

## By-law 2019-xx

A by-law to deem certain lands not to be a registered plan of subdivision for the purposes of subsection 50(3) of the *Planning Act* 

WHEREAS subsection 50(4) of the *Planning Act* permits a local municipality to designate any plan of subdivision, or part thereof, that has been registered for eight years or more, and deem it not to be a registered plan of subdivision for the purpose of subsection 50(3) of the *Planning Act*.

AND WHEREAS Block 64, Registered Plan 65M-3789 and Block 113, Registered Plan 65M-3794, City of Markham, Regional Municipality of York are within a plan of subdivision registered for more than eight years;

NOW THEREFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF MARKHAM HEREBY ENACTS AS FOLLOWS:

That the following lands are designated and deemed not to be a registered plan of subdivision for the purpose of subsection 50(3) of the *Planning Act*:

Block 64, Registered Plan 65M-3789 and Block 113, Registered Plan 65M-3794, City of Markham, Regional Municipality of York

Read a first, second, and third time and passed on -----.

Kimberley Kitteringham City Clerk Frank Scarpitti Mayor By-law 2019-xxxxx Page 2

## EXPLANATORY NOTE By-law 2019-

A by-law to deem certain lands not to be a registered plan of subdivision.

## LANDS AFFECTED

The affected property is being part of the lands municipally known as 0 Ralph Chalmers and 24 Ralph Chalmers.

## PURPOSE

The purpose of this By-law is to deem the lands, pursuant to Sub-Section 50(4) of the Planning Act, not to be within a registered plan of subdivision for the purposes of Section 50 (3) of the Planning Act, and to allow Block 64 and Block 113 to merge. Council previously authorized the conveyance of Block 64 on Plan 65M-3789 to the owners of Block 113 on Plan 65M-3794 pursuant to Resolution of Council Meeting No. 7 dated April 2, 2019. As a condition of the conveyance, staff recommended that Block 64 on Plan 65M-3789 be merged with Block 113 on Plan 65M-3794 pursuant to the Planning Act by passing a deeming by-law over the two blocks as it is desirable that the Property be one lot within the meaning and intention of the Planning Act.