



# Heritage Markham Committee Minutes

**Meeting Number: 8**  
**August 13, 2025, 7:00 PM**  
**Electronic Meeting**

Members	Councillor Karen Rea, Chair Councillor Reid McAlpine Ron Blake David Butterworth Richard Huang	Victor Huang Steve Lusk Tejinder Sidhu Vanda Vicars Elizabeth Wimmer
Regrets	Councillor Keith Irish Kugan Subramaniam	Lake Trevelyan
Staff	Regan Hutcheson, Manager, Heritage Planning Evan Manning, Senior Heritage Planner Jennifer Evans, Legislative Coordinator	Rajeeth Arulanantham, Election & Committee Coordinator Tanya Lewinberg, Public Realm Coordinator

---

## 1. CALL TO ORDER

Councillor Karen Rea, Chair, convened the meeting at 7:04 PM by asking for any disclosures of interest with respect to items on the agenda.

## 2. DISCLOSURE OF PECUNIARY INTEREST

The Chair, Councillor Rea, declared a conflict in relation to Item 6.3 and noted that she is on the Board of Directors for 360° Kids, the not-for-profit organization that will be taking over operations of the subject property.

## 3. PART ONE - ADMINISTRATION

### 3.1 APPROVAL OF AGENDA (16.11)

- A. Addendum Agenda
- B. New Business from Committee Members

Evan Manning, Senior Heritage Planner, added a new business item to the agenda regarding a Minor Heritage Permit application at 257 Carlton Road, Unionville.

Recommendation:

That the August 13, 2025 Heritage Markham Committee agenda be approved.

**Carried**

**3.2 MINUTES OF THE JULY 9, 2025 HERITAGE MARKHAM COMMITTEE MEETING (16.11)**

Recommendation:

That the minutes of the Heritage Markham Committee meeting held on July 9, 2025 be received and adopted.

**Carried**

**4. PART TWO - DEPUTATIONS**

**4.1 MARKHAM INTER-CHURCH COMMITTEE FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING (MICAH)**

**EVERGREEN HOSPICE  
7672 ELGIN MILLS ROAD EAST (16.11)**

File Number:

N/A

Extracts:

R. Hutcheson, Manager, Heritage Planning

Laura Lavallee from Evergreen Hospice and Jack Heath, former City of Markham Deputy Mayor and a Committee Member of the Evergreen Hospice, gave a presentation on the Evergreen Hospice.

Ms. Lavallee provided an overview of Evergreen Hospice's current initiative highlighting the goal of the project is to establish the first hospice residence in Markham, serving Markham, Stouffville, and Thornhill. The proposed site is a municipally-recognized heritage house at 7276 Elgin Mills Road East within the Rouge National Urban Park. Architectural plans aim to preserve the home's exterior, while the interior will be renovated and expanded to meet care needs.

Mr. Heath expressed his support for Evergreen's initiative and emphasized the significance of this being Markham's first hospice. Mr. Heath referenced a past

Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with Parks Canada and urged continued collaborative planning to avoid jurisdictional conflicts. Mr. Heath supported the Staff recommendation for Heritage Markham to receive the update for information, citing its importance.

The Committee thanked the deputants for the information on the project and expressed excitement at seeing it completed.

Recommendation:

That Heritage Markham receive the update on the plans for the Evergreen Hospice (7672 Elgin Mills Road East) as information.

**Carried**

**4.2 MAIN STREET UNIONVILLE WAYFINDING PROJECT 2025-2026 (16.11)**

File Number:

N/A

Extracts:

R. Hutcheson, Manager, Heritage Planning

T. Lewinberg, Public Realm Coordinator

Regan Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning, introduced Tanya Lewinberg, Public Realm Coordinator, to provide a presentation on the Main Street Unionville Wayfinding Project 2025–2026.

Ms. Lewinberg provided a presentation on the conceptual layout for Unionville's new wayfinding signage, covering trail networks, public washrooms and parking, landmarks, and destinations. Ms. Lewinberg outlined the five locations along Main Street Unionville for pole-mounted wayfinding banner-type signage and locations for pedestal panels within the road right-of-way.

The Committee made the following comments:

- The Committee questioned the use of the washroom “toilet” symbol on the wayfinding signs. The Committee discussed placing the washroom symbol only on the map panels and not on the banner-type wayfinding signs, and suggested the use of universal labels for washrooms, such as "WC", and that it be placed under the title. Ms. Lewinberg noted the suggestion and advised the Committee that the signage had been vetted by a wayfinding company.

