Policy (2022)' dated January 17, 2022 be received for information.

Carried

8.2 REPORT NO. 2 - SPECIAL DEVELOPMENT SERVICES COMMITTEE (JANUARY 24, 2022)

Moved by Regional Councillor Jim Jones

Seconded by Councillor Keith Irish

That the report of the Special Development Committee be received & adopted, save and except for Item 8.2.2:

Carried

8.2.1 RECOMMENDATION REPORT - REQUEST FOR DEMOLITION OF DWELLING 4638 MAJOR MACKENZIE DRIVE EAST, THE PINGLE- BROWN HOUSE (WARD 6) FILE NO.: 21 142804 DP (10.0)

Moved by Regional Councillor Jim Jones

Seconded by Councillor Keith Irish

1. That the staff report titled "Request for Demolition of Dwelling, 4638 Major Mackenzie Drive East, Ward 6, The Pingle-Brown House, File: 21 142804 DP", dated January 24, 2022, be received; and,

2. That Council has no objection to the demolition of the dwelling at 4638 Major Mackenzie Drive East, subject to the following:
 1. The owner is to advertise the availability of heritage components for salvage prior to demolition;
 2. The owner is to provide documentation to the City of Markham illustrating the mid-19th century building techniques used during the construction of this building obtained as part of the proposed sensitive demolition; and
 3. The owner is to provide at its cost, an interpretative plaque designed in accordance with the requirements of the “Markham Remembered” program and to arrange its installation in a publicly visible location on the property as part of the future development; and,
3. That Staff be authorized and directed to do all things necessary to give effect to this resolution.

Carried

8.2.2 CITY COMMENTS ON PROVINCIAL BRIDGE STATION TRANSIT ORIENTED COMMUNITY PROPOSAL (WARD 1) (10.0, 13.3)

Discussion on this matter ensued. Members of Council provided proposed amendments to the original recommendation before them to be considered.

Moved by Regional Councillor Jim Jones

Seconded by Councillor Keith Irish

1. That the report titled “City Comments on Provincial Bridge Station Transit Oriented Community Proposal, (Ward 1)” be received; and,
2. That the City of Markham does not support the Bridge Station Transit Oriented Community proposal; and,
3. **That the Province of Ontario, through its agency Infrastructure Ontario, should immediately withdraw its Bridge Station Transit Oriented Community Proposal; and,**
4. **That notwithstanding clause 3, the City requests a 90 day extension in order for York Region, City of Markham, and City of Richmond Hill to work through the complicated details related to**

the Bridge and High Tech Transit Oriented Community proposals and specifically, the City requests the Province to revise the Bridge Station Transit Oriented Community proposal to address the matters outlined in the staff report and in particular, the following:

Alignment with Langstaff Gateway Secondary Plan

- a. Align the Bridge Station Transit Oriented Community Proposal with **the Langstaff Gateway Secondary Plan** to meet the City of Markham’s objective to create a complete, vibrant and sustainable community reflective of the City’s **excellent planning** and development standards; and,
- b. Comprehensively plan for the entire Langstaff Gateway Secondary Plan area **to ensure that a resolution on reconciling the remainder of the Secondary Plan area is achieved** including the lands not part of the Province’s Bridge Station Transit Oriented Community to address inter-dependencies and related issues such as cost sharing arrangements for parks, civic uses and infrastructure; and,
- c. Correct the imbalance of land uses by achieving a jobs-to-residents ratio of 1:2 as outlined in the Langstaff Gateway Secondary Plan and ensure that any agreements require an appropriate amount of employment uses in each phase of the development as a condition before further phases can proceed; and,
- d. Meet the 35% target for affordable housing, **including purpose built rentals** as established in the Langstaff Gateway Secondary Plan in consultation with York Region and the City of Markham; and,
- e. **Ensure this area will have all the characteristics of a “complete community” whereby it** will function as a destination that incorporates a mix of uses (employment, tourism, cultural, retail, recreation, etc.), world class urban design and vibrant public spaces that draw residents and visitors; and,

Civic Uses and Public Realm

- f. With respect to parkland:
 - i. Provide a minimum of 6 hectares of parkland in an early phase so it is available and better aligned with the timing of residential development; and,