- The Committee suggested the placement of the Pan Am Centre and York University on the panel sign.
- The Committee noted that a section of the Rouge Valley Trail is missing where it connects to the end of Highway 7 and mentioned that work is underway to extend the trail under Highway 7.
- The Committee questioned whether the map extends too far south (between the tracks and Highway 7) as there are few attractions in that area, but noted that showing Highway 7 is helpful for orientation and parking purposes.
- The Committee suggested indicating parking lot capacities to help visitors choose where to park and noted that a municipal lot west of Stiver Mill is missing from the current map.
- The Committee also acknowledged that west-side parking is all privately-owned.
- The Committee questioned the durability and maintenance of panel signage and expressed concerns about fading over time. Staff confirmed that the panels would be printed on vinyl and could be replaced periodically, especially in the event of future developments and improvements to the area.
- The Committee questioned the orientation of north on the maps, noting that north isn't consistent on every map. They suggested that all maps should have north at the top, as in traditional layouts. Staff responded that signage is oriented "heads-up" to match the viewer's actual direction at that location, and that the orientation of north helps avoid confusion and improves intuitive navigation.
- The Committee requested a final review of all signs, especially considering the changes and corrections discussed. Staff confirmed they would consider the changes noted by the Committee and that the signage concepts will also go to the Unionville BIA (Business Improvement Area) for review.

Recommendation:

That Heritage Markham Committee receive the update from Tanya Lewinberg on the Main Street Unionville Wayfinding Project 2025-2026 as information.

**Carried**

## 5. PART THREE - CONSENT

### 5.1 MINOR HERITAGE PERMIT APPLICATIONS

**DELEGATED APPROVAL BY HERITAGE SECTION STAFF  
58 ROUGE STREET, MARKHAM VILLAGE; 202 MAIN STREET,  
UNIONVILLE; 33 COLBORNE STREET, THORNHILL; 10 PETER  
STREET, MARKHAM VILLAGE; 6 HERITAGE CORNERS LANE,  
HERITAGE ESTATES (PART IV); 7707 YONGE STREET, THORNHILL;  
4592 HIGHWAY 7, PART IV; 162 MAIN STREET NORTH, MARKHAM  
VILLAGE; 218 MAIN STREET, UNIONVILLE; 17 MAPLE LANE,  
UNIONVILLE (16.11)**

File Numbers:

25 125617 HE

25 119754 HE

25 126989 HE

25 127579 HE

25 129039 HE

25 129481 HE

25 129187 HE

25 128202 HE

25 125546 HE

25 126452 HE

Extracts:

R. Hutcheson, Manager, Heritage Planning

E. Manning, Senior Heritage Planner

Recommendation:

That Heritage Markham receive the information on the Minor Heritage Permits approved by Heritage Section staff under the delegated approval process.

**Carried**

### 5.2 BUILDING AND SIGN PERMIT APPLICATION

**DELEGATED APPROVALS BY HERITAGE SECTION STAFF  
227 MAIN ST. N (MVHCD) (16.11)**

File Number:

SP 25 114134

Extracts:

R. Hutcheson, Manager, Heritage Planner

P. Wokral, Senior Heritage Planner

Recommendation:

That Heritage Markham receive the information on building and sign permits approved by Heritage Section staff under the delegated approval process.

**Carried**

### **5.3 DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS ON ADJACENT PROPERTIES TO A CULTURAL HERITAGE RESOURCE**

**DELEGATED APPROVALS BY HERITAGE SECTION STAFF  
136 MARKLAND STREET, ADJACENT TO 2920 & 2960 16<sup>TH</sup> AVENUE  
(PART IV PROPERTIES) (16.11)**

File Number:

25 118560 PLAN

Extracts:

R. Hutcheson, Manager, Heritage Planning

E. Manning, Senior Heritage Planner

Recommendation:

That Heritage Markham receive the information on the Development Application on an adjacent property to cultural heritage resources reviewed by Heritage Section staff on behalf of Heritage Markham Committee under the delegated approval process.

**Carried**

### **5.4 COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT APPLICATIONS**

**DELEGATED APPROVALS BY HERITAGE SECTION STAFF  
11 GLEASON AVENUE (16.11)**

File Number:

A/083/25

Extracts:

R. Hutcheson, Manager, Heritage Planning

E. Manning, Senior Heritage Planner

Recommendation:

That Heritage Markham receive the information on the Committee of Adjustment application reviewed by Heritage Section staff on behalf of Heritage Markham under the delegated approval process.

**Carried**

## **6. PART FOUR - REGULAR**

### **6.1 NOTICE OF OBJECTION TO THE INCLUSION OF A PROPERTY ON THE MARKHAM REGISTER OF PROPERTY OF CULTURAL HERITAGE VALUE OR INTEREST**

#### **5480 MAJOR MACKENZIE DRIVE EAST ("WILLIAM AND ELIZABETH MCLAUGHLIN HOUSE") (16.11)**

File Number:

N/A

Extracts:

R. Hutcheson, Manager, Heritage Planning

E. Manning, Senior Heritage Planner

Evan Manning, Senior Heritage Planner, introduced the item regarding a notice of objection submitted by the property owner (represented by State Building Group) regarding the inclusion of their property on the Markham Register of Properties of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.

Mr. Manning advised that as a result of recent amendments to the Ontario Heritage Act, owners may now object to a property's listing at any time. The subject property is part of the proposed Upper Markham Village Secondary Plan, and Staff have reviewed it as part of the Priority Designation Project and concluded that it may not meet the minimum number of criteria under O. Reg. 9/06 for designation.

Mr. Manning also acknowledged the building's utilitarian architectural character, lack of strong associative value, and modest design, citing these as reasons to consider supporting the property owner's request.

Barry Nelson, deputant, spoke in favor of designating the property and advised the Committee of a letter that was circulated prior to the meeting. Mr. Nelson noted to the Committee that Council retains discretionary authority under Section 27(3) of the OHA to list any property it deems to be of heritage interest, regardless of whether it meets the minimum criteria for designation. Mr. Nelson

also emphasized that relying solely on the O. Reg. 9/06 criteria undermines Council's broader role.

The Committee made the following comments on the item:

- The Committee spoke in favor of recognizing the property as historically significant, arguing that modest buildings tied to working-class or everyday citizens are as important to conserve. The Committee also noted that the building is in good physical condition and in a prominent location, adding to its contextual value and noted that the property has a local association with Milnesville, further adding to its cultural heritage value. The Committee felt that the precedent of “delisting” could weaken the City’s credibility on heritage protection, and recommended that designation occur prior to the property be removed from the Heritage Register at the end of 2026.
- The Committee also echoed the deputant's comments on the interpretation of Section 27(3), stating that Council retains full discretion in listing properties and that this Committee can disagree with Staff if it believes the property holds significant cultural heritage value.
- The Committee questioned why an objection was submitted now considering the property has been listed on the Heritage Register for a long time.
- The Committee expressed disappointment that this property didn't come to Committee for potential designation within the 90 day period following the point at which the Official Plan Amendment was deemed complete, emphasizing that the building now cannot be designated until the planning process is completed.
- The Committee noted that State Building Group has owned the site for 10–15 years and that the property was already listed on the Heritage Register when purchased by the company. It was emphasized that prior to recent amendments to the Ontario Heritage Act, the City could have negotiated conservation of the dwelling as part of planning approval process without any time restrictions.
- The Committee suggested that keeping the property on the Heritage Register still offers some protection. Removing it from the Heritage Register would prevent notice of a potential future demolition application.

Dianne Hipwell, from State Building Group, responded that the building has been unoccupied and boarded up for over 10 years with significant interior damage due

to trespassing. Ms. Hipwell stated that they view the building as a liability and prefer to demolish it. Ms. Hipwell referred to a Heritage Impact Assessment (HIA) that was prepared by a heritage consultant and appended to Mr. Manning's report. Ms. Hipwell also mentioned that the building was not among the seven properties considered earlier this year for designation. Ms. Hipwell noted that it does not meet the minimum of two O.Reg 9/06 criteria required for designation under the Ontario Heritage Act. Ms. Hipwell referred to timing restrictions under the Ontario Heritage Act regarding designation in relation to planning applications. Ms. Hipwell reassured the Committee, mentioning that two other heritage homes owned by the developer have been or are being incorporated into future development and suggested they are not categorically opposed to heritage preservation.

Staff clarified that they do support the conservation of modest and representative buildings. Staff also acknowledged there may be some heritage value, but they believe it does not meet the threshold for designation. Staff noted that under the Ontario Heritage Act, Council had 90 days after an Official Plan Amendment (OPA) is deemed complete to initiate designation, and that the window has already closed. However, designation could still be considered after the OPA process concludes.