- ii. Confirm that Bridge Park is financially and technically feasible, including confirmation from CN Rail that such a park would be permitted; and,
 - iii. Provide an alternative solution to deliver the minimum required parkland if Bridge Park cannot be constructed; and,
- g. Provide a minimum of one library at 2,415 m² as well as one community centre at 4,273 m² **and a fire station** to serve the community, and confirm that the City of Markham maintains its authority to refine the size and location of the community centre; and,
- h. Confirm the appropriate location and space requirements for schools with the York Region District School Board, York Catholic District School Board, Conseil scolaire Viamonde, and Conseil scolaire catholique MonAvenir, **including the provision for multi-level urban schools; and,**
- i. **That developing the South York Greenway trail as it follows the east-west 407-ETR transit way be a priority in the first phase of the Bridge Transit Oriented Community and that a Multi-Use Pathway (MUP) connection to the High Tech Transit Oriented Community be provided in the CN underpass of the 407 and Highway 7; and,**

Transportation

- j. Revise the Transportation Plan to comprehensively plan for the entire Richmond Hill Centre/Langstaff Gateway Urban Growth Centre and account for the change in the subway location, **confirm the capacity for rapid transit (Yonge North Subway Extension, GO Rail, and 407 Transitway), the traffic impact on the local and regional road systems, the amount of residential, employment, and commuter parking**, a significant increase in travel demand from the population increase and reduced opportunity for local travel; and,

Phasing

- k. Provide a detailed Phasing Plan **for the City of Markham's review that maintains the maximum number of units in the first phase as outlined in the Langstaff Gateway Secondary Plan, and,** appropriately aligns development with the delivery of subway, transportation, infrastructure and civic uses (such as schools, parks and community amenities); and,

Built Form and Sustainability

- l. Confirm that all buildings will be constructed to a minimum Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) gold/silver (or equivalent standard) and that such minimum standards be included in all agreements; and,
- m. Confirm that all buildings will be constructed to incorporate Markham District Energy's **thermal energy technology** and protect for the **potential** inclusion of an automated vacuum waste system (AVAC); and,
- n. That the Province undertake a Blue Sky exercise to leverage the comprehensive planning and consolidation of the two Transit Oriented Communities, Bridge and High Tech, including the potential of undergrounding the hydro lines (approximately 180 to 200 acres); and,**
- o. That the Province require the development of an environmental plan relative to the Pomona Creek to the satisfaction of the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority; and further,**
- p. The preservation of heritage buildings as identified by the City of Markham be maintained.**

Financial Framework and Agreements

- 5. That the Province provide details regarding the financial framework for the Bridge Station Transit Oriented Community proposal and confirm that the City's authority to collect Development Charges, Community Benefit Charges, and Cash-in-lieu of Parkland, **Planning Fees, etc.,** will not be infringed upon **and that the financial framework would not preclude other financial tools including Tax Incremental Financing; and,**
- 6. That the Province confirm that the Transit Oriented Community framework and agreements do not preclude the City and/or other landowners from entering into agreements to provide facilities and services within the Langstaff Gateway Secondary Plan area; and,

Consultation

- 7. That the Province be advised that the City of Markham is continuing to review and discuss the Bridge Station Transit Oriented Community

Proposal and may provide further recommendations at a later date and **request that the Province provide their proposed changes to the Langstaff Gateway Secondary Plan; and,**

8. That the Province be requested to consult with appropriate external agencies, including but not limited to: Toronto and Region Conservation Authority, York Region, Canadian National Railway, and 407 ETR; and,
9. **That the Province engage in more consultation with the public and the City including stakeholders and adjacent landowners with an open and transparent approach to consultation; and,**

Implementation

10. That the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing be requested to consult with the City of Markham **and its residents** should a Minister's Zoning Order be considered for **these lands and that the City be provided sufficient time for review and feedback prior to issuance; and,**
11. That a Minister's Zoning Order for the Bridge Station Transit Oriented Community not include provisions regarding subdivision control and site plan control so that the City can address local issues through these processes; and,
12. **That the municipal boundaries be changed to accurately reflect the continuity of the planning and infrastructure requirements of the City of Markham; and,**
13. That Council direct staff to continue working with the Province and York Region to align the vision of the Bridge Station Transit Oriented Community with the Langstaff Gateway Secondary Plan through *Planning Act* implementation tools (e.g. plan of subdivision, site plan) and other mechanisms, including potential agreements with participating parties; and,
14. That the City Clerk provide a copy of this resolution and report to the Ministries of Infrastructure, Municipal Affairs and Housing, Transportation; and Infrastructure Ontario, as well as York Region, York Region Rapid Transit Corporation, the City of Richmond Hill, the City of Toronto, the City of Vaughan, York Region District School Board, York Catholic District School Board, Conseil scolaire Viamonde, Conseil scolaire catholique MonAvenir, the Toronto and