Recommendation:

**That Heritage Markham is of the opinion that 5480 Major Mackenzie Drive East is a significant cultural heritage resource and that the property remain on the Markham Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.**

**Carried**

## **6.2 MAJOR HERITAGE PERMIT APPLICATION**

### **36 WASHINGTON ST., MARKHAM VILLAGE HERITAGE CONSERVATION DISTRICT PROPOSED DETACHED INFILL DWELLING AND DETACHED GARAGE (16.11)**

File Number:

HE 25 125745

Extracts:

R. Hutcheson, Manager, Heritage Planning

P. Wokral, Senior Heritage Planner

Regan Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning, introduced the application for a new infill house on the severed lot of 36 Washington Street, located in the Markham Village Heritage Conservation District.

Mr. Hutcheson noted that the existing heritage building on the northern lot (now 36A Washington Street) has been retained, restored, and features a new addition. The current proposal involves the construction of a narrow, two-storey infill dwelling with traditional architectural elements, including a covered front porch, wood siding, heritage-style windows, as well as a detached garage at the rear of the property.

The proposed design is sympathetic to the surrounding heritage context, aligning with the scale and character of nearby infill homes. Mr. Hutcheson also noted that the design has improved since a previous version reviewed during the severance application process. Key revisions include the removal of a third-storey “widow’s peak”, which was considered out of character, and other minor refinements.

Staff recommended approval of the revised design and that any further refinements be delegated to Heritage Section staff.

There were no comments or questions from the committee on this item.

Recommendation:

That Heritage Markham Committee has no objections to the design of the proposed new infill dwelling and garage at 36 Washington Street from a heritage perspective and delegates any further Heritage Markham review, including any development application required for approval, to the Heritage Section staff.

**Carried**

**6.3 AMENDMENT TO A DESIGNATION BY-LAW TO CORRECT A LEGAL DESCRIPTION AND ENSURE CONFORMANCE WITH THE ONTARIO HERITAGE ACT**

**5933 14<sup>TH</sup> AVENUE ("GEORGE R. COWIE HOUSE") (16.11)**

File Number:

N/A

Extracts:

R. Hutcheson, Manager, Heritage Planning

E. Manning, Senior Heritage Planner

As previously noted, the Chair, Councillor Rea, declared a conflict regarding this item. She did not participate in the discussion or vote on the matter.

Steve Lusk, Vice Chair assumed the role of the Chair for this item.

Evan Manning, Senior Heritage Planner, introduced this item as relating to a proposed amendment to the designation by-law for 5933 14th Avenue and advised that this is a technical correction to update the legal description of the property and ensure conformance with the Ontario Heritage Act, as amended.

Mr. Manning explained that a portion of the property is being conveyed to an affordable housing provider, and that although this portion does not contain any heritage resources, it remains designated under the Act due to its inclusion in the legal description within the original designation by-law. The amendment will remove the designation from the severed parcel to avoid unintended legal implications for the housing provider. Mr. Manning confirmed that the heritage building will be retained and that the developer is also preparing a mothballing and maintenance plan to ensure the long-term preservation of the heritage structure. Mr. Manning also advised the committee that any future alterations to the heritage building will be brought back to the Committee for review.

The Committee had no questions or comments on this matter.

Recommendation:

That Heritage Markham has no objection to amending the designation by-law for 5933 14<sup>th</sup> Avenue to correct the legal description and to revise the Statements of Significance to ensure conformance with the Ontario Heritage Act, as amended.

**Carried**

**7. PART FIVE - STUDIES/PROJECTS AFFECTING HERITAGE RESOURCES - UPDATES**

**7.1 PRIORITY DESIGNATION PROJECT - FOURTH UPDATE**

**DESIGNATION OF SIGNIFICANT "LISTED" PROPERTIES UNDER PART IV OF THE ONTARIO HERITAGE ACT (16.11)**

File Number:

N/A

Extracts:

R. Hutcheson, Manager, Heritage Planning

E. Manning, Senior Heritage Planner

Evan Manning, Senior Heritage Planner, provided the fourth update on the Priority Designation Project, outlining the status of listed heritage properties and progress toward their designation. Mr. Manning noted that Markham is significantly ahead of other municipalities, largely due to strong Council support, which has contributed to the successful designation of many significant heritage buildings.