Region Conservation Authority (TRCA), and local Members of Provincial Parliament; and further,

15. That Staff be authorized and directed to do all things necessary to give effect to this resolution.

(Carried by Recorded Vote 12:0)

(See following Recorded Vote)

(Recorded Vote (12:0))

YEAS: Councillor Keith Irish, Councillor Alan Ho, Councillor Reid McAlpine, Councillor Karen Rea, Regional Councillor Jim Jones, Deputy Mayor Don Hamilton, Mayor Frank Scarpitti, Regional Councillor Jack Heath, Regional Councillor Joe Li, Councillor Andrew Keyes, Councillor Amanda Collucci, Councillor Isa Lee **(12)**

ABSENT: Councillor Khalid Usman **(1)**

Council had before it the following original recommendation for consideration:

1. That the report titled “City Comments on Provincial Bridge Station Transit Oriented Community Proposal, (Ward 1)” be received; and,
2. That the City does not support the Bridge Station Transit Oriented Community (TOC) proposal as currently proposed; and,
3. That the City request the Province to revise the Bridge Station TOC Proposal to address the matters outlined in this staff report and in particular the following:
 1. Align the Bridge Station TOC Proposal with the vision of the Langstaff Gateway Secondary Plan **to meet the City of Markham’s objective** to create a complete, **vibrant** and sustainable community **reflective of the City’s high planning and development standards;**
 2. Comprehensively plan for the entire Langstaff Gateway Secondary Plan area **including those lands not part of the Bridge Station TOC to address inter-dependencies and related issues such as**

cost sharing arrangements for parks, civic uses and infrastructure;

3. Correct the imbalance of land uses by achieving a jobs to residents ratio closer to 1:2 as outlined in the Langstaff Gateway Secondary Plan **and ensure that any agreements require an appropriate amount of employment uses be provided in each phase of the development as a condition before further phases can proceed;**
4. **With respect to parkland:**
 1. Provide a minimum of 6 hectares of parkland in an early phase so it is available and better aligned with the timing of residential development;
 2. **Confirm** that Bridge Park is financially and technically feasible, **including confirmation from CN Rail that such a park would be permitted; and;**
 3. **Provide an alternative solution to deliver the minimum required parkland if Bridge Park cannot be constructed;**
5. Provide a minimum of one library at 2,415 m² and one community centre at 4,273 m² to serve the community **and confirm that the City of Markham maintains the authority to refine the size and location of the community centre;**
6. **Demonstrate that the Bridge Station TOC will function as a destination that incorporates a mix of uses (employment, tourism, cultural, retail, recreation, etc.), world class urban design and vibrant public spaces that draw residents and visitors;**
7. Confirm the appropriate **location and** amount of space required for schools with the York Region District School Board, York Region Catholic School Board, Conseil scolaire Viamonde, and Conseil scolaire catholique MonAvenir;
8. **Meet** the 35% target for affordable housing as established in the Langstaff Gateway Secondary Plan **in consultation with the Region and the City;**
9. Revise the Transportation Plan to comprehensively plan for the entire Richmond Hill Centre/ Langstaff Gateway Urban Growth Centre and account for the change in the subway location,

significant increase in travel demand from the population increase and reduced opportunity for local travel due to the low ratio of non-residential uses proposed;

10. Provide a detailed Phasing Plan that appropriately aligns development with the delivery of subway, transportation, infrastructure and civic uses (such as schools, parks and community amenities);
11. **Confirm that all buildings will be constructed to a minimum LEED gold/silver (or equivalent standard) and that such minimum LEED standards be included in any agreements;**
12. **Confirm that all buildings will be constructed to incorporate District Energy and protect for the inclusion of automated vacuum waste system (AVAC);**
4. That the Province provide details regarding the financial framework for the Bridge Station TOC proposal **and confirm that the City's authority to collect Development Charges, Community Benefit Charges, and Cash-in-lieu of Parkland will not be infringed upon;**
5. **That the Province confirm that the TOC framework and agreements do not preclude the City and/or other landowners from entering into agreements to provide facilities and services within the Langstaff Gateway Secondary Plan area;**
6. **That the Province be advised that the City is continuing to review and discuss the Bridge Station TOC Proposal and may provide further recommendations at a later date;**
7. That the Province **be requested** to consult with appropriate external agencies, including but not limited to, -Toronto and Region Conservation Authority, **York Region**, Canadian National Railway, and 407 ETR;
8. That the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing be requested to consult with the City of Markham should a Minister's Zoning Order (**MZO**) be considered for the Bridge Station TOC lands;
9. **That a MZO for the Bridge Station TOC not include provisions regarding subdivision control and site plan control so that the City can deal with local issues through these processes;**

10. **That the Province engage in more consultation with the public and the City including stakeholders and develop an open and transparent approach to consultation;**
11. That Council direct staff to continue working with the Province and Region to align the vision of the Bridge Station TOC with the Langstaff Gateway Secondary Plan through *Planning Act* implementation tools (e.g. plan of subdivision, site plan) and other mechanisms, including potential agreements with participating parties;
12. That the City Clerk provide a copy of this resolution and report to the Ministries of Infrastructure, Municipal Affairs and Housing, Transportation; and Infrastructure Ontario, as well as York Region, the City of Richmond Hill, **City of Toronto, City of Vaughan, York Region District School Board, York Region Catholic School Board, Conseil scolaire Viamonde, Conseil scolaire catholique MonAvenir, and local MPPs;** and,
13. That Staff be authorized and directed to do all things necessary to give effect to this resolution.

9. MOTIONS

There were no motions.

10. NOTICE OF MOTION TO RECONSIDER

There were no notices of motions.

11. NEW/OTHER BUSINESS

11.1 MARKHAM ENTERPRISES BOARD COMPENSATION (13.7)

Moved by Regional Councillor Jim Jones

Seconded by Councillor Andrew Keyes

1. That Markham Enterprises Corporation (MEC) Directors (excluding Directors cross-appointed to Markham District Energy Inc. or Alectra or any successor or future business corporations) be compensated as follows:

(a) Directors - \$6,000 per annum

(b) Chair – an additional \$4,000 per annum

(c) Vice-Chair – an additional \$1,500 per annum

(d) Meeting per diem - \$500 per meeting, to a maximum of 16 meetings inclusive of any sub-committee meetings;

2. That the compensation increase for MEC Directors be retroactive to January 1, 2021; and,
3. That the cost of the increases be funded through the MEC budget; and further,
4. That Staff be authorized and directed to do all things necessary to give effect to this recommendation.

Carried

11.2 TRANSIT ORIENTED COMMUNITIES (10.0, 13.3)

Moved by Regional Councillor Jack Heath

Seconded by Councillor Alan Ho

1. That the following matters be received and referred to a future Development Services Committee:
 1. That, considering the High Tech Transit Oriented Community (TOC) in Richmond Hill is so close and both Transit Oriented Communities are dependent on the same transportation network, a committee with representatives from the Province, Region of York, Markham, Richmond Hill, landowners, and the public be established immediately in order to coordinate and plan the implementation of the two Transit Oriented Communities; and,
 2. That the Province immediately outline its plans for funding the Yonge North Subway Extension (YNSE), including additional stations beyond the announced minimum, as the plans would impact the Region of York, City of Markham, and any aspect of the Bridge Transit Oriented Community; and,
 3. That the Province provide details immediately of its proposal for “Commercial Agreements” with landowners in the Bridge Transit Oriented Community; and,

4. That the Province provide details as soon as possible about potential Transit Oriented Communities at Steeles, Clarke, Royal Orchard, Markham Centre, and any others in or near Markham.

Carried

12. ANNOUNCEMENTS

There were no announcements.

13. BY-LAWS - THREE READINGS

Moved by Councillor Reid McAlpine

Seconded by Regional Councillor Joe Li

That By-laws 2022-1 to 2022-6 be given three readings and enacted.

Carried

Three Readings

- 13.1 BY-LAW 2022-1 A BY-LAW TO ESTABLISH STREETS LAID OUT ACCORDING TO PLAN OF SUBDIVISION 65M-4603 AS A PUBLIC HIGHWAY PART OF LOT 11, CONCESSION 9 (KYMBERVILLE CAPITAL INC.)

(Arthur Bonner Avenue, Frederick Wilson Avenue, Robert Joffre Leet Avenue and Rustle Woods Avenue, and the Lanes (namely Blocks 28 to 36), inclusive)

Carried

- 13.2 BY-LAW 2022-2 A BY-LAW TO AMEND PARKING BY-LAW 2005-188 (65M-4603)

To amend Schedule C of the Parking By-law pertaining to “Prohibited Parking”.