Mr. Manning reported recent success where informal mediation led to the withdrawal of some property owner appeals, although two appeals were not successful from the City's perspective. He advised that a follow-up report will be presented before the end of 2026 for properties not recommended for designation, allowing the Committee to review and provide input.

The Committee questioned whether the Province's push to expedite designations was intended to support housing development. Mr. Manning responded that Staff do not see a clear connection between heritage conservation and development delays.

Regan Hutcheson, Senior Manager of Heritage Planning, expressed concern for larger municipalities such as Toronto, Hamilton, and Ottawa, which have thousands of listed properties and insufficient resources to meet the Province's timelines, potentially leading to significant heritage loss. He acknowledged that advocacy by municipalities, including Markham, contributed to the Province's decision to extend the deadline to designate listed properties from the end of 2024 to the end of 2026.

Staff attributed Markham's success to good access to resources and the expertise of George Duncan, a retired heritage planner who returned to produce 158 research reports in 18 months due to his deep knowledge of the city's heritage.

Councillors Reid McAlpine and Councillor Karen Rea will attend the upcoming Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO) Conference where they plan to raise the issue of heritage designation timelines during their meeting with the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing (MMAH) and/or his staff.

Councillor Rea also noted that the City may seek a future meeting with the Province, particularly as the January 1, 2027 deadline approaches.

Recommendation:

That Heritage Markham receive as information the fourth progress update on the Priority Designation Project.

**Carried**

**7.2 HERITAGE MARKHAM AWARDS OF EXCELLENCE 2025  
SUB-COMMITTEE MEETING AND UPDATE (16.11)**

File Number:

N/A

Extracts:

R. Hutcheson, Manager, Heritage Planning

Regan Hutcheson, Manager of Heritage Planning, advised the Committee that the Awards of Excellence will be held as part of Markham's 50th Anniversary celebrations, and that an Awards Subcommittee is in place to review award nominations. Mr. Hutcheson noted that the Subcommittee includes the following Committee members: Councillor Karen Rea, Elizabeth Wimmer, Kugan Subramaniam, Steve Lusk, and Lake Trevelyan.

Mr. Hutcheson advised that materials will be distributed in advance and invited any other interested Heritage Markham members to join the Subcommittee. Final recommendations will be presented at the September Heritage Markham meeting, with awards prepared for presentation in November.

A virtual meeting to review the property/project nominees is scheduled for Tuesday, August 26 at 11:00 AM.

Recommendation:

That Heritage Markham receive the update on the Awards of Excellence.

**Carried**

**7.3 EDUCATION/TRAINING**

**ONTARIO HERITAGE CONFERENCE 2025  
REPORT FROM HERITAGE MARKHAM MEMBER - KUGAN  
SUBRAMANIAM (16.11)**

File Number:

N/A

Extracts:

R. Hutcheson, Manager, Heritage Planning

Regan Hutcheson advised that Kugan Subramaniam attended the Ontario Heritage Conference in Picton as the representative for Heritage Markham. Mr. Hutcheson noted that the Committee provided Committee funds to help offset

some of the expenses incurred, and that Mr. Subramaniam submitted a written report outlining what he learned at the conference.

Mr. Hutcheson also shared his positive experience attending the event as a staff representative, specifically referring to an informative session on integrating heritage preservation with social housing development. He noted that repurposing historic churches and school buildings into affordable housing demonstrates how heritage preservation can align with contemporary social goals in cost-effective ways.

The Chair, Councillor Rea, commended Mr. Subramaniam for putting together an excellent, detailed report and encouraged members to read it. The Chair also noted that it may inspire broader participation from Committee members in future conferences.

Recommendation:

That Heritage Markham receive as information the update from Kugan Subramaniam detailing his attendance and experiences at the Ontario Heritage Conference in June 2025.

**Carried**

**8. PART SIX - NEW BUSINESS**

**8.1 MINOR HERITAGE PERMIT APPLICATION**

**DELEGATED APPROVAL BY HERITAGE SECTION STAFF  
257 CARLTON ROAD, UNIONVILLE  
NEW ROOFING MATERIAL (16.11)**

File Number:  
25 132245 HE

Extracts:

R. Hutcheson, Manager, Heritage Planning  
E. Manning, Senior Heritage Planner

Evan Manning, Senior Heritage Planner, introduced the Minor Permit Application for the installation of new roofing material at 257 Carlton Road (Unionville Curling Club). Mr. Manning explained the scope of the work and noted the proposed use of a white rubber membrane for the roof. Mr. Manning advised that while white roofing material is generally not supported on heritage buildings within the District, the Curling Club is a structure without significant heritage

value and that Staff do not see this treatment as precedent-setting. The Committee was shown renderings to illustrate the proposed roofing material.