Carried

- 13.3 BY-LAW 2022-3 A BY-LAW TO AMEND SPEED BY-LAW 2017-104 (65M-4603)

To establish a maximum speed limit of 40 kilometres per hour on specific streets within the City of Markham (65M-4603)

Carried

13.4 BY-LAW 2022-4 A BY-LAW TO AMEND STOP BY-LAW 106-71 (65M-4603)

To add compulsory stops at specific intersections within the City of Markham.

Carried

13.5 BY-LAW 2022-5 2753129 ONTARIO INC., PART LOT CONTROL BY-LAW

A by-law to designate part of a certain plan of subdivision not subject to Part Lot Control, Part of Block 2 on Registered Plan 65M-4289, 168 Old Kennedy Road.

Carried

13.6 BY-LAW 2022-6 1240889 ARISTA HOMES (BOXGROVE EAST) INC., PART LOT CONTROL BY-LAW

A by-law to designate part of a certain plan of subdivision not subject to Part Lot Control, Block 1 on Registered Plan 65M-4141, west side of Donald Cousens Parkway, north of Copper Creek Drive.

Carried

14. CONFIDENTIAL ITEMS

Council consented to not resolve into confidential session.

The following Confidential items were approved by Council:

14.1 COUNCIL

14.1.1 COUNCIL CONFIDENTIAL MINUTES - DECEMBER 14, 2021 [Section 239 (2) (b) (c) (e) (f)] (16.0)

Moved by Regional Councillor Jim Jones

Seconded by Councillor Andrew Keyes

1. That the Minutes of the Council confidential meeting held on December 14, 2021, be adopted.

Carried

14.2 GENERAL COMMITTEE - JANUARY 17, 2021

14.2.1 THE SECURITY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE CITY OR LOCAL BOARD; (WARD 4) [Section 239 (2)(a)] INFORMATION EXPLICITLY SUPPLIED IN CONFIDENCE TO THE MUNICIPALITY OR LOCAL BOARD BY CANADA, A PROVINCE OR TERRITORY OR A CROWN AGENCY OF ANY OF THEM; (WARD 4) (13.0)

Moved by Councillor Karen Rea

Seconded by Councillor Andrew Keyes

1. That the confidential presentation on the security of the property of the city or local board; (Ward 4) and information explicitly supplied in confidence to the municipality or local board by Canada, a province or territory or a Crown Agency of any of them; (Ward 4), be received, and,
2. That Staff be authorized and directed to do all things necessary to give effect to this resolution.

Carried

14.2.2 LITIGATION OR POTENTIAL LITIGATION, INCLUDING MATTERS BEFORE ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNALS, AFFECTING THE MUNICIPALITY OR LOCAL BOARD; [Section 239 (2)(e)] ADVICE THAT IS SUBJECT TO SOLICITOR-CLIENT PRIVILEGE, INCLUDING COMMUNICATIONS

Moved by Regional Councillor Jim Jones

Seconded by Councillor Reid McAlpine

1. That the confidential update on litigation or potential litigation, including matters before administrative tribunals, affecting the municipality or local board; and advice that is subject to Solicitor-Client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose; (Ward 3) 233 Main Street - Legal Advice; be received; and,
2. That staff be authorized and directed to do all things necessary to give effect to this resolution.

Carried

**14.3 SPECIAL DEVELOPMENT SERVICES COMMITTEE MEETING -
JANUARY 24, 2021**

**14.3.1 ADVICE THAT IS SUBJECT TO SOLICITOR-CLIENT PRIVILEGE,
INCLUDING COMMUNICATIONS NECESSARY FOR THAT
PURPOSE [Section 239 (2) (f)] (8.0)**

Moved by Regional Councillor Jim Jones

Seconded by Councillor Keith Irish

1. That the confidential report on advice that is subject to Solicitor-Client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose, be received for information; and,
2. That City staff update Council regarding the progress of discussions with the Province, York Region or any other parties involved in the Bridge Station Transit Oriented Community; and further,
3. That staff be authorized and directed to do all things necessary to give effect to this resolution.

Carried

15. CONFIRMATORY BY-LAW - THREE READINGS

Moved by Councillor Isa Lee

Seconded by Councillor Keith Irish

That By-law 2022-7 be given three readings and enacted.

Three Readings

**BY-LAW 2022-7 - A BY-LAW TO CONFIRM THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE
COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 25, 2022.**

Carried

16. ADJOURNMENT

Moved by Councillor Isa Lee

Seconded by Councillor Keith Irish

That the Council meeting adjourn at 5:04 PM.

Carried

Kimberley Kitteringham

City Clerk

Frank Scarpitti

Mayor