Patrick Austin of Austin Roofing attended the meeting to provide technical insights and answer any questions regarding the project. Mr. Austin highlighted the thermal benefits of the proposed roofing material which is expected to help maintain the cool interior temperatures necessary for maintaining the ice surface during the curling season.

Councillor Reid McAlpine expressed full support for the project, noting the environmental and operational benefits. Councillor McAlpine also remarked that white flat roofing has become a standard across commercial and residential applications due to its heat-reflective properties, and that the neighbouring Crosby Community Centre also has a partial white roof. Councillor McAlpine reiterated that the Curling Club is not a significant heritage structure, and that the material proposed is contextually appropriate for this building typology.

Recommendation:

That Heritage Markham has no objection from a heritage perspective to the proposed application of a white rubber roof at the Unionville Curling Club at 257 Carlton Road due to the scale and utilitarian nature of the property along with its unique building typology and location at the periphery of the UHCD.

**Carried**

**8.2 HERITAGE MARKHAM 50th ANNIVERSARY COMMITTEE UPDATE (16.11)**

File Number:

N/A

Extracts:

R. Hutcheson, Manager, Heritage Planning

Vanda Vicars, Co-Chair of the 50th Anniversary Sub-committee, provided an update on the planning progress for the Committee's 50th Anniversary Celebration. Key elements currently in development include the event agenda, venue logistics, catering and refreshments, tableware and event décor, emcee selection, and finalizing the event budget. Ms. Vicars noted that the Planning Subcommittee continues to meet to finalize details ahead of the September Heritage Markham meeting.

Ms. Vicars also highlighted that the Awards of Excellence will be a focal point of the celebration. The guest list is being finalized, with anticipated attendance between 100 and 120 guests. Invitations are also expected to be distributed by October 1st.

Councillor Reid McAlpine announced that Peter Lockyer has been confirmed as the event's keynote speaker. Mr. Lockyer is a heritage advocate and former CBC journalist, known for his engaging presentations and recently spoke at the Ontario Heritage Conference in Picton.

The next planning meeting is scheduled to occur before the next regular Heritage Markham Committee meeting on September 10<sup>th</sup>.

Recommendations:

That Heritage Markham receive as information the update from the 50th Anniversary Sub-committee.

**Carried**

**8.3 MECHANISMS OF NOTIFICATIONS FOR HERITAGE RESOURCES IN AN OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT APPLICATION (16.11)**

File Number:

N/A

Extracts:

R. Hutcheson, Manager, Heritage Planning

E. Manning, Senior Heritage Planner

Councillor Karen Rea, the Chair, raised a question regarding the notification process for Official Plan (OP) amendment applications that involve properties with potential heritage significance, referencing the application for 5480 Major Mackenzie Drive.

Evan Manning, Senior Heritage Planner, confirmed that there is an existing mechanism in place: all planning or development applications involving properties with heritage resources (both listed and designated) are circulated to Heritage staff for review and comment. Mr. Manning noted that a Staff report addressing such properties within the proposed Upper Markham Village Secondary Plan area was presented to the Heritage Markham Committee in May.

Mr. Manning acknowledged that greater clarity in the reporting process could be helpful to the Committee—specifically, by more clearly identifying which properties are considered to have heritage value and which are not when a

Planning Act application is brought forward for Committee consideration. He indicated that future reports can be more explicit to avoid confusion.

#### **8.4 MAINSTREET UNIONVILLE REVITALIZATION PROJECT UPDATE - UNIONVILLE JAZZ FESTIVAL (16.11)**

File Number:

N/A

Extracts:

R. Hutcheson, Manager, Heritage Planning

The Committee inquired whether Phase 1 of the Unionville Streetscape Project, specifically the intersection at Carlton Road and Main Street, would be completed in time for the upcoming Unionville Jazz Festival.

Councillor Reid McAlpine confirmed that the intersection is expected to be open for the festival, with crews working extended hours to meet the deadline.

However, the southern section of Main Street will likely not be open in time as it is estimated to be 2–3 days behind schedule. Councillor McAlpine also noted that visual barriers have been removed, allowing the public to get a clear view of the near-completed work.

#### **9. ADJOURNMENT**

The Heritage Markham Committee adjourned at 9:02 PM.